

Multiple Feasible Paths in Ant Colony Algorithm for mobile Adhoc Networks with Minimum Overhead

Jitendra Prithviraj¹ and Jitendra Prithviraj²

¹ SRIT

Received: 10 January 2011 Accepted: 3 February 2011 Published: 15 February 2011

Abstract

Mobile ad-hoc networks are infrastructure-less networks consisting of wireless, possibly mobile nodes which are organized in peer-to-peer and autonomous fashion. The highly dynamic topology, limited bandwidth availability and energy constraints make the routing problem a challenging one. Ant colony optimization (ACO) is a population based meta-heuristic for combinatorial optimization problems such as communication network routing problem. In real life, ants drop some kind of chemical substances to mark the path that they used. Then on their way, back they choose the path with the highest pheromones which becomes the shortest path. But Ant net Algorithms may cause the network congestion and stagnation. Here, multiple optimal paths are proposed with negligible overhead in spite of single optimal path in Ant net routing algorithm, so that the problem of stagnation can be rectified. This paper proposes an improved Multiple Feasible Paths in Ant Colony Algorithm for mobile Ad-hoc Networks with Minimum Overhead.

Index terms—

1 INTRODUCTION

oday with the fast growth of Internet, everybody wants to get connected to the internet. Millions of people use the Internet for daily business all over the world. Today the Internet has become very large, complex and dynamic. Failures and challenges occur at every step because of traffic flow from one part of network to another part. Routing is the process of selecting paths in a network to send traffic. Routing is an important aspect of network communication, which affects the performance of any network, since other characteristics of the network like throughput; reliability and congestion depend directly on it. In packet switching networks when a packet travels from a source to destination, it has to pass through a number of networks with varying characteristics. So an ideal routing algorithm is one which is able to deliver the packet to its destination with minimum amount of delay. It must be adaptive and intelligent enough to make the decisions. The growing size and increasing demands of Internet impelled the study of more powerful routing algorithms, which can optimize the flow of traffic.

The routing algorithms currently in use (e.g. OSPF, RIP, DSR, ADODV and BGP) are not sufficient to tackle the increasing complexity of such networks. They are not adaptive, intelligent and fault intolerant. The routing tables in them are updated by exchanging routing information between the routers. Different routing protocols use different approaches to exchange the routing information. There are mainly two approaches for routing algorithms, distance vector algorithms and linkstate algorithms. Distance vector algorithms use the Bellman algorithm. This approach assigns a number, the cost, to each of the links between each node in the network. In link-state algorithms for example, Open Shortest Path First (OSPF), the routers exchange linkstate information by flooding the link state packets. The link state updates are generated only when the link status changes. Once a node has obtained topology information of the entire network, Dijkstra's algorithm is generally used to compute the shortest path. The two main performance metrics that are affected by the routing algorithm are throughput

5 IV. ANT NET ROUTING ALGORITHM

44 and average packet delay. Nodes and links can fail, and congestion can arise in some areas. Thus, the routing
45 algorithm needs to modify its routes, redirecting traffic and updating databases very quickly and adaptively.

46 In recent years, a new kind of routing protocols influenced by software agents called Ant Based routing is
47 developed. S. Appleby and S. Steward were the first ones to introduce the concept of software agents used
48 for control in telecommunication networks. The research process continued and it was applied to connection
49 oriented networks [3]. Ant based routing was then applied to packet based connection less systems [4]. This
50 agent based approach was further researched and was modified for adaptive routing [5]. Swarm intelligence
51 provides a promising alternative to traditional routing algorithms by utilizing mobile software agents for network
52 management. Although, an ant [1], [2] is a simple and unsophisticated creature, collectively a colony of ants can
53 perform useful tasks such as building nests, and foraging (searching for food) [1], [2], [3]. What is interesting is
54 that ants are able to discover the shortest path to a food source and to share that information with other ants
55 through stigmergy [1]- [5]. Stigmergy is a form of indirect communication used by ants in nature to coordinate
56 their problemsolving activities.

57 Ants achieve stigmergic communication by laying a chemical substance called pheromone.

58 2 II. ANT NET COLONY OPTIMIZATION

59 ACO [2] is a meta heuristic in which a colony of artificial ants cooperates in finding good solutions to discrete
60 optimization problems. Each ant of the colony exploits the problem graph to search for optimal solutions. An
61 'artificial ant', unlike natural counterparts, has a memory in which it can store information about the path it
62 follows. Every ant has a start state and one or more terminating conditions. The next move is selected by
63 a probabilistic decision rule that is a function of locally available pheromone trails, heuristic values as well as
64 the ant's memory. Ant can update the pheromone trail associated with the link it follows. Once it has built a
65 solution, it can retrace the same path backward and update the pheromone trails. ACO algorithm is interplay
66 of three procedures as described in [2].

