Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

1	Performance Evaluation of Three Node Tandem Communication
2	Network Model with Feedback for First Two Nodes having
3	Homogeneous Poisson Arrivals
4	$G.Naga Satish^1$
5	<sup>1</sup> Adikavi Nannaya University
6	Received: 16 December 2013 Accepted: 3 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

### 8 Abstract

18

In this paper we introduced the three node communication model with feedback for the first 9 and second nodes assuming where every arrival makes homogeneous Poisson process one of the 10 possible decisions by forwarding to the next node or to return back to nodes without taking 11 service. Assuming such a decision to be entirely governed by the queue at the instant of the 12 arrival, the transient solution is obtained using differenceâ??" differential equations; probability 13 generating function of the number of packets in the buffer connected to the transmitter the 14 System is analyzed. The dynamic bandwidth allocation policy for transmission is considered. 15 The performance measures of the network like, mean content of the buffers, mean delays, 16 throughput, transmitter utilization etc. are derived explicitly under transient conditions. 17

Index terms—dynamic bandwidth allocation, poisson process, three-node tandem communication network. 19 We consider an open queuing model of tandem communication network with three nodes. Each node consists 20 of a buffer and a transmitter. The three buffers are Q1, Q2, Q3 and transmitters are S1, S2, S3 connected in 21 tandem. The arrival of packets at the first node follows homogeneous Poisson processes with a mean arrival 22 rate as a function of t and is in the form of ?. It is also assumed that the packets are transmitted through the 23 transmitters and the mean service rate in the transmitter is linearly reliant on the content of the buffer connected 24 25 to it. It is assumed that the packet after getting transmitted through first transmitter may join the second buffer 26 which is in series connected to S2 or may be returned back buffer connected to S1 for retransmission with certain probabilities and the packets after getting transmitted through the second transmitter may join the third buffer 27 S3 or may be retuned back to the buffer connected to S2 for retransmission with certain probabilities. 28

The packets delivered from the first node arrive at the second node and the packets delivered from the second 29 node arrives at the third node. The packets delivers from the first and second may deliver to the subsequent 30 nodes or may return to the first and second transmitters. The buffers of the nodes follow First-In First-Out 31 (FIFO) technique for transmitting the packets through transmitters. After getting transmitted from the first 32 transmitter the packets are forwarded to Q2 for forward transmission with probability (1-?) or returned back 33 to the Q1 with probability ? and the packets arrived from the second transmitter are forwarded to Q3 for 34 transmission with probability (1-?) or returned back to the Q2 with probability ?. The service completion in 35 both the transmitters follows Poisson processes with the parameters ?1, ?2 and ?3 for the first, second and third 36 37 transmitters. The transmission rate of each packet is adjusted just before transmission depending on the content 38 of the buffer connected to the transmitter. A schematic diagram representing the network model with three nodes and feedback for first two nodes is shown in figure ??.1 be the probability that there are n1 packets in the first 39 buffer, n2 packets in the second buffer and n3 packets in the third buffer. The difference-differential equations 40 41 42 1 ( ( ) ( 1 , , 0 3 3 1 , 1 ,**2 2 , 1**+ ? + ? + + ? + + ? + + ? + ? = ? ? µ ? µ ? µ ? µ ? µ ? µ ? ) ( ) 1 ( ) ( ) 1 ) ( )43 ()()()))1(()(1,0,331,1,2,0,1,0,3311,0,313131313111PntPtPttPnnttP 44

