Global Journals $ensuremath{\mathbb{A}}\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}\xspace{\mathbb{F}}\ensuremath{\mathbb{K}}\xspace{\mathbb{F}}\$

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	Data Gathering with Tour Length-Constrained
2	Mohammad A. Almahameed ¹ , khaled Almiani ² and Mohammed Aalsalem ³
3	¹ Al Hussein Bin Talal University
4	Received: 9 December 2012 Accepted: 31 December 2012 Published: 15 January 2013

6 Abstract

In this paper, given a single mobile element and a time deadline, we investigate the problem of 7 designing the mobile element tour to visit subset of nodes, such that the length of this tour is 8 bounded by the time deadline and the communication cost between nodes outside and inside 9 the tour is minimized. The nodes that the mobile element tour visits, works as cache points 10 that store the data of the other nodes. Several algorithms in the literature have tackled this 11 problem by separating two phases; the construction of the mobile element tour from the 12 computation of the forwarding trees to the cache points. In this paper, we propose algorithmic 13 solutions that alternate between these phases and iteratively improves the outcome of each 14 phase based on the result of the other. We compare the resulting performance of our solutions 15 with that of previous work. 16

17

18 Index terms— component; wireless sensor networks; data gathering; mobile sensing.

¹⁹ 1 Introduction

n wireless sensor network, data gathering using Mobile Elements (MEs) [1][2] [3] is one of the applications that 20 gives rise to a fundamental problem in networks: given a network of sensor locations, design a path(s) for the 21 mobile element(s) that will enable efficient gathering of all data from the network. Many variations of this 22 problem have been studied in the literature. In some cases [4] [5][6] [7] [8], the investigated problem is described 23 as given mobile element(s), design a path for the mobile element(s) to collect the data of the nodes. The objective 24 25 of such problems is normally minimizing the length of the mobile element path or minimizing the number of used 26 mobile elements. In this case we have a multiple or single travelling salesman problem instance to solve [9]. Some other problems [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16], investigate the scenario where only one mobile element is used and 27 the data of each node must be delivered to the sink within a pre-define time deadline. This time deadline is 28 either due to timeliness constraints on the sensor data or a limit on the amount of energy available to the mobile 29 element itself. 30

With the presence of this time deadline, constructing the single mobile element tour to collect the data of the sensors via single-hop communication is not expected to obtain a feasible solution. The typical speed of the mobile element can be about 0.1-2 m/s [17] [18], resulting in substantial travelling time for the ME and, correspondingly, delay in gathering the sensors' data, and eventually violating the time deadline.

To address this problem, several proposals presented a hybrid method, which merges multi-hop forwarding 35 36 with the use of mobile elements. In this method, some nodes behave as caching points (CPs) for data from other 37 sensors. When an ME reaches a CP, it polls the data stored in the CP as well as data in other sensors. The 38 ME is thus able to collect data from the network without having to physically visit all the sensors. This hybrid 39 method arises the generic optimization problem; that is: determine the set of CPs such that the ME tour length is below a given constraint, that insures a minimum communication needs of the sensor nodes. The nature of 40 the minimization objective can vary according to the application requirements, for example. it can be based on 41 the number of hops or Euclidean distance, and target either the total or the maximum sum. The focus is on 42 the problem of minimizing the total number of forwarding hops from all sensors to their respective nearest CPs; 43 in other words, we target a solution where the forwarding requirements of all nodes are balanced as much as 44

45 possible (subject to the constraint on the ME tour length). We can say that the variation with the most practical 46 importance, as each node's hop counts to the closest CP is very correlated to the energy consumption it imposes

47 on its peers, and, ultimately, to the network lifetime.

