Global Journals La Journal KaleidoscopeTM

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.

Authentication Algorithm for Portable Embedded Systems using PUFs

Sunil Devidas Bobade¹ and Dr. Vijay R. Mankar²

¹ S.G.B.Amravati University

Received: 9 April 2015 Accepted: 1 May 2015 Published: 15 May 2015

$_{7}$ Abstract

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Physical Unclonable Functions (PUFs) are circuits that exploit chip-unique features to be used as signatures which can be used as good silicon biometrics. These signatures are based on semiconductor fabrication variations that are very difficult to control or reproduce. These chipunique signatures together with strong challenge-response authentication algorithm can be 11 used to authenticate and secure chips. This paper expands the security avenues covered by 12 PUF and FPGAs by introducing a new class of concept called ?Soft PUFs.? This scheme 13 propose robust challenge- response authentication solution based on a PUF device that 14 provides stronger security guarantees to the user than what previously could be achieved. By 15 exploiting the silicon uniqueness of each FPGA device and incorporating a special 16 authentication algorithms in existing FPGA fabric, FPGA based embedded systems can be 17 used for new security-oriented and network- oriented applications that were not previously 18 possible or thought of. 19

Index terms— trapdoor function, soft PUF, physical unclonable functions, challengeâ??"response authentication

1 Introduction

UF, is a trap door function physically blended or inscribed deep in system during manufacturing process and is easy to evaluate but hard to clone. Because of random process variations, no two Integrated Circuits even with the same layouts are identical. Variation is inherent in fabrication process or in areas environmental variations such as temperature, supply voltage and Electromagnetic interference, which can affect their performance and is hard to remove or predict. An untrusted foundry cannot create a copy of the circuit as it is impossible to control the manufacturing process variations. PUFs are highly secure and there are no need for trusted programming and are found to be inexpensive as there is no need of special fabrication technique PUF can enable a secure, low-cost authentication without crypto.

"Security engineers face the seemingly contradictory challenge of providing lightweight cryptographic algorithms for strong authentication, encryption and other cryptographic services that can perform on a speck of dust." [1]. Most of the traditional authentication schemes and encryption algorithms relies on a unique ID or a secret key. They are usually generated and stored in a secure manner in non volatile storage on chip either in fuses or EEPROMs, protecting them from malicious attackers. However, these are susceptible to invasive and non invasive attacks like side channel attacks.

Side channel attacks instead of testing the strength of the cryptographic algorithms and extract the information presented due to implementation weaknesses, instead extract vital information from the electrical characteristics of a chip such as power and timing which are data dependent. Hence, any hardware mechanism aiming to be robust should tackle and counter invasive and non invasive attacks.

PUFs basically work on concept of challengeresponse authentication. When a physical stimulus is applied to the structure, it reacts in an unique way as a result of complex interaction of the stimulus with the

physical microstructure of the device. This exact microstructure depends on physical factors introduced during manufacturing process. The device's identity is established and hidden in microstructure itself. As this structure is not directly revealed by the challengeresponse mechanism such a device is resistant to spoofing attacks.

Unclonability means that each PUF device has a unique and unpredictable yet unique response to challenges. It is infeasible to construct a PUF with the same challenge-response behavior as another given PUF because exact control over the manufacturing process is infeasible. The combination of physical and mathematical unclonability renders a PUF truly unclonable.

A distinction is made between PUFs in which physical randomness is explicitly introduced and used as an unique identification code. PUFs uses this very inherent to thwart attacks.

The strength of a PUF is determined by three important parameters namely uniqueness, reliability and security. Uniqueness indicates the ability to distinguish between different ICs, measured by determining hamming distance between the responses obtained from different PUF instances. Reliability indicates that PUF circuit should be capable of reproducing CRPs in presence of noise and environmental variations. The security in PUFs indicates a PUF's susceptibility to different types of modeling attacks. It should be impossible construct an exact replica of a PUF instance even with complete knowledge of the design.