45 (1,,30,1,1110,,10,,2211212121210,21tPtPntPttPnttPnttPnnttPnnnnnnnnn 46 47 , 0, 0 3 3 3 3 t P n t P t P n t t P n n n n + ? + + ? + + ? = ? ? μ ? μ μ ? ) () () 1 () ()) 1 (() (1, , 0 3 ) 48 49 50 51 52 53  $3\,3\,1\,2\,1\,1\,,\,,\,3\,1\,1\,2\,1\,0\,,\,1\,,\,1\,1\,1\,1\,1\,2\,1\,0\,,\,,\,1\,1\,1\,2\,1\,0\,,\,,\,2\,2\,1\,1\,2\,1\,1\,1\,1\,1\,3\,1\,1\,,\,0\,,\,3\,3\,1\,1\,3\,1\,1\,,\,1\,,\,2\,1$ 54 55 56 ? + ? + ? ? + + ? ? + + ? ? + ? ? + ? ? + ? ? + ? ? + ? ? + ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? + ? ? + ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? ? 57 58 59 60 61 After simplifying we get) 1 ( ) ( ) 1 ( ) ( ) 1 ( ) 1 ( ) : , , ( 3 3 3 2 3 2 3 2 1 2 1 1 1 3 2 1 s s p s s s p s s s p s P 62 63 Solving equation 2.3 by Lagrangian's method, we get the auxiliary equations as, ) 1 ( ) 1 ( ) )(1 ( ) )(1 ( 1 1 64 3 3)) 1 ( ( ) 1 ( ) 1 ( ) 1 ( 2 3 ) 1 ( 2 3 ) 1 ( 2 2 2 ? µµ?µ?µ?µ??????????????????ttesesb (2.5 b) ( 65 D D D D D D D D ) Year 2014 )) 1 ( ) 1 ( ))( 1 ( ( ) 1 66 67 ??? +??? = 3 3 2 2 1 1 1 ) 1 (1 ) 1 (1 exp µ?? µ?? µ? s s s p d (2.5 d) 68 Where a, b, c and d are arbitrary constants. The general solution of equation 2.4 gives the probability 69 generating function of the number of packets in the first and second buffers at time t, as P (S1, S2, S3; t). () ( 70 71 72

 $73 \quad ? \\ \mu \\ \mu \\ ? \\ P \\ ? \\ \mu \\ ? \\$ 

74 ()() 1 (() 1 () 1 () 1 (1 1 1) 1 () 1 (1 1) 1 (1 1) 1 (1 1) 1 (1 exp): , , (1 3 3 2 2 3 1 2) 1 (1 2 3 1) 1 (2 3) 75 1 (2 3 3 3 3) 1 () 1 (1 2 2) 1 (2 2) 1 (1 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 2 3 3 1 2 2 1 t t

## <sup>76</sup> 1 Performance Measures of the Network Model

In this section, we derive and analyze the performance measures of the network under transient conditions. 77 Expand P(S1, S2, S3; t) of equation of 2.6 and collect the constant terms. From this, we get the probability that 78 79 80 81 () 1 ()) 1 () 1 (()) 1 () 1 () () 1 () 1 () 1 () 1 () 1 (1 1 1) 1 () 1 (1 1) 1 (1 1) 1 (1 1) 1 (1 exp) (1 3 3 2 2 3 1 2) 1 2 3 82 ) 1 ( 1 2 3 1 ) 1 ( 2 ) 1 ( 2 3 3 ) 1 ( ) 1 ( 1 2 ) 1 ( 2 ) 1 ( 1 000 3 2 1 2 3 3 1 2 2 1 t t( ) ( ) ( ) ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? 83 = ? ? t e S P ) 1 ( 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 exp t) ; S ( ?  $\mu$  ?  $\mu$  ? (3.2) 84

```
95 1 ( ( )) 1 ( ) 1 ( ) ( ) 1 ( ) 1 ( ) 1 ( ) 1 ( ) 1 ( 1 1 1 exp ) : ( 1 3 3 2 2 3 1 2 ) 1 ( 1 2 3 1 ) 1 (2 3 Year 2014 E ( ) ( ) (
```

99 ) 1 (11111) 1 (1): 1 () (?  $\mu$ ?  $\mu$ ?  $\mu$ ? ??? = ?? = (3.8) Utilization of the first transmitter is () ()????

- Average waiting time in the first Buffer is)) (1 () (... 0 1 1 1 t P t L t W ? =  $\mu$  (3.12)
- Mean number of packets in the second buffer is () () () () () () t t t e e e s t s p t L ) 1 () 1 (1 2 ) 1 (2 2 2 2 2 )

