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Abstract
Traffic congestion of vehicles at road intersections is a growing problem in many developing countries of the world, especially in large urban areas. This stems from a continuous increase in the human population, poor road networks and the proliferation of vehicles for transportation of humans and goods from one location to another towards the performance of civil, social and economic activities. These vehicles often meet at road intersections and desire the Right-of-Way (RoW) towards their destination. This situation always results in race competition, traffic jam and gridlock condition with its attendant effects on time, fuel wastages as well as accident and fire outbreak which often results to loss of lives and property. The conventional traffic light control system which employs a static time cycle for issuance of RoW to each lane at the intersection lacks human-like intelligence and traffic situational awareness.

Index terms—intelligent system, priority longest queue, vehicular traffic, interval type-2 fuzzy logic.

1 Introduction
Traffic congestion constitutes serious problems and a threat to road users with its attendant effects on gridlock, noise pollution, long waiting hours, wastage of fuel, time and money as well as accident which might result in loss of lives and property. In large urban cities, intelligent traffic signal controller plays an important role in improving the efficiency of vehicles and traffic congestion thereby reducing travel time, noise pollution, carbon dioxide emission and amount of fuel used (Javed et al. 2015). Conventional traffic controller comprises a constant cycle of actions to be carried out based on received signals whose output are displayed in colours for instance red (stop), yellow (get-ready) and green (go) (Lee et al. 2002). Conventional traffic controller also grants equal allotment of time to each of the traffic routes (Maslekar et al. 2011). Equal time allotment to all routes is fair if all the routes have equal traffic density. This is seldom true in real-life traffic situations. At a given traffic intersection, there could be lanes with very high traffic density while others might have very low density. Marco (2014) opined that the strategies employed in conventional models’ light control such as the use of constant cycle time for traffic control do not consider the traffic densities and analysis of situations at traffic intersections, thereby lacking adequate knowledge needed for dynamic response to complex and time-varying traffic scenarios. The static scheduling models grant cyclical permission and RoW to vehicles at intersections with no recourse to high traffic density routes or emergencies. This problem could be solved by incorporation of the Priority Longest Queue First (PLQF) model at the traffic controller. The PLQF model evaluates the density of each lane as well as the emergency signal to select the lane for traffic flow. Incorporation of an intelligent tool such as interval type-2 fuzzy logic for traffic pattern evaluation facilitates dynamic time allotment based on queue parameters. Fuzzy Logic (FL) developed in (Zadeh1975) as a mathematical tool for dealing with imprecision and uncertainty has been successfully applied in (Obot 2007 In this work, PLQF was formulated for the selection of lanes for RoW. The data (queue density, average waiting time, number of priority vehicles) of the selected lane served as input to interval type-2 fuzzy logic module for pattern classification to guide traffic time allocation. In the remaining parts of this paper, reviews of some related works on vehicular control models are presented in section 2. The concept of PLQF model for traffic control is presented in section 3. Sections 4 and 5 contain results of simulations of the PLQF model and the conclusion of the work respectively.


