Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	Seamless Heterogeneous Handoff based on SAP Method
2	G. A. Preethi ¹ and Dr. C. Chandrasekar ²
3	¹ Periyar University
4	Received: 7 February 2015 Accepted: 5 March 2015 Published: 15 March 2015
5	

6 Abstract

7 Contemporarily there has been a number of techniques being suggested and used for

8 heterogeneous handoff hitch. Different types of decision making methods are being

⁹ implemented for handoff impediment. Mobile terminals progressing in neighbourhood will

¹⁰ incur a handoff when its link capacity decreases below the threshold level. Various types of

¹¹ Multiple Attribute Decision Making methods have been exploited for handoff decision making.

¹² Here we have used a novel Reliable Seamless Handoff such as Simple Analytical Process

¹³ method which uses Analytical Hierarchy Process for predicting the criterion weights and

¹⁴ employed Simple Additive Weighting method for handoff decision making. Alternatives such

¹⁵ as GSM, CDMA and EDGE networks are used. Data Rate, Packet Loss, Velocity, Bandwidth,

¹⁶ Dwell time and Jitter are the parameters applied.

17

18 Index terms— AHP, Handoff, Reliable Seamless Handoff, SAP, SAW.

¹⁹ 1 Introduction

andoff in mobile nodes is the process of transforming from one base station to another base station. Inter handoff 20 and Intra handoff are the two major types of handoffs. Handoff performed within same network termed as Intra 21 Handoff. If it is with different Mobile Telephone Switching Office, then it is known as Inter Handoff. Inter 22 handoff encountered as a complicated one which is a step by step process. Normally Heterogeneous Handoff has 23 four stages known as Handoff Initiation, Handoff Probe, Handoff Decision Making and Handoff implementation. 24 Handoff Initiation occurs when Mobile Terminals (MT) Signal strength fluctuates and deteriorates. And when 25 26 it reaches down the threshold level, the MT decides to send Handoff request to its neighbourhood Networks. 27 This process is termed as Handoff Probe. Mobile nodes in proximity will respond with their quality criteria, MT which has better level of criteria will be considered for handoff process. Finally Handoff implementation is 28 executed after selecting a successful network. In most technologies, the conventional criteria used to reflect the 29 condition of the current network connection are the Received Signal Strength (RSS), Signal to Interference Ratio 30 (SIR), coverage area and the Bit Error Rate (BER). Handoff Decision Making is realized by means of Multiple 31 Attribute Decision Making (MADM) method in [5]. MADM methods such as Technique for Order Preference by 32 Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS), Elimination and Choice Translating Reality (ELECTRE) method, Grey 33 Relational Analysis (GRA) method are evaluated for network selection based on the given criteria. Parameters 34 such as Bandwidth, Delay and Cost are measured for the given networks such as Wi-Fi, Wimax and UMTS. 35 MADM methods Efficiency analysation is carried out pertaining to its basic operations. 36 37 In general, the vertical handoff process can be divided into three main steps namely Handoff Initiation phase,

³⁷ In general, the vertical handon process can be divided into three main steps hander fination initiation phase,
³⁸ system discovery, handoff decision, and handoff execution. The Handoff Initiation phase triggers the handover
³⁹ process. During the system discovery phase, mobile terminals have to determine which networks can be used.
⁴⁰ During the handoff decision-phase, the mobile device determines which network it should connect to. The decision
⁴¹ may depend on various parameters including the available bandwidth, delay, etc. During the handoff execution
⁴² phase, connections need to be rerouted from the existing network to the new network in a seamless manner
⁴³ [4]. Handoff decision making is done by implementation of Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) and Ordered
⁴⁴ Weighted Averaging (OWA) method. Rankings of networks are assured by AHP method and weights are ordered

45 decreasingly and processed in reference to Linguistic quantifiers imparted by OWA method.

The remaining part of this article is sectored as Section II -Related work, Section III -Reliable Seamless
 Handoff based on SAP method, Section IV-Efficiency analysis, V-Simulation Results and Discussions, Section
 VI-Conclusion.

49 **2** II.