107 () 1 (12) 1 (2.0.2122) 1 () 1 (11) 1 (1 exp 1) (1) (? µ? µ? µ? µ? µ?? µ? (3.14) 108 109 ? ? t t t e e e t V ) 1 ( ) 1 ( 1 2 ) 1 ( 2 2 1 2 2 ) 1 ( ) 1 ( 1 1 ) 1 ( 1 ) ( ? µ ? µ ? µ ? µ ? µ ? µ ? ? µ ? (3.15) 110 111 = ? ? ? t t t e e e t P t Th ) 1 ( ) 1 ( 1 2 ) 1 ( 2 2 0 . 2 2 1 2 2 ) 1 ( ) 1 ( 1 1 ) 1 ( 1 exp 1 ) ( 1 ) ( ? μ ? μ ? μ ? μ ? 112 μ? μ? ? μ? μμ (3.16) 113 Average waiting time in the second buffer is ( ). 0 . 2 2 2 1 ) ( ) ( P t L t W ? =  $\mu$  (3.17) 114 The mean number of packets in the Third buffer is Variance of the number of packets in the Third buffer is ( 115 116 117 118 119 120 ( )) 1 ( ) 1 ( ) ( ) 1 ( ) 1 ( ) 1 ( ) 1 ( ) 1 ( 1 1 1 exp 1 1 ) ( 1 3 3 2 2 3 1 2 ) 1 ( 1 2 3 1 ) 1 ( 2 ) 1 ( 2 3 3 0 ... 3( ) ( ) ( 121 122 123 124 125 126 1 ( ( ) 1 ( ) 1 ( 1 1 1 exp 1 1 ) ( 1 3 3 2 2 3 1 2 ) 1 ( 1 230 ... 3 3 3 1 ) ( ) ( P t L t W ? =  $\mu$  (3.22) 127 Mean number of packets in the entire network at time t is ()) () (321tttLLLtL+ = (3.23)128 Variability of the number of packets in the network is ( )) ( ) (  $3\ 2\ 1\ t\ t\ V\ V\ t\ V + + = (3.24)$ 129

130 IV.

# <sup>131</sup> 2 Performance Evaluation of the Network Model

In this section, the performance of the network model is discussed with numerical illustration. Different values 132 of the parameters are taken for bandwidth allocation and arrival of packets. The packet arrival (?) varies from 133 2x104 packets/sec to 7x104 packets/sec, probability parameters (?, ?) varies from 0.1 to 0.9, the transmission 134 135 rate for first transmitter ( $\mu$ 1) varies from 5x104 packets/sec to 9x104 packets/sec, transmission rate for second transmitter (µ2) varies from 15x104 packets/sec to 19x104 packets/sec and transmission rate for third transmitter 136 (µ3) varies from 25x104 packets/sec to 29x104 packets/sec. Dynamic Bandwidth Allocation strategy is considered 137 for both the three transmitters. So, the transmission rate of each packet depends on the number of packets in 138 139 the buffer connected to corresponding transmitter.

140 The equations 3.9, 3.11, 3.14, 3.16, 3.19 and 3.21 are used for computing the utilization of the transmitters and throughput of the transmitters for different values of parameters t, ?, ?, ?,  $\mu$ 1,  $\mu$ 2,  $\mu$ 3 and the results are presented 141 in the Table ??.1. The Graphs in figure ??.1 shows the relationship between utilization of the transmitters and 142 throughput of the transmitters. From the table 4.1 it is observed that, when the time (t) and ? increases, 143 the utilization of the transmitters is increases for the fixed value of other parameters ?, ?,  $\mu$ 1,  $\mu$ 2. As the first 144 transmitter probability parameter ? increases from 0.1 to 0.9, the utilization of first transmitter increases and 145 utilization of the second and third transmitter decreases, this is due to the number of packets arriving at the 146 second and third transmitter are decreasing as number of packets going back to the first transmitter and second 147 transmitter in feedback are increasing. As the second transmitter probability parameter ? increases from 0.1 to 148 149 0.9, the utilization of first transmitter remains constant and utilization of the second transmitter increases and 150 the utilization of the third transmitter decreases. As the transmission rate of the first transmitter ( $\mu$ 1) increases from 5 to 9, the utilization of the first transmitter decreases and the utilization of the second transmitter and 151 third transmitter increases by keeping the other parameters as constant. As the transmission rate of the second 152 transmitter  $(\mu 2)$  increases from 15 to 19, the utilization of the first transmitter is constant and the utilization of 153 the second transmitter decreases, the utilization of the third transmitter increases by keeping the other parameters 154 as constant. As the transmission rate of the third transmitter  $(\mu 3)$  increases from 25 to 29 the utilization of the 155 first and second transmitters is constant and the utilization of the third transmitter decreases by keeping the 156 other parameters as constant. 157