In this paper, we investigate this optimization problem, which we refer to as the Periodic Rendezvous Data Collection (PRDC). Accordingly, we present two algorithmic solutions that address two application gathering scenarios. In the first scenario, that can be describe as the general one, we assume that each node forward exactly

the number of packets as it received without employing any aggregation model. In the second model, we assume that the network adopt the n-to-1 aggregation model. In this model, each node wait until it receives all of the

⁵² packets from its children in the routing tree, then aggregate these packets and send only packet. Considering

the adopted aggregation model during the designing of the algorithmic solution is major issue in order to further

⁵⁵ increase the lifetime of network. "Roughly speaking" this is established since in the first scenario, the number of

⁵⁶ forwarding hops will play an important factor in determining the network lifetime, where in the second scenario, ⁵⁷ the degree of the nodes the routing trees will be the factor.(D D D D D D D D)

We begin with describing the first algorithm that we refer to as the Cluster-Based algorithm. This algorithm groups the network into a number of balanced-size clusters with a single caching point in each cluster, and iteratively improves the solution by alternating between the mobile element tour building phase and the caching points forwarding tree computation phase. We then describe the second algorithm that we refer to as the degree-

based algorithm. The main step of this algorithm is to structure the routing trees in a way to avoid having nodes with high number of routing trees branch routed at this node (in other words high degree nodes). This

64 work significantly extends our earlier results [14], by providing the second algorithm. We evaluate the algorithms

esperimentally on a wide range of practical scenarios, showing that it consistently outperforms the algorithm of [12].

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. Section II provides a formal definition of the problem, and Section III presents the related work in this research area. Section V presents the CB and DB algorithms, which are then evaluated in Section VI. Finally, Section VII concludes the paper.

70 **2** II.

71 **3** Problem Definition

An instance of the PRDC problem consists of an undirected graph G = (V, E), where V is the set of vertices representing the locations of the sensors in the network, and E is the set of edges that represents the communication network topology, i.e. (v i, v j)? E iff vi, vj are within each other's communication range. A distinguished vertex v s? V denotes the location of the sink. In addition, the complete graph G' = (V, E'),

where $E' = V \times V$, represents the possible movements of the ME. Each edge (v i , v j)? E' has a length r ij , which represents the time needed by the ME to travel between sensor v i and v j. The data of all sensors must be uploaded to the ME periodically at least once in L time units, where L is determined from the application requirements and the sensors' buffer size. In other words, we assume the ME conducts its tour periodically, with L being a constraint on the maximum tour length. In this paper, for simplicity, we assume that the ME travels at constant speed, and that, therefore, the travelling times between sensors (r ij) correspond directly to their respective Euclidean distances; however, this assumption is not essential to the solution algorithm and can be

83 easily alleviated if necessary.

A solution to the PRDC problem in the general application scenario consists of a tour (i.e. a path in G') that starts and ends in v s , where the length of the tour is bounded by L, such that the sum of hop-distances between every sensor and the tour is minimized. Where once the n-1 aggregations model the goal become reducing the upper bound of the highest degree possible for the nodes.

88 **4** III.

⁸⁹ 5 Related Work

This work is categorised as a merging multi-hop forwarding with data collection by MEs. Earlier research, [20] 90 [21], assumed the mobile route to be predefined and mainly concerned with the timing of transmissions, to 91 minimize the need for in-network caching by timing the transmissions to coincide with the passing of the tour. 92 In [12] [11], the minimum-energy Rendezvous Planning Problem (RPP) was introduced. This problem deals 93 with determining the set of rendezvous points constructing the ME tour. In RPP, the target is to have the 94 95 Euclidean distance, between the source nodes and the tour, as minimum as possible. Unlike the PRDC problem 96 that we consider in this paper, where we aim to minimize the hop distance, as the Euclidean distance is not 97 a reliable indicator of the true communication cost between nodes, because the existance of physical barriers. 98 In addition, the method of ??12][11] requires that a sensor is able to aggregate packets from multiple sources into a single transmission, which thereby limits the extent that it can be used in practice. From an algorithmic 99 perspective, the solutions in [12] [11] is performed by first computing the maximal tour under the constraint, and 100 then building the forwarding trees around that tour. This separation reduces the solution search space, but our 101 proposed algorithm repeats the tour building and forwarding tree computation phases in a way that iteratively 102 improve the solution. 103

