Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

1	Modification of Support Vector Machine for Microarray Data
2	Analysis
3	Sk Sarif Hassan <sup>1</sup> and Sk Sarif Hassan <sup>2</sup>
4	1
5	Received: 15 December 2012 Accepted: 2 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013
6	

#### 7 Abstract

19

<sup>8</sup> The role of protuberant data analysis in selection of certain genes having distinctive level of

<sup>9</sup> activities between conditions of interest i.e diseased gene and normal genes is very significant.

<sup>10</sup> Nowa- days it is become a standard in gene analysis that microarray of DNA is a crucial data

<sup>11</sup> preparation step in systemization and other biological analysis. We consider the problem of

<sup>12</sup> constructing an accurate prediction rule for separating the different labels of genes in

<sup>13</sup> microarray gene expression data. Use of SVM in such data analysis is not new but it is not up

<sup>14</sup> to the mark we desire. So in this manuscript, we have tried to modify Support Vector

<sup>15</sup> Machine (SVM) for better accuracy in cancer genes systemization. Here we have modified

<sup>16</sup> SVM to account for gene redundancy and keep a check on it. In the other approach, instead of

<sup>17</sup> keeping bias a constant in SVM, we have tried to modify SVM by bias variation which we call

<sup>18</sup> as Orthogonal Vertical Permutator (OVP).

20 Index terms— support vector machine, microarray, redundancy, orthogonal vertical permutator.

## <sup>21</sup> 1 Introduction

22 he theory of support vector machines (SVMs), which is based on the idea of structural risk minimization (SRM), is a new classification technique and has drawn much attention on this topic in recent years ??Burges, 1998; 23 24 ??ortes and Vapnik 1995; ??apnik, 1995 ??apnik, , 1998)). The good generalization ability of SVMs is achieved 25 by finding a large margin between two classes (Bartlett and Shawe-Taylor, 1998; Shawe-Taylor and ??artlett, 1998). In many applications, the theory of SVMs has been shown to provide higher performance than traditional 26 27 learning machines (Burges, 1998) and has been introduced as powerful tools for solving classification problems. Since the optimal hyper-plane obtained by the SVM depends on only a small part of the data points, it may 28 become sensitive to noises or outliers in the training set ??Boser et al., 1992; ??hang, 1999). 29

To solve this problem, one approach is to do some preprocessing on training data to remove noises or outliers, and then use the remaining set learn the decision function ??Cao et al., 2003). This method is hard to implement if we do not have enough knowledge about noises or outliers. In many real world applications, we are given a set of training data without knowledge Author : Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian School of Mines, Dhanbad, Jharkhand-826004, India. E-mail : vrush2elu@ismu.ac.in Author : Institute of Mathematics & Applications Bhubaneswar, India. E-mail : sarimif@gmail.com about noises or outliers. There are some risks

to remove the meaningful data points as noises or outliers.

Support Vector Machines have gained much attention in recent years due to their better predictability and ability to theoretically project any data to infinite dimension. It works on the simple basis of separating classes using a hyper plane.

In present scenario, any classification method has to deal with thousands of genes provided by micro array data. This is real test for and classification method.

Neural networks have shown high potential in dealing with huge amount of data but it cannot overcome redundancy problem. Many highly correlated genes play similar role in classification while many of them could be omitted. Support Vector Machines also could not account for this problem in current form. In SVM, the weights
of any two highly correlated features will be quite near and thus both can play significant role in classification.
It is a major hindrance in feature selection.

47 In this paper, we present two different approaches for improvement of classification accuracy for linear SVMs.

In the first method, redundancy control has been targeted for improve the classification rate. For checking a control on redundancy an matrix 'A' has been introduced in the optimization problem. This matrix keeps a check on weight of a feature according to its correlation with other features. It will be discussed in details in later section of paper.

The second method is also an approach to improve the classification performance of linear SVM. It is based on adjustment of bias value in SVM. The results have encouraged us for further probe.

In the paper, Section 2 describes the architecture of normal Support vector machine. Section 3 compares the 54 architecture of normal SVM and modified SVM for controlling the redundancy. Section 4 describes the other 55 method for improving the classification accuracy called Orthogonal Vertical Permutator. Section 5 and 6 discusses 56 experimentation and the results obtained respectively. data perfectly into two classes. Since the example data 57 is often not linearly separable, SVM introduce a "kernel induced feature space" which casts data into a higher 58 59 dimensional space where data is separable. SVM plays a major role in eliminating computational complexity and 60 over fitting (Crammer, Koby, 2001, Drucker, Harris, 1996, Ferris, Michael C, 2002 and T. S. Furey et al. 2000). 61 We are given l training samples  $\{x_i, y_i\}, i = 1, 2, 1$ , where each sample has d inputs, and a class label with

We are given 1 training samples  $\{x_1, y_1\}, i = 1, 2, 3, 1$ , where each sample has d inputs, and a class label with one of two values (yi?{-1,1}).Now, all hyper-planes in are parameterized by a vector (w), and a constant (b), expressed in the equation ?? .?? + ?? = 0 where w is orthogonal to the hyper-plane.

Given such a hyper-plane (w, b) that separates the data, this gives the function  $??"\delta ??"(??) =$ 65 ???? $\delta ??"\delta ??"?(??.?? + ??)$ 

which correctly systemizes the training. However, a given hyper-plane represented by (w, b) is equally expressed
by all pairs {????,?? ??} for ?????+. So we define the canonical hyper-plane to be that which separates the data
from the hyper-plane by a distance of at least 1.

That is, we consider those that satisfy: Hence, the hyper-plane that optimally separates the data is the one that minimizes This is solved by using Lagrange's multipliers.