It is also observed from the table 4.1 that, as the time (t) increases, the throughput of first, second and third 158 transmitters is increases for the fixed values of other parameters. When the parameter ? increases from 3x104159 160 packets/sec to 7x104 packets/sec, the throughput of three transmitters is increases. As the probability parameter 161 ? value increases from 0.1 to 0.9, the throughput of the first transmitter increases and the throughput of the 162 second and third transmitters decreases. As the probability parameter ? value increases from 0.1 to 0.9, the throughput of the first transmitter remains constant and the throughput of the second transmitter is increases and 163 the throughput of It is observed from the Table ??.2 that as the time (t) varies from 0.1 to 0.9 seconds, the mean 164 number of packets in the three buffers and in the network are increasing when other parameters are kept constant. 165 When the ? changes from 3x104 packets/second to 7x104 packets/second the mean number of packets in the first, 166 second, third buffers and in the network increases. As the probability parameter? varies from 0.1 to 0.9, the mean 167 number packets in the first buffer increases and in the second, third buffer decreases due to feedback for the first 168

and second buffer. When the second probability parameter ? varies from 0.1 to 0.9, the mean number packets in 169 the first buffer remains constant and increases in the second buffer due to packets arrived directly from the first 170 transmitter, decreases in the third buffer due to feedback from the second transmitter. When the transmission 171 rate of the first transmitter  $(\mu 1)$  varies from 5x104 packets/second to 9x104 packets/second, the mean number 172 of packets in the first buffer decreases, in the second and third buffer increases. When the transmission rate 173 of the second transmitter ( $\mu$ 2) varies from 15x104 packets/second to 19x104 packets/second, the mean number 174 of packets in the first buffer remains constant Year 2014 E and decreases in the second buffer and increases in 175 the third buffer. When the transmission rate of the third transmitter  $(\mu 3)$  varies from 25x104 packets/second to 176 29x104 the mean number of packets in the first and second buffer remains constant and decreases in the third 177 buffer. 178

From the table 4.2, it is also observed that with time (t) and ?, the mean delay in the three buffers increases 179 for fixed values of other parameters. As the parameter ? varies the mean delay in the first buffer increases and 180 decreases in the second, third buffer due to feedback for the first and second buffer. As the parameter ? varies 181 the mean delay in the first buffer remains constant and increases in the second buffer and decreases in third 182 buffer. As the transmission rate of the first transmitter  $(\mu 1)$  varies, the mean delay of the first buffer decreases, 183 in the second, Third buffer slightly increases. When the transmission rate of the second transmitter  $(\mu 2)$  varies, 184 185 the mean delay of the first and third buffer remains constant and decreases for the second buffer. When the 186 transmission rate of the third transmitter  $(\mu 3)$  varies, the mean delay of the first and second buffer remains 187 constant and decreases for the third buffer.

From the above analysis, it is observed that the dynamic bandwidth allocation strategy has a significant 188 influence on all performance measures of the network. We also Observed that the performance measures are 189 highly sensitive towards smaller values of time. Hence, it is optimal to consider dynamic bandwidth allocation 190 and evaluate the performance under transient conditions. It is also to be observed that the congestion in buffers 191 and delays in transmission can be reduced to a minimum level by adopting dynamic bandwidth allocation. 192

#### 3 Sensitivity Analysis 193

Sensitivity analysis of the proposed network model with respect to the changes in the parameters t, ?, ? and 194 ? on the mean number of packets, utilization of the transmitters, mean delay and throughput of the three 195 transmitters is presented in this section. The values considered for the sensitivity analysis are, t = 0.5 sec, ? = 196 2x104 packets/sec,  $\mu 1 = 5x104$  packets/second,  $\mu 2 = 15x104$  packets/second,  $\mu 3 = 25x104$  packets/second, ? 197 0.1 and ? = 0.1. The mean number of packets, utilization of the transmitters, mean delay and throughput of 198 the transmitters are computed with variation of -15%, -10%, -5%, 0%, +5%, +10%, +15% on the model and are 199 presented in the table 5.1. The performance measures are highly affected by the changes in the values of time 200 (t), arrival and probability constants (?, ?). 201