Path finding algorithms based on max flow computations have been considered by [16]. However the problem 104 they consider is finding a path through the network area, which does not need to move from a sensor location 105 to another. In our case this is a restriction for the mobile element. Also the mobile element moves along the 106 107 determined path in the case of [16] while in our case we are looking for tour that does not revisit any node. The problem presented in this work shares some similarities with the mobile element navigation problem defined by 108 [22]. As a solution for this problem, the authors presented an integrated mobile element navigation and data 109 routing framework. This framework aims to achieve a desired trade-off between energy consumption and delay in 110 the network. The objective of this framework is to determine the mobile element tour such that each node is at 111 most k-hops away from the tour, where k is given. The proposed process starts by identifying the nodes, which 112 will be involved in the mobile element tour. Then the tour of the mobile element is constructed by employing 113 the TSPsolver developed by Bonabeau et al. [23]. To identify the nodes involved in the mobile element tour, the 114 authors proposed a heuristic-based approach. This approach starts by representing the network as a tree, and 115 then the process works by dividing this tree into sub-trees, where the width of each sub-tree is bounded by k. By 116 bounding the number of hops, the authors aim to achieve a desired balance between the lifetime of the network 117 and End-to-End delay. 118

The PRDC problem shares some similarities with the Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP) [24]. Given a fleet 119 120 of vehicles assigned to a depot, VRP deals with determining the fleet routes to deliver goods from a depot to 121 customers while minimizing the vehicles' total travel cost. Among the VRP variations, the Vehicle Routing Problem with Time Windows (VRPTW) [25] is the closest to PRDC. In VRPTW, each customer must be visited 122 by exactly one vehicle and within a pre-defined time interval. PRDC also shares some similarity with the Deadline 123 Travelling Salesman Problem (Deadline-TSP) [26], which can be described as seeking the minimum tour length 124 for a salesman to visit a set of cities, where each city must be visited before a predetermined time deadline. 125 In particular, the special case when all cites have the same deadline reduces Deadline-TSP to the well known 126 Orienteering problem [27]; PRDC can thus be considered as a generalization of the Orienteering problem. 127 IV. 128

129 6 Algorithmic Solutions

The proposed algorithm is based on the insight that, in trying to maximize the network lifetime, the problem of finding the most efficient ME tour and that of finding the best forwarding trees from sensors to the tour are dependent; the solution of each has a intense impact on the outcome of the other. Hence, the two problems should ideally be solved jointly. Since a joint solution is intractable for all except the smallest instance sizes, we propose two algorithms, the Cluster-Based (CB) and the Degree-Based (DB).

a) The Cluster-Based Algorithm This algorithm iteratively obtains the mobile element tour and the routing
 trees, this improves the solution in each iteration based on the result of the previous one. To accomplish this,
 the algorithm partitions the network into energy-aware clusters, such that in each cluster a single CP is finally
 chosen.

The CB algorithm comprises of two phases: tour-building and final-tour-improvement. During the tourbuilding phase, the algorithm finds a tour that visits as many clusters as possible, where clusters are obtained by partitioning the network into groups of approximately the same number of nodes. This type of construction balances the forwarding traffic inside the clusters. As soon as this tour is obtained, the final-tourimprovement phase starts to enhance the quality of this tour.

Figure 1 shows the structure of the CB algorithm. Lines 1-11 correspond to the tour-building phase; the 144 second phase (final-tour-improvement) is given in line 12 and is described in detail in subsection IV.C. The 145 tour-building phase follows a process similar to the binary-search mechanism. In each round, the process selects 146 number of clusters to be established (c) from the middle of the range of possible values so far, which initially 147 starts from 1 to the total number of sensors in the network. In case the choice of produces a tour that satisfies 148 the length constraint L, then the lower half of the range is deleted and the process is repeated; conversely, if the 149 length constraint is not satisfied, the upper range is deleted instead. The search stops if the maximum number 150 of clusters is found that is able to satisfy the tour length constraint. 151

In line 4, we show how to construct a tour for a given number of clusters c. This construction comprises two steps: first, partitioning the network into clusters, followed by finding a shortest tour that visits one node in each cluster. These steps are explained in more detail in the following sections. The clustering step finds a given number of clusters such that the sum of hop-distances is minimized among nodes belonging to the same cluster. Hence, in a network of homogeneous node density, this results to a balanced number of nodes in the clusters. To that end, the clustering step works by bounding the distance between a node and its cluster's centre node (c), that is defined as the node that has the minimum total hop-distance to all other nodes in the cluster.