50 3 Related Works

In [1] authors proposed a SINR (Signal to Interference plus Noise Ratio) and AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process) 51 52 based SAW (Simple Additive Weighting) (SASAW) vertical handoff algorithm which uses the combined effects of SINR, user required bandwidth, user traffic cost and available bandwidth of the participating access networks 53 to make handoff decisions for multi-attribute QoS consideration according to the features of the traffic. In [2], 54 authors proposed a handover decision mechanism using the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) in a heterogeneous 55 wireless network environment using the IEEE 802.21. The proposed mechanism considered user preferences like 56 cost as parameters of the candidate network to choose the best available network. Authors presented some 57 experiments that used a developed simulator to validate the mechanism. In [3] authors proposed the multiple 58 attribute decision making (MADM) methods which are suitable tools to model and study the vertical handoff 59 process. Hence, recently several MADM methods such as SAW, MEW, TOPSIS, GRA, ELECTRE, VIKOR 60 and WMC have been proposed for vertical handoff. Authors presented an extensive performance evaluation and 61 comparative study of the seven MADM methods by means of numerical simulations in MATLAB. 62

63 Authors proposed a new Decision making algorithm based on Analytical Network process and Ordered weighted 64 Averaging algorithm for network selection based on different criteria in [4]. Rankings are assured by AHP method and weights are ordered decreasingly and processed in reference to Linguistic quantifiers. The "best" network 65 is selected using by comparing AHP-OWA Procedures, defined on multiple attributes (Data Transmission rate, 66 Frequency, Velocity and Computer Connection Speed). Authors employed Markov Decision Process approach for 67 seamless handoff in [6]. In which optimum results were obtained for selecting a network when compared to other 68 Multiple Attribute Decision Making processes. Network cost function for selecting the network for handoff and 69 Connection reward function which is based on the values of Quality of service parameters was used. Scrutinizing 70 of the Constant Bit Rate and Transmission Control Protocol Packet delivery ratio was done. The Policy iteration 71 Algorithm was used for determining the optimal policy. 72 Heterogeneous Network Requirements such as seamlessness, low blocking probability, High Bandwidth 73

utilization which are the essential things discussed in [7]. Internetworking issues such as Signal quality, Data rates, 74 Coverage discovery are conferred. Handoff management between UMTS and WLAN schemes are deployed in a 75 step by step manner such as Agent discovery, Agent solicitation, Authentication and so on. Handoff management 76 is based on SAW method in [8]. The handover efficiency in which it is a distributed scheme, is compared with 77 an"802.11 Preferred" scheme. Only handovers between Wi-Fi and WiMAX are considered. But the handover 78 framework is general and can be extended to consider other wireless and mobile communication networks like 3G, 79 CDMA etc. The handover algorithm considered in this article is based on Simple Additive Weighting (SAW). 80 The main reason of opting for SAW is that despite being simple its efficiency and accuracy is still similar to other 81

⁸² heterogeneous algorithms like MEW and GRA [8].

⁸³ 4 III.

Reliable Seamless Handoff: Simple Analytical Processing (sap) Method SAP method is an enhancement of SAW
method. In which we merge AHP weights and SAW processing. SAW is a type of MADM method. Usually
all decision making methods decide upon assumption of criterion weights. In which it lead us in unexpected
outcome. Parametric weights play a significant role in decision making. It is required that weights should be
precisely defined. AHP method works on the basis of pairwise comparison. Since it gives accurate upshots,
Employment of AHP for the calculation of weights results in better consequence.

Step1: Construct the Decision Matrix), (ij i ij D Max d R = where ij i D d ? , n i ,... 1 = (1) Cost Criteria is given by ,) (i ij ij d D Min R = where ij i D d ? , n i ,... 1 = (2)

92 Step3: Apply the AHP method for estimating the criterion weights . Step 2: Normalize the decision matrix.

⁹³ 5 Table 2 : Normalized Matrix

Step 3: Estimate the AHP Weights. By applying the traditional heuristic weights with the conventional SAW
method, we secured the following results. Handoff request is issued when the signal level gets decreased. MN will
maintain a list of available nodes information. Those networks which are in propinquity will respond with their

97 supported data rates, coverage levels etc, Network which meets the required criteria will get selected for handoff.

98 6 IV.

99 7 Efficiency Analysis

Efficiency of an algorithm involves space and time. In practice there is no need to conduct a detailed analysis. Usually it suffices to identify a dominant operation and to estimate the number of times a calculation is executed. The following is an R-code for calculating SAP method.