67 1. Construct ant solutions: This procedure manages a colony of ants that concurrently and asynchronously
68 visit adjacent states of the considered problem by moving through neighboring nodes of the solution space of the
69 problem's construction graph. 2. Update pheromones: It is the process by which pheromone trails are modified.
70 The trail value can either increase, as ants deposit pheromone on the components or connections they use, or
71 decrease, due to pheromone evaporation. Net increase/decrease in pheromone value at a given location on trail is
72 determined by difference of deposition and evaporation. 3. Daemon actions: This procedure is used to implement
73 centralized actions which cannot be performed by single ants.

74 3 III. ANT NET DATA STRUCTURES

75 AntNet is an ACO algorithm for data network routing proposed by Gianni Di Caro and Marco Dorigo [2]. Mobile
76 agents (artificial ants) act concurrently and independently, and communicate in an indirect way (stigmergically),
77 through the pheromones they read and write locally on the nodes. Each network node k stores two data structures:

78 4 1) Routing table T_k

79 For each possible destination d and for each neighbor node n , T_k stores a probability value P_{nd} expressing the
80 goodness of choosing n as next node when the destination node is d : $P_{nd} = 1, d \in [1, N], N_k = \text{neighbors}(k)$
81 $n \in N_k$

82 Probability value P_{nd} represents the pheromone concentration along the link from node k to neighbor node
83 n for destination node d .

84 5 IV. ANT NET ROUTING ALGORITHM

85 AntNet algorithm, as proposed by Di Caro and Dorigo, is as follows 1. At regular intervals Δt from every
86 network node s , a forward ant $F_{s,d}$ is launched toward a destination d to discover a feasible, low-cost path to
87 that node and to investigate the load status of the network along the path. If f_{sd} is a measure (in bits or in
88 number of packets) of the data flow $s \rightarrow d$, then the probability of creating at node s a forward ant with node d as
89 destination is $P_{sd} = (f_{sd}) / \sum_{i=1}^N f_{si}$

90 While traveling toward their destination nodes, the forward ants keep memory of their paths and of the traffic
91 conditions found. The identifier of every visited node i and the time elapsed since the launching time to arrive
92 at this i -th node are stored in a memory stack.

93 2. At each node i , each forward ant headed toward a destination d selects the node j to move to, with a
94 probability P_{ijd} computed as normalized sum of the pheromone τ_{ijd} with a heuristic value η_{ij} taking into
95 account the length of the j -th link queue of the current node i : $P_{ijd} = \tau_{ijd} + \eta_{ij} / (\sum_{i=1}^N \tau_{ij} + 1)$

96 The heuristic value η_{ij} is a normalized value function of the length q_{ij} of the queue on the link connecting
97 the node i with its neighbor j : $\eta_{ij} = 1 - (q_{ij} / |N_i|) / \sum_{i=1}^N q_{i1}$

98 The value of η weighs the importance of the heuristic value with respect to the pheromone values.

99 3. If a cycle is detected, the cycle's nodes are removed and all the memory about them is deleted. When an
100 ant reaches a node that is already in its memory, a cycle is detected and all the nodes until this recurrent node
101 are deleted from the ant's memory.

155 it to Antnet agent associated with that node. When Antnet agent receives a forward ant destined to itself, it
 156 creates a backward ant packet and sends it along the reverse path as stored in ant's memory. When Antnet agent
 157 receives a backward ant packet, it updates the routing table and local traffic model and sends it further along
 158 the path that it has been retracing. Upon complete traversal of path, the backward ant packet is destroyed. The
 159 agent implements cycle detection and elimination, packet forwarding and update of traffic model and routing
 160 table according to the AntNet algorithm.

161 13 3) Results

162 We simulated AntNet on ns-2 for a number of topologies. It was observed that AntNet constructs probabilistic
 163 routing tables, wherein better paths among the available paths have higher pheromone concentration. Now
 164 follows the description of our experimental simulation on ns-2. Simulation parameters are as follows:

165 1. Simulation time = 11.1s. {This is the time which the algorithm takes to converge.} Fig. ?? Network
 166 Topology used for simulation results on ns-2 2. Time interval at which forward ants are launched = 0.02s As an
 167 example for the explanation of results, consider a packet having source node 2 and destination node 1 in Fig.
 168 ?? It can be observed that among all the neighbor nodes of node 2, node 0 provides the best path of only one
 169 hops for node 0. Nodes 3 provide next best paths, both of them being almost equally good. Node 3 is the worst
 170 of all possible paths, because it results in a cycle between nodes 1 and 2. The probability of selecting node 0 as
 171 the next hop is 0.817340, which is the highest among all neighbors. Next higher values are those corresponding
 172 to node 3 (0.040842) both of them being almost equal.