In the case of ASIC implementations, Hard PUFs are implemented directly into the ASIC silicon. By comparison, in the case of FPGAs, Soft PUFs are implemented using a small amount of the FPGA's standard programmable resources, such as Lookup Tables, Registers, and Memories.

2 II.

3 Related Work

Generally, there are three classes of PUF architectures namely cover-based PUF, delay-based PUF, and memory-based PUF. The first mention of PUF in the literature is that of optical PUF [2] which is coverbased PUF, exploits the randomness in the light scattering particles and the complexity of the interaction between the laser and the particles. After that, several PUF hardware structures have been proposed [2][3][4][5]. Most PUFs use conventional silicon techniques so that they do not require any special fabrication process or treatment and can be easily integrated into IC chips. Silicon PUFs exploits manufacturing delay variation of wire to generate a unique challenge-response mapping for each IC. These unique properties of each IC are easy to measure through the circuits but hard to copy without.

Unfortunately, recent analysis has demonstrated that those PUF structures are vulnerable to several attack methods including emulation, replay (man-in-the-middle attack), and reverse engineering [7]. Therefore, a dynamic PUF that can alter the CRPs every time the data is modified to prevent the hidden information leaked out is very desirable. Memory-based PUFs exploit the vulnerable balance of SRAM crosscoupled transistors. Uncontrollable random SRAM contents can be generated during power-up. The random contents are then used as PUF signature ??6] [7]. The drawback of memory-based PUF is that every memory element generates a fixed one bit signature. Multi-factor authentication protocols, which often use a password and a mobile device, have been explored in prior literature [8,9,10].

4 III.

5 Proposed Soft Puf Scheme

In this paper we describe authentication solution based on a PUF device that provide stronger security environment. Each user is issued a PUF that aids in authentication and cannot be copied or cloned. The scheme works on three underlying principle that anyone with complete access to the authentication data at the server side and the device itself is still unable to impersonate the user.

There are three principal entities in the scheme: server S (or another entity authenticating the user on behalf of the server), user U, and device D. Before authentication can take place, the user obtains a device with a PUF built into it and enrols himself with the aid of Register protocol with the server. Once the registration is complete, the user will be able to authenticate with the help of the device. a) Register: is a process between S and U, where the user U registers with the server with the aid of D. If enrolment is successful, the server obtains and stores a token credU that can be used in subsequent authentications.

b) Authentication: is a process between S and U, where U uses D and S uses its stored credentials credU to make its decision to either accept or reject the user.

In proposed scheme, nowhere it is required to place any sensitive information on the device, to eliminate any possibility of data compromise In fact, our protocols do not require the device to store any information not related to the PUF functionality, which strengthens our design.

Register: 1. Server S sends challenge c to user U along with description of the group Gq, consisting of a pair (p,q), and its generator g.

99 6 2.

User U sends H(c||pwd), Gq, g, where pwd is a user password, to device D for a modified Gen protocol.

3. Device D calculates a challenge d = H(H(c||pwd), Gq, g) and runs Gen on this value to obtain response r,P, D then sends to the user (gr,P). Authentication: 1. Server S sends challenge c, Gq, g, P, and a nonce N to the user U. then calculates $c_{-} = H(g,gr, t,N)$ and $w = v?c_{-}r \mod q$, and sends $c_{-}w$ to the U. 4. User U sends these values to the server S. S calculates $t_{-} = gwgrc_{-}$ and accepts the authentication if $c_{-} = H(g,gr, t_{-},N)$, and otherwise rejects the value.

There is a strong possibility an adversary can clone the PUF by obtaining the PUFs response to a challenge, and then build a piece of software that impersonates the user. To mitigate this software cloning attack, proposed scheme requires the authenticator to always have physical access to the PUF in order to authenticate.

IV.

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108 109

110

122

126

127

7 New Application Areas

111 Wide spectrum of security oriented applications is now available for soft PUFs to be grabbed. Here are the few applications.