Figure 2 shows the process of the clustering step. At the start, c nodes are selected randomly as the initial clusters centre nodes. Then, based on the hopdistance to the cluster's centre node every other node is assigned to its closest cluster. If all nodes have been assigned, the centre node for each cluster is recalculated, and the process is repeated from the beginning based on the new c cluster centres. The clustering step stops when the identity of the clusters' centre nodes does not change between two consecutive iterations. When all clusters are found in the clustering step, the next phase is to find a tour that traverses exactly one node (the CP) from each cluster. To guarantee an ideal communication energy consumption, the CP in each cluster should be the cluster centre node, since it has the minimum hop-distance to all other nodes in the same cluster. Inappropriately, this will usually result to have a tour with a much longer travelling time than many other possible tours. However, the objective of the tour-finding step is to find the tour with the shortest overall length that traverses exactly one node from each cluster. Even though such a tour will maybe end up with sub-optimal CPs for the given set of clusters, it allows the overall algorithm to finally attain a larger number of clusters while satisfying the tour length constraint. Certainly, the number of clusters has a greater impact on the overall quality of the solution than the choice of a particular CP inside a cluster.

The problem solved in the tour-finding step is thus an instance of One-of-a-Set TSP [28]. Its solution consists of two parts, namely: nodes identification, during which the identity of the CPs is found, and tour construction which finds the optimal tour among the chosen points. In our algorithm, the nodes identification simply iterates over the clusters and selects the nearest node to the set of CPs so far. If the nodes are found, the optimal tour connecting them is identified; for example, using Christofides algorithm [29]. Figure **??** provides a psaudocode of the process performed in tour-finding step. iii. Final Tour Improvement Phase

In this section, we describe a final tour phase to enhance the quality of the tour found in the first phase. Up to this stage, the network is partitioned to the maximum possible number of clusters such that the resulting tour does not violate the length constraint L. Yet, the tour itself is the minimum-length for this set of clusters, and naturally, the travelling time of the tour at this stage is strictly less than L. This gap raises the possibility of amending the tour by choosing different CPs (closer to the respective cluster centres), as long as the tour length constraint stays satisfied, so as to reduce the total hop-distance between CPs and other nodes in their respective clusters.

The final tour improvement phase is given in Figure 4. For every cluster, unless the corresponding CP already 186 happens to be the cluster's centre node, an alternative CP is considered (denoted CP') which is the next node on 187 the shortest path from CP to the cluster's centre in G. The algorithm calculates how much the ME tour length 188 would increase if CP were replaced by CP' in this cluster only, and performs the change to the alternative CP' 189 for the cluster where the length increase is minimal. Repeatedly the process keeps running until it is no longer 190 possible to change the CP without violating the tour length constraint L. In this algorithm, since the application 191 is assumed to use the n-to-1 aggregation model, minimizing the total number of hops is no longer an important 192 issue. With such model, having the same number of nodes in different routing tree structure (regardless the 193 number of hops) will result in the same network lifetime, as long as the degree of the nodes in all cases are the 194 same. This is established, since in this scenario, the energy consumed by receiving is the main issue in determining 195 the lifetime of the node. For instance, imagine that you are given a sub-tree consists of ten nodes rooted at node 196 n. Now designing the routing tree for this sub-tree in a way that makes each node directly transmit its data to 197 the node n results in significantly reducing the lifetime of node n, compared to the situation where the nodes are 198 connected in a chain-structure (each node receive from maximum one node). 199