173 The simulation time of 10.8 seconds represents the saturation time required for the convergence of the algorithm
 174 with forward ant packets launched every 0.03 seconds. Since, routing tables generate the best paths; the time
 175 taken by packet to travel from source to destination must be the minimum possible.

176 14 IX. CONCLUSION

177 We successfully simulate AntNet on Network simulator, ns-2. Our experiments generated correct routing tables
 178 for all the topologies simulated. In this paper an improved version of the AntNet algorithm is proposed for
 179 mobile ad-hoc network. In the improved version, more than one optimal outgoing interfaces are identified as
 180 compared to only one path, which are supposed to provide higher throughput and will be able to explore new
 181 and better paths even if the network topologies gets changed very frequently. This will distribute the traffic of
 182 overloaded link to other preferred links. Hence the throughput of the network will be improved and the problem
 of stagnation will be rectified in mobile ad-hoc network.^{1 2 3 4}



Figure 1: 2)

183

¹March 2011 Multiple Feasible Paths in Ant Colony Algorithm for mobile Ad-hoc Networks with Minimum Overhead ©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²March 2011 ©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US)

³March 2011 Simulation Result ©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US)

⁴March 2011 This page is intentionally left blank

? The difference d amongst the adjacent value is calculated and is compared to some threshold value say pm .

? If the difference d is less than pm then those values are selected and comparison amongst the adjacent values is continued until difference is greater than pm .

? Otherwise at the very first occurrence of difference greater than pm , the comparison is stopped and the corresponding value(s) in the array is (are) selected.

? The interfaces corresponding to these values are stored in a new routing table which will have the same structure as the original one, but obviously, the new table will have less number of rows.

Figure 2:

184 This algorithm is efficient for end to end delay and packets delivery ratio. We will simulate our proposed
185 algorithm with one of network simulators and we compare the scheme performance with other routing algorithms
186 and simulator.

187 [Baran and Sosa ()] ‘A new approach for AntNet routing’. B Baran , R Sosa . *presented at the Proc.9th Int.*
188 *Conf.Computer Communications Networks*, (Las Vegas, NV) 2000.

189 [Dorigo et al. ()] ‘Ant algorithms for discrete optimization’. M Dorigo , G D Caro , L M Gambardella . *Artif.*
190 *Life* 1999. 5 (2) p. .

191 [Caro and Dorigo ()] ‘Ant colonies for adaptive in packet-switched communications networks’. G D Caro , M
192 Dorigo . *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Parallel Problem Solving from Nature*, (5th Int. Conf. Parallel Problem Solving
193 from NatureAmsterdam, The Netherlands) Sept 27-30, 1998.

194 [Dorigo and Caro ()] *Antnet: A mobile agents approach to adaptive routing*, M Dorigo , G D Caro . 1997.
195 University Libre de Bruxelles, IRIDIA (Tech Report)

196 [Caro and Dorigo ()] ‘Antnet: Distributed stigmergetic control for communications networks’. G D Caro , M
197 Dorigo . *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 1998. 1998. 9 p. .

198 [Subbramanian et al. ()] *Ants and reinforcement learning: A case study in routing in dynamic networks*, D
199 Subbramanian , P Druschel , J Chen . 1997. Proces Alto, Morgan Kauffman. p. .

200 [Schoonderwoerd et al. ()] ‘Ants for Load Balancing in Telecommunication Networks’. O Schoonderwoerd , J
201 Holland , L Bruten , Rothkrantz . HPL-96-35. *Hewlett Packard Lab* 1996. (Tech. Rep.)

202 [Appleby and Steward ()] ‘Mobile software agents for control in telecommunication networks’. S Appleby , S
203 Steward . *BT echnol* 1994. 12 (2) .

204 [Bonabeau and Suyers ()] ‘Routing in telecommunication networks with smart like agents’. E Bonabeau , D
205 Suyers . *Proceedings of LATA*, (LATA) 1998.

206 [Caro and Dorigo ()] ‘Two ant colony algorithms for best-effort routing in datagram networks’. G D Caro , M
207 Dorigo . *Proc. 10th IASTED Int. Conf. Parallel Distributed Computing Systems*, (10th IASTED Int. Conf.
208 Parallel Distributed Computing Systems) 1998. p. .