When the time (t) increases from -15% to +15% the average number of packets in the three buffers increase 202 203 along with the utilization, throughput of the transmitters and the average delay in buffers. As the arrival parameter (?) increases from -15% to +15% the average number of packets in the three buffers increase along 204 with the utilization, throughput of the transmitters and the average delay in buffers. As the probability parameter 205 ? increases from -15% to +15% the average number of packets in the first buffer increase along with the utilization, 206 throughput of the transmitters and the average delay in buffers. But average number of packets in the second 207 and third buffer decrease along with the utilization, throughput of the transmitter and the average delay in buffer 208 due to feedback for the first and second transmitters. Similarly, when the probability parameter? increases from 209 -15% to +15% the average number of packets, utilization, throughput and the average delay in first buffer remains 210 constant. But average number of packets in the second buffer increase along with the utilization, throughput 211 of the transmitter, average delay and the average number of packets in the third buffer decrease along with 212 utilization, throughput of the transmitter, average delay. 213

From the above analysis it is observed that the dynamic bandwidth allocation strategy has an important 214 influence on all performance measures of the network. It is also observed that these performance measures are 215 also sensitive towards the probability parameters (?, ?), which causes feedback of packets to the first and second 216 transmitters. Year 2014  ${\rm E}$ 217

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>© 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ © 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US) Solving first and second terms in equation 2.4, we get



Figure 1: Figure 2 . 1 :



Figure 2: 3



Figure 3:

41
----

and Homogeneous Poisson arrival
---------------------------------

$\mathbf{t}$	?	??	?1	?2~?3	U1(t)	U2(t)	U3(t)	Th1(t)	Th2(t)	Th3(t)
0.1	$2 \ 0.1$	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.1488	0.0253	0.0075	0.7438	0.3799	0.1878
0.3	20.1	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.2805	0.0877	0.0426	1.4026	1.3161	1.0658
0.5	20.1	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.3281	0.1173	0.0626	1.6403	1.7601	1.5658
0.7	$2 \ 0.1$	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.3465	0.1295	0.0711	1.7325	1.9418	1.7771
0.9	$2 \ 0.1$	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.3538	0.1344	0.0745	1.7692	2.0153	1.8632
0.5	$3 \ 0.1$	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.4492	0.1707	0.0925	2.2460	2.5611	2.3115
0.5	$4 \ 0.1$	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.5485	0.2209	0.1213	2.7425	3.3136	3.0334
0.5	$5 \ 0.1$	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.6299	0.2680	0.1493	3.1495	4.0206	3.7325
0.5	$6 \ 0.1$	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.6966	0.3123	0.1764	3.4831	4.6849	4.4092
0.5	$7 \ 0.1$	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.7513	0.3539	0.2026	3.7566	5.3089	5.0644
0.5	20.1	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.3281	0.1173	0.0626	1.6403	1.7601	1.5658
0.5	20.3	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.3763	0.1073	0.0566	1.8816	1.6088	1.4146
0.5	20.5	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.4349	0.0916	0.0476	2.1746	1.3743	1.1905
0.5	20.7	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.5052	0.0671	0.0342	2.5258	1.0063	0.8550
0.5	20.9	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.5872	0.0279	0.0139	2.9360	0.4191	0.3472
0.5	$2 \ 0.1$	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.3281	0.1173	0.0626	1.6403	1.7601	1.5658
0.5	$2 \ 0.1$	0.3	5	$15 \ 25$	0.3281	0.1445	0.0606	1.6403	2.1678	1.5158
0.5	$2 \ 0.1$	0.5	5	$15 \ 25$	0.3281	0.1860	0.0567	1.6403	2.7902	1.4164
0.5	20.1	0.7	5	$15 \ 25$	0.3281	0.2620	0.0424	1.6403	3.9304	1.0606
0.5	20.1	0.9	5	$15 \ 25$	0.3281	0.3680	0.0245	1.6403	5.5200	0.6133
0.5	20.1	0.1	5	$15 \ 25$	0.3281	0.1173	0.0626	1.6403	1.7601	1.5658
0.5	$2 \ 0.1$	0.1	6	$15 \ 25$	0.2921	0.1234	0.0664	1.7527	1.8505	1.6600

Figure 4: Table 4 . 1 :