To this end, the DB algorithm is designed with the objective of reducing the maximum degree possible for 200 each node in the routing tree. The DB algorithm starts by constructing the Shortest Path Tree (SPT) rooted 201 at the sink. Once this tree is obtained, the algorithm proceeds by eliminating the nodes (except the sink) that 202 only have one child in the SPT. Once any node is eliminated, the tree connectivity will be maintained by add 203 an edge between the parent and the child of the eliminated node. Once this elimination process is performed, 204 the resultant tree will consist of the following type of nodes: iii. Intermediate Nodes Nodes with high degree 205 nodes their parents. In addition, they must have one leaf node as a child. Now, if we select the intermediate 206 nodes as the caching points, the resultant network lifetime will be optimal, since each node in the routing will 207 receive data from only one node. However, the length of such a tour might violate the time transit constraint. 208 In this direction, the caching point identification step works by selecting subset of the intermediate nodes to 209 be the caching points with the objective of increasing the lifetime of the network. In this step, each HD-node 210 (n i) will be assigned a value p i that represents the number of children for this node. This value is used to 211 prioritize selecting the caching points to be nodes that have HD-nodes as their parents, since reducing the number 212 of children for these HD-nodes is a major factor in increasing the lifetime of the network. This step works by 213 iteratively reduce the degree of such nodes. Now, in each iteration, the step works by adding the nearest child of 214 the HD-node with the biggest to the current constructed tour. 215

This step starts by assigning a value l i for each intermediate node v i. This value is the number of children for this node parent in the original SPT. If this addition result in a tour satisfies the time transit constraint, the added node as well as its child will be removed from the SPT, and the p i value for this node will be subtracted by one. Then, the caching point identification step will be re-triggered to select caching point as the child of the HD-node that have the biggest p i. This process stops when no new caching point (intermediate node) can be added to the tour without violating the transit constraint. Figure **??** shows the steps of the DB algorithm. The tour is obtained using Christofides algorithm [29].

Figure ?? : The Degree-based Algorithm

²²⁴ 7 V. Simulation Evaluation and Results

To validate the performance of the CB and the DB algorithms, we have conducted an extensive set of experiments using the J-sim simulator for WSN [30]. Due to space constraints, we only present a sample of our results here, based on a few representative scenarios that are described henceforth. Unless mentioned otherwise, the network area is 160,000 m 2. The radio parameters are set according to the MICAz data sheet [31], namely: the radio bandwidth is 250 kbps, the transmission power is 21 mW, the receiving power is 15 mW, and the initial battery power is 20 Joules. Each sensor node sends one packet per ME tour, where the packet has a fixed size of 100 bytes. Each experiment is an average of 10 different random topologies. We are particularly interested in investigating the following metrics:? Number of CPs ? Total size of routing trees

The deployment of sensors is typically application-dependant and the evaluation results will depend on the deployment characteristics. In this evaluation, we consider the following scenarios:

? Uniform deployment: in this scenario, we assume that the nodes are uniformly deployed in a square area of 400 \times 400 m 2 . ? Multi-level: in this scenario, we divide the network into a 5 \times 5 grid of squares, where each square is 80 \times 80 m 2 . Then, we randomly choose 10 of the squares, and in each one of those we fix the node density to be 5 times the density in the remaining squares. Output: ? (tour to be assigned to the ME)

239 8 Global

240 1 ??? ? ???(?)

2 ???? ? ????????????? To benchmark our algorithm, we compare it against the Rendezvous Design for 241 Variable Tracks (RD-VT) algorithm presented in [12]. The RD-VT algorithm In this evaluation, we consider the 242 1% network lifetime metric, which is defined as the time until 1% of nodes run out of energy. For simplicity, we 243 only account for the radio receiving and transmitting energy. Figures ??-8 show the results for both deployment 244 scenarios as a function of the number of nodes (equivalently, network density), for a value of L that is set to 245 0.15 T L, where s = 1 m/s is the speed of the mobile element, and T L is the length of the minimum spanning 246 tree (MST) that connects all the nodes for 1000-nodes network. Figures 6 and 7 show the result for the scenario 247 where the application adopts the 1-to-n aggregation model, and figures 8 and 9 shows the results when there 248 is no aggregation model used by the application. From figures 6 and 7 we can see that the DB algorithm 249 constantly outperforms the RD-VT algorithm. Also, we can see from these figures that increasing the number 250 of nodes results in increasing the gap between the DB and the RD-VT algorithms, especially in the multi-level 251 deployment scenarios. This is mainly due to the mechanism both algorithms used. The DB algorithm works 252 by reducing the degree of the nodes (number of tree branches) inside the routing trees, and as we discussed 253 before, the number of routing tree branches is the main factor in determining the lifetime of the network. The 254 RD-VT algorithm construct it solution by traversing the MST in preorder, and such traversing does not take 255 into account the degree of the nodes during the construction of the tours. These are the main factors behind the 256 shown performance.3 ???? ? ???? 257