Where ?? are the Lagrange multipliers. The Lagrangian has to be minimised with respect to ??, ?? and minimised with respect to ??? O. Classical Lagrangian duality enables the primal problem,

The minimum with respect to ?? and ?? of the Lagrangian, ?? , is given by, Hence, the dual problem is And hence the solution to the problem is given by With constraints, This equation is can be represented as a quadratic form.

# 76 2 iii. Orthogonal Vertical Permutator

Orthogonal Vertical Permutator is a reformation of SVM. In OVP, we vary the bias value of SVM which results in vertical permutations of the hyper-plane resulting from SVM. This section of paper focuses on the bias value 'b' in SVM framework. Its theoretical inspiration is being discussed in following section. Bias is the constant term which is added in decision making equation. Since, SVM generates the hyper-plane with the best possible slope, here we have adjusted the bias value to shift this plane using minimization of classification error of both classes. Therefore it can be seen that classification error is less in the later case as compared to the normal SVM. For realizing this plane, an approach similar to gradient descent is used. Bias value is changed by a fraction of

<sup>84</sup> its current value depending on the minimization of error.

85 The error in this case is defined in a different way than in usual case.

Normally error is defined as, But in this case, we have defined Error as Both error rates are quite different. In first case the, each error has absolute importance and is equally important. But in the other case, the error rate for each class is different and its importance is related to the number of samples in its class. In second case, one class may be classified to very high accuracy at the expense of the other. It leads to higher probability of accuracy rate for one class.

SVM is used to categorize datasets into binary data. All the hyper-planes separating the data into two groups are orthogonal to vector w. The variation of bias gives rise to various permutations of the hyper-planes along the

93 vertical. Our model gives rise to vertical permutations of the orthogonal hyper-planes and hence the Orthogonal

94 Vertical Permutator is named.

### 95 **3 III.**

## <sup>96</sup> 4 Result and Analysis

This section gives the comparison of percentage accuracy of SVM with modified SVM in Table-I against the sigma values of A matrix. It can be inferred that the modification offers a better accuracy over SVM. The second table depicts a comparison of percentage of accuracy of SVM and OVP-SVM. The percentage accuracy with shifted bias value is better than normal bias value. Figure ?? and figure ?? gives the graphical representation of application of SVM and OVP-SVM on concentric circle dataset and spiral dataset respectively. The comparison analysis of both classification methods is done on the benchmark datasets. Each dataset is validated using double cross fold approach. Linear SVM is used for classification. Therefore only one parameter needs to be tuned i.e.

- the 'C' which accounts for soft margin classification. If training data was not provided separately then data was analyzed using 5 fold double cross validation. The data was divided into four parts of training data and one part of testing data. This training data was again five folded with four folds for actual training and one fold for parameter adjustment. All the datasets are available at UCI Machine Learning repository [6]. Table 1 shows the effect of change in matrix 'A' on sonar dataset. In rest of cases results are obtained by creating matrix 'A' is made by summing the correlation coefficient of a feature with rest of the features.
- <sup>110</sup> The experimentation of SVM with changed value of bias is performed on two datasets. The result of concentric circle dataset and of Spiral Dataset is shown in table 2. 12






Figure 1:

111

 $<sup>^1 \</sup>odot$  2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{Modification}$  of Support Vector Machine for Microarray Data Analysis





 $\mathbf{21}$ 

Figure 2: 2 1  $\mid$ 




Figure 3: Figure 1 :




Figure 4: Figure 2 : Figure 3 :

1

Modified SVM

Figure 5: Table 1 :

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

- The results obtained in both methods outperform the normal SVM and have different advantages. Modified 112 SVM is immune to redundancy and OVP helps in improvising the classification accuracy of SVM and can be
- 113
- beneficial in multi class datasets. The processing was done on Matlab R2009. 114
- [Yorozu et al.] 'Electron spectroscopy studies on magneto-optical media and plastic substrate interface'. Y Yorozu 115 , M Hirano , K Oka , Y Tagawa . IEEE Transl 116
- [Jacobs and Bean ()] 'Fine particles, thin films and exchange anisotropy'. I S Jacobs, C P Bean. Magnetism, G 117 118 T Iii, H Rado, Suhl (ed.) (New York) 1963. Academic. p. .
- [Ferris and Munson ()] 'Interior-point methods for massive support vector machines'. Michael C Ferris, Todd S 119 Munson . SIAM Journal on 2002. 13 (3) p. . 120
- [Magn and Japan ()] J Magn , Japan . Digests 9th Annual Conf. Magnetics Japan, August 1987. 1982. 2 p. 301. 121
- [Eason et al. (1955)] 'On certain integrals of Lipschitz-Hankel type involving products of Bessel functions'. G 122 Eason, B Noble, I N Sneddon. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London April 1955. 247 p. . 123
- [Crammer et al. ()] 'On the Algorithmic Implementation of Multiclass Kernelbased Vector Machines'. Koby ; 124 Crammer, Singer, ; R Yoram, Nicole. J. of Machine Learning Research 2001. 2 p. . 125
- [Maxwell] 'Oxford: Clarendon, 1892'. J. Clerk Maxwell . A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism, 2 p. . (3rd 126 ed.) 127
- [Furey ()] 'support vector machine classification and validation of cancer tisue samples using microarray 128 expressino data'. T S Furey . Bioinformatics 2000. 16 (10) p. . 129
- [Drucker et al. ()] 'Support Vector Regression Machines'. Harris ; Drucker , Burges , J C Christopher , Linda ; 130
- Kaufman, Alexander J Smola, Vladimir N Vapnik. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 9, 131 1997. 1996. MIT Press. p. . 132
- [Young ()] The Technical Writer's Handbook. Mill Valley, M Young . 1989. CA: University Science 133