¹⁰³ 8 sap<-function(){ dk=read.csv("D:\\Book3.csv") dk mcst1<-¹⁰⁴ min(dk[,1]) mcst1

105 Handoff gets executed with the successful SAP Method.

106 Validate & Re-establish New Connection.

¹⁰⁷ 9 Probe Link Capacity

108 10 Relay Handoff Metrics

Handoff Request The above function is for the calculation of Simple Analytical Processing method. In the program 109 the variables mcst1, mxban1, mlat1, mvel1, mdel1 and mdwl1 are the parameters Data rate, Bandwidth, Packet-110 loss etc., respectively. ncst1, nlat1, ndel1, nban1, nvel1 and ndwl1 are the processed minimum and maximum 111 criterion values. Finally the calculated weights have to be multiplied with the outcome. The computational 112 complexity involved here is listed in Table ?? 6 From the above Table ?? 6, it is clear that the dominant 113 operations here involve Comparison, Division and Multiplication. The weights were calculated through AHP. In 114 which, additional processing of AHP is mainly pair wise comparison and iteration. Perhaps the complexity of 115 SAP method is more than the conceptual SAW method. Significant difference is through AHP method. However 116 it shows robust results in network selection compared to SAW method. 117

118 11 Handoff Decision Scenario

119 V.

120 12 Simulation Results and Discussion

SAW method is a very old method and its implementation projects over different fields. However the combination 121 of AHP method with SAW method gives adequate results compared with other methods. SAP method yields 122 improved outcomes than the conventional SAW method. In SAP method we have used AHP method for predicting 123 the criterion weights. In which it uses pairwise comparison of each criterion. A pair wise comparision of a 124 parameter relates its importance and efficiency with other parameters. And the ranks we use to give is based on 125 each criterions significance over other. In the following Fig: 2 and Fig: ?? illustrate the performance of SAP and 126 SAW methods. From the Fig: 2, it is obvious that EDGE has the highest score than other networks. Next to 127 EDGE comes the GSM network. CDMA secures the least importance. However, when the nodes are in mobility, 128 the signal level use to increase and decrease based on the realtime scenario. Signal attenuation will incur in big 129 building blocks and terrestrail areas. So in some situations CDMA network can have full signal support than 130 other networks. So these signal processing levels are context aware. In Fig: ??, again EDGE has scored high. 131 But here next to EDGE comes GSM2. As a overall comparision of all the six networks score, SAW method 132 measurement is less compared to SAP method. And also SAW method uses the heuristic weights which is just a 133 prediction. But in SAP method we have introduced AHP weights which is based on criterions ranking. 134

135 **13** Conclusion

Both the MADM methods such as SAW and SAP methods are executed and results are measured. SAP method 136 shows enhanced results compared to SAW method. SAW method uses assumed heuristic weights which can yield 137 controversies in outcomes. In SAP method AHP weights are used which is based on the ranking of the given 138 criteria. Although the CDMA network uses soft handoff, its performance is very poor compared to all other 139 networks. By applying the SAP method unwanted handoff will be reduced to a large extent. Since the Signal 140 strength is context aware, there is anticipation that in some areas CDMA can also perform well. In the case of 141 142 efficiency analysis, SAP shows lack of performance, Conversely, it helps to secure the best network for handoff. 143 But the overall performance of GSM and EDGE gets high score compared to CDMA.

144 **VII.**

145

1

 $^{^1 \}odot$ 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 1:



Figure 2: 1 2



Figure 3: Figure 2 :



Figure 4: Figure 3 : 1 6





3

Data Rate PacketVelocityBand Dwell Jitter Loss width Time 0.2662 0.182 0.1357 0.1317 0.1610 0.1234 Step 4: Calculate the resultant matrix . ij R . By applying the SAP method, which incurs AHP weights, we obtained the following results

Figure 6: Table 3 :

 $\mathbf{4}$

GSM 0.8047 0.9044 0.5279 0.7180 0.6962 EDGE CDMA GSM2 EDGE2 CDMA2 0.5534

Figure 7: Table 4 :

$\mathbf{5}$

GSM 0.5230 0.9889 0.4289 0.9544 0.5489 EDGE CDMA GSM2 EDGE2 CDMA2 0.8969

Figure 8: Table 5 :

1

a) SAP Method Implementation						
Step 1: Construct the Decision matrix		ij D .				
	Data	Packet Loss	Velocity	Bandwid	tDwell	Jitter
	Rate				Time	
GSM	$115 \mathrm{MB}$	19	42.7s	$200 \mathrm{MB}$	20m	$1.5 \mathrm{ms}$

Figure 9: Table 1 :

	-	
r	л	۰.