3 RELATED WORKS

2 II.

3 Related Works

Tubaishat et al. (??2007), investigated vehicular traffic control system using wireless sensors. The sensors were installed at designated locations at the traffic intersection. The number of vehicles between two sensors at each lane of the intersection formed the queue density for that lane. Results showed that the distance between two sensors on the same lane had no significant effect on traffic volume. The average waiting time of vehicles was not compared with other traffic control models to ascertain the performance of the proposed system. The work in (Zhou et al. 2010) developed an adaptive traffic light control algorithm that adjusted both the sequence and length of the traffic light in accordance with the real-time traffic situation. The algorithm selected the sequence of phases among a set of conflict-free situations according to multiple criteria: traffic volume, priority vehicles, waiting time, starvation degree and queue lengths. Results showed that traffic throughput was maximized and average waiting time was minimized. However, the algorithm was based on the assumption that all vehicles were of the same type and run at the same speed. This is rarely true in a typical traffic scenario. Osigwe, et al.(2011) presented a hybrid methodology obtained from the crossing of the structured system analysis and fuzzy logic-based design methodologies. An analysis of current traffic systems in Nigeria was carried out which necessitated the design of an intelligent traffic control system. Java software was used for the simulation of the system. The fuzzy logic provided better performance in terms of total waiting time and total moving time. Emergency and high-priority vehicles were not captured in the design. The work in (Prajakta et al. 2011) surveyed multi-agent techniques for traffic congestion management. Limitations of existing non-multi-agent-based congestion management techniques were found to include lack of robustness, coordination and adaptivity which predominantly stem from factors such as lack of communication between elements of the traffic control system. This limits the capability of existing systems to act autonomously and respond to fast-changing traffic conditions. In (Harpe et al. 2012), an intelligent traffic light based on Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) was proposed. The work gave priority to emergency vehicles but did not consider high-density lanes. Lack of consideration of high-density lanes led to increase in traffic jams and average waiting time at traffic intersections. The work in (Escalera et al. 2013) employed a fuzzy type-2 light controller to control the phase-splits of the traffic light at road intersections. The system provided better performance in terms of total waiting time but lacked the capacity for adequate handling of uncertainties in traffic input parameters. In (Rajeswaran and Rajasekaran 2013), Cellular Automata (CA) model was explored to model traffic flow. The traffic intersection was mathematically idealized with vehicles and time viewed as discrete quantities. The CA model was applied to a single-lane highway with a ring topology and a two-lane traffic flow intersection. Performance metrics were generally obtained through computer simulation of the evolution of the cellular automaton over time. Anuran et al.(2014) proposed an Intelligent Traffic Control Systems (ITCS) using Radio Frequency Identification (RFID). The ITCS comprised a set of two RFID readers, separated by some distance, in each direction of a road crossing and a Central Computer System (CCS) to control them. As a vehicle passed by a reader, it tracked the vehicle through the RFID tag attached to the vehicle and retrieved its electronic product code data which primarily consisted of a Vehicular Identification Number (VIN). Through a table look-up procedure, the VIN was matched against individual vehicle records and details such as type, weight, length, registration, pollution control status and the owner’s identification were retrieved. The data was sent to the CCS for computation. The CCS employed a central database processing system for computing vehicular data and a decision-making section for controlling the traffic signals. The system was implemented in real-time. It helped in tracking stolen vehicles as well as vehicles booked for violating traffic rules. Peculiarities for high-priority vehicles were envisaged for preferential allotment of right-of-way. An interrupt handler was suggested to suspend normal automation in case of emergency. Human-like intelligent tools were not incorporated to handle dynamic time allotment on the lanes. Pati (2016) proposed an intelligent traffic control system based on K-mean algorithm. The system considered high-priority vehicles such as ambulance and fire service vehicles. Tools were not incorporated for dynamic allotment of time for RoW to the traffic lane. Analysis of traffic scenario was carried out using a single lane hence could not capture the ideal situation at multi-lanes traffic intersection. In (Uthara and Athira, 2018), image processing technique was employed in the design of density-based traffic control system. A webcam was used in each lane of the traffic light in order to take pictures of the roads where traffic was bound to occur. Counting of vehicles was carried out using image processing tools in Mat Lab environment. Different timings were allocated for RoW on the lanes based on the count. The design of a smart traffic controller for vehicles and pedestrians was implemented in Adnan et al. (2018) using fuzzy logic tools in a Mat Lab environment. The system allotted different time signals for RoW at different lanes based on queue density. Considerations were not given to high-priority vehicles like ambulance, fire service, police patrol teams, and so on. This hindered the human-like preferential considerations at traffic intersections. Zhuzhou et al. (2019), used Simulation of Urban Mobility (SUMO), an open-source, microscopic and multi-modal traffic simulation software to extract vehicle density at the traffic intersection in Vehicular Adhoc Network (VANET) environment. The Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V) and Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) interaction technologies created better conditions for collecting the whole timespace and refined traffic data. A real-time traffic density extraction method was proposed to cater for lane density and road network density. The extracted traffic densities could be employed for efficient traffic management at traffic intersections.
Methodology

The conceptual architecture of the Intelligent Vehicular Traffic Control System (IVTCS) is presented in figure 1. The architecture comprises the component of the IVTCS and the relationship among them.