Also, from figures 8 and 9, we can see that the CB consistently outperforms RD-VT. This also due to how 258 each algorithm constructs its tour. The RD-VT algorithm constructs its solution by traversing the MST, and 259 such traversing does not take the distribution of the nodes during the construction of the solution. On the other 260 hand, the CB algorithm works by dividing the network into similarly sized clusters and building the tour to visit 261 one node from each cluster; this results in a and thereby increases the network lifetime. The influence of tis factor 262 is more obvious in the multi-level deployment scenario. We proceed to show how the network lifetime depends 263 on the value of the tour length constraint L. Figures 10-13 show the results for both deployment scenarios with 264 500 nodes. Figures 10 and 11 show the result for the 1-to-n aggregation model, and figures 12 and 13 show the 265 results where there is no aggregation model in used. Here, the horizontal axis shows the value of L normalized as 266 267 a fraction of . We observe that, reducing the value of the transit constraint results in reducing the gap between these algorithms performances. This is mainly due to the fact that reducing the transit constraint results in 268 significantly reducing the solution space. Such reduction results in reducing the importance of the algorithms 269 key factors, since it will significantly limit the number of feasible solutions. 270

²⁷¹ 9 b) Number of CPs

Figures 14 and 15 show the impact of the network density on the number of CPs each algorithm obtains. The figures show that for the same tour length, RD-VT includes more CPs than DB and CB. As one would expect, this is due to RD-VT mechanism of traversing the tree. However, as previously shown in the discussion on network lifetime, the number of CPs in itself has no impact on the resulting performance; it is the location of the CPs that is the main factor that influences the network lifetime.

277 10 c) Size of Routing Trees

278 Figures 16 and 17 show the impact of the number of nodes on the total size of the routing trees (i.e. the sum 279 of hop-distances from all sensor nodes to their respective CPs) that each algorithm obtains. The figures show 280 that the size of the routing trees obtained by the DB algorithm is smaller than the one obtained by the RD-VT 281 algorithm and bigger than the one obtained by the CB algorithm. Although the RD-VT algorithm obtained more CPs than the CB and the DB algorithms, the latter two algorithms nevertheless achieves smaller routing 282 trees overall. This is because the tree traversal process used by RD-VT results in a set of CPs that includes many 283 mutual neighbors, which is not useful when those nodes are used as roots for separate trees; in other words, many 284 of the resulting trees are very small, while only a limited number of CPs end up being roots of large trees (i.e. 285 serve as cache points for a large number of sensors). On the other hand, the CPs selected by the CB and the DB 286

287 algorithms are distributed more evenly across the network, resulting in trees whose size is better balanced and 288 therefore lowering the total sum of hop-distances of all nodes.

289 11 Conclusion

In this paper, to find efficient tours for mobile elements in WSNs, we presented two algorithmic solutions. The difference between the proposed solutions is in the adopted assumption for the application scenario. In the first algorithm, we assumed that the n-to-1 aggregation model is employed, and in the second algorithm, no assumption about the availability of aggregation was made. Such information helped by emphasizing the main factors behind increasing the lifetime of the network during the construction of the tours. Through a wide range of simulation scenarios, we showed that the proposed algorithms increase the resulting network lifetime significantly compared to the previously best known solutions.

297 **12** Global



Figure 1: Figure 1 :

 $2\,{ t DB}$

Figure 2: Figure 2 :

 $35\,\mathrm{DB}$

Figure 3: Figure 3 : 5

298 1 2

¹Data Gathering with Tour Length-Constrained

 $^{^2 @}$ 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $4\,{
m DB}$

Figure 4: Figure 4 :