 $\mathbf{42}$ 

t ??? ? ?1 ?2 ?3 L1(t)

L2(t)

L3(t) W1(t)

W2

Figure 5: Table 4 . 2 :

 $\mathbf{51}$ 

ParRentormance15		-10	-5	% change in	Parameter 0 5	10	15	
Measure	0.9700	0.9050	0.2020	0.2070	0.4000	0.4070	0 4110	
LI(t)	0.3788	0.3858	0.3920	0.3976	0.4026	0.4070	0.4110	
$L_2(t)$	0.1150	0.1190	0.1221	0.1248	0.1273	0.1295	0.1315	
L3(t)	0.0587	0.0609	0.0629	0.0647	0.0663	0.0677	0.0690	
UI(t)	0.3153	0.3201	0.3243	0.3281	0.3314	0.3344	0.3370	
U2(t)	0.1091	0.1122	0.1149	0.1173	0.1195	0.1215	0.1232	
t=0.53(t)	0.0570	0.0591	0.0609 1.6216	6 0.0626	0.0641	0.0655	0.0667	
Th1(t)	1.5766	1.6004		1.6403	1.6571	1.6719	1.6851	
Th2(t)	1.6370	1.6827	1.7236	1.7601	1.7927	1.8218	1.8479	
Th3(t)	1.4244	1.4767	1.5236	1.5658	1.6035	1.6373	1.6676	
W1(t)	0.2403	0.2411	0.2418	0.2424	0.2430	0.2435	0.2439	
W2(t)	0.0706	0.0707	0.0708	0.0709	0.0710	0.0711	0.0711	
W3(t)	0.0412	0.0412	0.0413	0.0413	0.0413	0.0414	0.0414	
L1(t)	0.3380	0.3578	0.3777	0.3976	0.4175	0.4374	0.4572	
L2(t)	0.1061	0.1123	0.1186	0.1248	0.1311	0.1373	0.1435	
L3(t)	0.0550	0.0582	0.0614	0.0647	0.0679	0.0711	0.0744	
U1(t)	0.2868	0.3008	0.3146	0.3281	0.3413	0.3543	0.3670	
U2(t)	0.1007	0.1063	0.1118	0.1173	0.1228	0.1283	0.1337	
2 = 2 U3(t)	0.0535	0.0565	$0.0596 \ 1.5729$	9 0.0626	0.0657	0.0687	0.0717	
Th1(t)	1.4339	1.5041		1.6403	1.7065	1.7713	1.8349	
Th2(t)	1.5099	1.5938	1.6772	1.7601	1.8424	1.9243	2.0056	
Th3(t)	1.3373	1.4137	1.4899	1.5658	1.6414	1.7168	1.7920	
W1(t)	0.2357	0.2379	0.2401	0.2424	0.2446	0.2469	0.2492	
W2(t)	0.0703	0.0705	0.0707	0.0709	0.0711	0.0713	0.0716	
W3(t)	0.0411	0.0412	0.0412	0.0413	0.0414	0.0414	0.0415	
L1(t)	0.3928	0.3944	0.3960	0.3976	0.3992	0 4009	0 4025	
$L_2(t)$	0.1255	0.1253	0.1250	0.1248	0.1246	0 1244	0 1241	
$L_2(t)$ $L_3(t)$	0.1200 0.0651	0.1200 0.0649	0.0648	0.1210 0.0647	0.1210 0.0645	0.1211 0.0644	0.0643	
II1(t)	0.3248	0.3259	0.3270	0.3281	0.3292	0.3303	0.3314	
$U_{1}(t)$	0.0210 0.1179	0.3233 0.1177	0.0270 0.1175	0.0201 0.1173	0.1171	0.0000	0.0011	
2(0)	0.1119	0.0620	0.0628 1.6340	0.1170	0.0625	0.1105	0.1101	
Th1(t)	1.6241	1.6205	0.0020 1.004	1 6403	1.6458	1.6513	1 6568	
Th2(t)	1.0241 1.7600	1.0235 1.7661	1 7631	1.0403 1.7601	1.0450	1.0010 1.7540	1.0508	
$Th_{2}(t)$	1.7090 1.5740	1.7001 1.5710	1.7031	1.7001	1.7570	1.7540 1.5505	1.7500	
1  II3(0) W1(+)	1.3749	1.3719	1.0000	1.0008	1.3020	1.0090	1.0000	
W1(0) W2(t)	0.2418	0.2420	0.2422	0.2424	0.2420	0.2420 0.0700	0.2429	
$W_2(t)$ $W_2(t)$	0.0709	0.0709	0.0709	0.0709	0.0709	0.0709	0.0709	
VV O(U) T 1(4)	0.0413	0.0415 0.2076	0.0413 0.2076	0.0413	0.0415	0.0415 0.2076	0.0413	
L1(t)	0.3970	0.3970	0.3970	0.3970	0.3970	0.3970	0.3970	
$L_2(t)$	0.1229	0.1236	0.1242	0.1248	0.1254	0.1201	0.1207	
L3(t)	0.0648	0.0648	0.0647	0.0647	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	
UI(t)	0.3281	0.3281	0.3281	0.3281	0.3281	0.3281	0.3281	
U2(t)	0.1157	0.1162	0.1168	0.1173	0.1179	0.1185	0.1190	
f = OB(t)	0.0627	0.0627	0.0627 1.6403	3 0.0626	0.0626	0.0626	0.0625	
ThI(t)	1.6403	1.6403		1.6403	1.6403	1.0403	1.6403	
Th2(t)	1.7353	1.7435	1.7517	1.7601	1.7685	1.7770	1.7855	
Th3(t)	1.5684	1.5676	1.5667	1.5658	1.5648	1.5639	1.5630	
W1(t)	0.2424	0.2424	0.2424	0.2424	0.2424	0.2424	0.2424	
W2(t)	0.0708	0.0709	0.0709	0.0709	0.0709	0.0710	0.0710	
W3(t)	0.0413	0.0413	0.0413	0.0413	0.0413	0.0413	0.0413	