1.	mcst1 < -min(dk[,1])	3 Comparisons
2.	ncst1 < -mcst1/dk[,1]	3 Divisions
3.	mxban1 < -max(dk[,2])	3 Comparisons
4.	nban1<-dk[,2]/mxban1	3 Divisions
5.	mlat1 < -min(dk[,3])	3 Comparisons
6.	nlat1 < -mlat1/dk[,3]	3 Divisions
7.	mvel1 < -max(dk[,4])	3 Comparisons
8.	nvel1<-dk[,4]/mvel1	3 Divisions
9.	mdel1 < -min(dk[,5])	3 Comparisons
10.	ndel1 < -mdel1/dk[,5]	3 Divisions
11.	mdwl1 < -max(dk[,6])	3 Comparisons
12.	ndwl1<-dk[,6]/mdwl1	3 Divisions
13.	nd1 < -cbind(ncst1,nban1,nlat1,nvel1,ndel1,ndwl1)	1 column binding
14.	weight1 <- $c(0.4, 0.15, 0.10, 0.18, 0.09, 0.08)$	1 summation
15.	sw1 < -sum(weight1)	1 assignment

Figure 10: Table 6 :

6

Topology Shape	500 Meter * 500 Meter
Radio range of each node	150-200 Meters
Transmission Capacity	$2 { m Mbps}$
Base Station	Multi Hop / Hierarchical
Node Count	5-8
Average transmission of	2 packets
Packets	
Maximum speed of a node	5 meters / second
Node moments	Random
Simulation Time	60 seconds
VI.	

Figure 11: Table 6 :

¹⁴⁶.1 Acknowledgement

- The First Author extends her gratitude to UGC as this research work was supported by Major Research Project,
 under grant reference number, 41-611/2012 (SR), UGC XI Plan.
- [Lima De Oliveira Filho and Madeira ()] 'A Mechanism for Vertical Handover Based on SAW Using'. Jorge Lima
 De Oliveira Filho , Edmundo Madeira . IEEE 802.21. Second International ICST Conference, MONAMI 2010,
 (Santander, Spain) September 22-24, 2010. Mobile Networks and Management. p. .
- [Preethi et al.] 'A Network Selection Algorithm Based on AHP-OWA Methods'. G A Preethi , . C Dr ,
 Chandrasekar . Wireless and Mobile Networking Conference (WMNC), 2013 6th Joint IFIP, p. .
- [Muhammad Qasim Khan and Hidle Andresen ()] 'A Semi and Fully Distributed Handover Algorithm for Heterogeneous Networks using MIIS'. Steinar Muhammad Qasim Khan , Hidle Andresen . *IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications (ISCC)*, 2012.
- [Sheng-Mei (2010)] 'A Simple Additive Weighting Vertical Handoff Algorithm Based on SINR and AHP for
 Heterogeneous Wireless Networks'. Liu Sheng-Mei . International Conference on Intelligent Computation
 Technology and Automation (ICICTA), (Changsha) May 2010. IEEE. p. .
- [Stevens-Navarro et al. (2012)] 'Evaluation of Vertical Handoff Decision Algorightms Based on MADM Methods
 for Heterogeneous Wireless Networks'. E Stevens-Navarro, J D Martinez-Morales, U Pineda-Rico. Journal
 of Applied Research and Technology August 2012. 10.
- ¹⁶³ [Preethi et al. (2013)] 'Handoff between heterogeneous networks based on MADM methods'. G A Preethi , . C
- Dr , N Chandrasekar , Priya . International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research December-2013. 4
 p. .
- [Smaoui ()] 'Heterogeneous Wireless Networks: Configuration and Vertical Handoff Management'. Ikram Smaoui
 Wireless Personal Communications, 2010. 2009. Springer. 54 p. .
- [Preethi and Chandrasekar] 'Seamless Mobility of Heterogeneous Networks Based on Markov Decision Process'.
 G A Preethi , Chandrasekar . Journal of Information Processing System 2092-805X.