The proposed system framework is shown in Figure 1 consists of the following components:

1. Inputs: These are parameters used in selecting the optimal lane as well as computing the traffic time. These traffic parameters are Queue Density (QD), Average Waiting Time (AWT) and Number of Priority Vehicles (NPV).

The proposed system framework is shown in Figure 1 consists of the following components:

1. Inputs: These are parameters used in selecting the optimal lane as well as computing the traffic time. These traffic parameters are Queue Density (QD), Average Waiting Time (AWT) and Number of Priority Vehicles (NPV).

2. Priority Longest Queue First (PLQF) model is proposed in this work to determine the optimal lane at any given instance.

3. Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Logic (IT2FL) algorithm is used to evaluate the traffic time based on the input parameters (called crisp input).

4. Right-of-Way (RoW) Time value - This is the output of the fuzzy logic algorithm (the crisp output), the value is used in allotting time for right-of-way for the selected lane.

5. Traffic Light Switching module - This is the interface that switches the traffic lights based on the lane selected by PLQF and time allotted by IT2FL algorithm.

6 a) Formulation of Priority Longest Queue First (PLOF) Model

The formulation of the PLOF model using mathematical equations is presented as follows: Let the traffic function \( ?? \) at the moment \( ?? \) at a traffic intersection with lanes \( r \) be modeled by equations 1 to 22:

\[
\]

where \( ?? \) is the average waiting time of vehicles starting at point \( (s_1) \) and stopping at point \( (s_2) \) at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the arrival time of \( i \) th vehicle at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection. \( ?? \) is the queue length of vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection, obtained by counting the number of vehicles in the section within points \( (s_1, s_2) \). \( ?? \) is the number of priority vehicles at \( r \) th lane of the intersection.
V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

This paper has developed a PLQF algorithm for the control of vehicular traffic at road intersections. Time
allotment strategy was incorporated using interval type-2 fuzzy logic model. Inputs to the model were average
waiting time, queue density and number of priority vehicles, while time allotted for RoW served as the output.
PLQF model was formulated using mathematical equations. The system was simulated using Java Development
Toolkit (JDK) 8.0 and tested using traffic data collected from heavy traffic intersections at Uyo, Nigeria. Results
showed superior performance of PLQF model over the conventional traffic system in terms of selection of lane
and dynamic allotment of time for right-of-way. The results from PLQF model invariably alleviate the sufferings
of road users, maintain intelligent fairness, reduce traffic congestion, offer rational solutions to diverse situations
on specific traffic lanes depending on queue density, average waiting time, emergencies and needs. Future work
would critically investigate and compare the average turn-around-time of the PLQF model with other intelligent
vehicular traffic models for traffic management at intersections.
Figure 1: Figure 1:

Figure 2:
Figure 3: Figure 2:
Figure 4: Figure 3:
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Traffic Parameters PLQF Traffic decisions
Lane AWT QD NPV RoW Priority Lane Time
North 60 47 0 1 East 46
West 55 44 1 2 West 61
East 52 33 3 3 North 70
South 54 35 0 4 South 53

Figure 8: Table 1:

2

Traffic Parameters Pre-timed Traffic model decision PLQF Traffic decision (Current study)
Lane AWT QD NPV RoW Priority Lane Time RoW Priority Lane Time
North 60 47 0 1 North 45 1 East 46
West 55 44 1 2 West 45 2 West 61
East 52 33 3 3 East 45 3 North 70
South 54 35 0 4 South 45 4 South 53

Figure 9: Table 2:
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Traffic Parameters Correlation Standard Error
Time_RoW versus QD 0.94 0.004
Time_RoW versus AWT 0.83 0.008
Time_RoW versus NPV 0.71 0.09
Average 0.826 0.034

As shown in

Figure 10: Table 3:
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Figure 11: Table 2
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