## 218 .1 VI.

### 219 .2 Conclusion

This paper introduces a tandem communication network model with three transmitters with dynamic bandwidth 220 allocation and feedback for both transmitters. Arrival of packets at the two buffers follows homogeneous Poisson 221 arrivals and dynamic bandwidth allocation at the transmitters. The performance is measured by approximating 222 the arrival process with the transmission process with Poisson process. The sensitivity of the network with 223 respect to input parameters is studied through numerical illustrations. The dynamic bandwidth allocation is 224 adapted by immediate adjustment of packet service time by utilizing idle bandwidth in the transmitter. It is 225 observed that the feedback probability parameters (?,?) have significant influence on the overall performance 226 of the network. A numerical study reveals that this communication network model is capable of predicting the 227 performance measures more close to the reality. It is interesting to note that this Communication network model 228 includes some of the earlier Communication network model given by P.S.Varma and K.Srinivasa Rao. Basing on 229 the performance measures the model is extended for nonhomogeneous Poisson arrivals. 230

- [Rao et al. ()] 'A tandem communication Network with Dynamic Bandwidth Allocation and modified phase type
   transmission having Bulk arrivals'. Nageswara Rao , K , K Srinivas Rao , P Srinivasa Rao . International
   Journal of Computer science Issues 2010. 7 (3) p. .
- [Huang and Yu ()] 'Analysis and design of a novel randomized Broadcast algorithm for scalable Wireless
   Networks in the Interference Channels'. Scott C H Huang , Chang , Shih Yu . *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications* 2010. 9 (7) p. .
- [Kin and Leung ()] Load dependent service queues with application to congestion control in broadband Networks,
   performance evaluation, K Kin , Leung . 2002. 50 p. .
- [Sriram ()] Methodologies for bandwidth allocation, transmission scheduling and congestion control avoidance in
   broadband ATM Networks, computer Network, ISDN system, K Sriram. 1993. 26 p. .
- [Niu ()] 'On the comparison of waiting times in tandem queues'. S C Niu . Journal of Applied Probability 1981.
  18 (3) p. .
- [Wu et al. ()] Performance Analysis of communication Network in multi-cluster systems under bursty traffic with,
  Yulei Wu , Geyong Min , Keqin Li , Bahman Javadi . 2009.

 <sup>[</sup>Varma and Rao ()] 'A Communication Network with load dependent Transmission'. Suresh Varma , P , K
 Srinivas Rao . International Journal of Mathematical Sciences 2007. 6 (2) p. .