113 8 a) Deployment of Keyed Applications

114 Currently, in devices such as Xilinx Virtex-4 and Virtex-5, there exists battery-backed key that is used to store

115 Bitstream decryption key. This key cannot be accessed from the programmable fabric. By incorporating Soft

116 PUFs into these devices, system designer can now deploy cryptographic keys/seeds on FPGAs.

9 b) Activation or deactivation

Different features in FPGA-based can be activated, with activation rights blended in FPGA silicon die. Features

119 like software / firmware running on FPGAbased systems, different modules or features within software / firmware,

or remote commands that are directed for a particular FPGA-based system can be made chip specific. This is more

121 secure than traditional anchoring methods such as use of USB dongle, MAC addresses, or similar technologies.

10 c) Cloning or Counterfeit Detection of FPGA Silicon

Soft PUFs can be used to counter chip counterfeiting or chip cloning. They can be used, for example, to make sure that FPGAs purchased through distributors or secondary markets are in fact authentic and are shipped by actual manufacturing firm.

11 V.

12 Conclusion

With Soft PUFs, system designers have a new primitive to increase and enhance application space of FPGA-based designs. By having ability to authenticate FPGAs at device level, and ability to store "volatile" keys in these devices, FPGAs have the potential to venture into yet more security applications areas. 1 2

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1

²© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 1: 2.

- 131 [Su et al. ()] 'A D igital 1.6 PJ/bit Chip Identification Circuit Using Process Variations'. Y Su , J Holleman , B Otis . Proc. ISSCC'07, (ISSCC'07) 2007. p. .
- 133 [Pietro et al. ()] 'A two-factor mobile authentication scheme for secure financial transactions'. R D Pietro , G
 134 Me , M Strangio . *International Conference on Mobile Business (ICMB 2005)*, 2005. p. .
- [Guajardo et al. ()] 'FPGA intrinsic PUFs and their use for IP protection'. J Guajardo , S Kumar , G Schrigen
 , P Tuyls . Proc. CHES'07, (CHES'07) 2007. p. .
- [Stebila et al. ()] Multi-factor password-authenticated key exchange, D Stebila , P Udupi , S Chang . 2008. 2008.
 p. 214. (Technical Report ePrint Cryptology Archive)
- [Simpson and Schaumont ()] 'Offline hardware/software authentication for reconfigurable platforms'. E Simpson , P Schaumont . CHES 2006. LNCS, L Goubin, M Matsui (ed.) (Heidelberg) 2006. Springer. 4249 p. .
- [Clark and Hengartner ()] 'Panic passwords: Authenticating under duress'. J Clark , U Hengartner . USENIX-Workshop on Hot Topics in Security, Hot, (Sec) 2008. 2008.
- [Ozturk et al. ()] 'Physical Unclonable Function with Tristate Buffers'. E Ozturk , G Hammouri , B Sunar . Proc. ISCAS'08, (ISCAS'08) 2008. p. .
- [Guajardo et al. ()] 'Physical unclonable functions and publickey crypto for fpga ip protection'. J Guajardo , S Kumar , G J Schrijen , P Tuyls . *International Conference on Field Programmable Logic and Applications*, 2007. p. .
- [Bhargav-Spantzel et al. ()] 'Privacy preserving multi-factor authentication with biometrics'. A Bhargav-Spantzel , A Sqicciarini , S Modi , M Young , E Bertino , S Elliott . *Journal of Computer Security* 2007. 15 (5) p. .
- [Busch et al. ()] 'The PUF Promise'. Heike Busch , M Sotakova , Stefan Katzenbeisser , R Sion . 3 rd International
 Conference on Trust and Trustworthy Computing (TRUST 2010), Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science
 2010. p. 17.
- [Park and Park ()] 'Two factor authenticated key exchange (TAKE) protocol in public wireless LANs'. Y M Park , S K Park . *IEICE Transactions on Communications E87-B* 2004. (5) p. .