6 DB

Figure 5: E 6

DB

Figure 6: E

- [Xu et al. ()], Y Xu, Zichuan, Weifa Liang, Xu. Network Lifetime Maximization in Delay-Tolerant Sensor
 Notes in Computer Science 2005. 3560 p. .
- [Solomon ()] 'ALGORITHMS FOR AND SCHEDULING PROBLEMS THE VEHICLE ROUTING WITH
 TIME WINDOW CONSTRAINTSS'. M M Solomon . Operations Research 2010. 35 (2) p. .
- [Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS) ()] Annual Hawaii International Confer ence on System Sciences (HICSS), 2005. 9 p. .
- [Bansal et al. ()] 'Approximation algorithms for deadline-TSP and vehicle routing with time-windows'. N Bansal
 , A Blum, S Chawla, A Meyerson. Proceedings of the thirty-sixth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing (STOC), (the thirty-sixth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing (STOC)) 2004. p. .
- 308 [Somasundara et al. ()] 'Controllably mobile infrastructure for low energy embedded networks'. A A Somasun-
- dara , A Kansal , D D Jea , D Estrin , M B Srivastava . IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing 2006. 5
 (8) p. .
- [Gandham et al. ()] 'Energy efficient schemes for wireless sensor networks with multiple mobile base stations'. S R Gandham , M Dawande , R Prakash , S Venkatesan . *Proceedings of IEEE Globecom*, (IEEE Globecom)
- 313 2003. 1 p. .
- [Almi'ani et al. ()] 'Energy efficient data gathering with tour length-constrained mobile elements in wireless sensor
- networks'. K Almi'ani , A Viglas , L Libman . IEEE 35th Conference on Local Computer Networks (LCN),
 2010. p. .
- [Wang et al.] 'Exploiting sink mobility for maximizing sensor networks lifetime'. Z M Wang , S Basagni , E
 Melachrinoudis , C Petrioli . *Proceedings of the 38th*, (the 38th)
- [Mitchell ()] 'Geometric shortest paths and network optimization'. J Mitchell . Handbook of Computational
 Geometry, 1998. Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. North-Holland. p. .
- [Hou et al. ()] J-Sim: A Simulation and emulation environment for wireless sensor networks, J C Hou , L Kung
 N Li , H Zhang , W Chen , H Tyan , H Lim . 2006. IEEE Wireless Communications Magazine. 13 p. .
- [Rao and Biswas ()] 'Joint routing and navigation protocols for data harvesting in sensor networks'. J Rao , S
 Biswas . 5th IEEE International Conference on Mobile Ad Hoc and Sensor Systems, 2008. 2008. p. .
- [Lamarca et al. ()] 'Making sensor networks practical with robots'. W Lamarca , D Brunette , M Koizumi , S B
 Lease , K Sigurdsson , D Sikorski , G Fox , Borriello . Lecture notes in computer science, 2002. p. .
- [Hill and Culler ()] 'Mica: A wireless platform for deeply embedded networks'. J L Hill , D E Culler . *IEEE* micro 2002. 22 (6) p. .
- 329 [Almi'ani et al. ()] 'Mobile Element Path Planning for Gathering Transit-Time Constrained Data'. K Almi'ani,
- A Viglas , M Aalsalem . 12th International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing, Applications
 and Technologies (PDCAT), 2011. p. .
- [Somasundara et al. ()] 'Mobile Element Scheduling for Efficient Data Collection in Wireless Sensor Networks
 with Dynamic Deadlines'. A Somasundara , A Ramamoorthy , B Srivastava . Proceedings. 25th IEEE
 International, (25th IEEE International) 2004. 2004. p. . (Real-Time Systems Symposium)
- [Somasundara et al. ()] 'Mobile element scheduling with dynamic deadlines'. A A Somasundara , A Ramamoor thy , M B Srivastava . *IEEE transactions on Mobile Computing* 2007. 6 (4) p. .
- [Ekici et al. ()] 'Mobility-based communication in wireless sensor networks'. E Ekici , Y Gu , D Bozdag . IEEE
 Communications Magazine 2006. 44 (7) p. .
- [Jea et al.] Multiple controlled mobile elements (data mules) for data collection in sensor networks, D Jea , A
 Somasundara , M Srivastava .
- [Pon et al. ()] 'Networked infomechanical systems: a mobile embedded networked sensor platform'. R Pon , M A
- Batalin, J Gordon, A Kansal, D Liu, M Rahimi, L Shirachi, Y Yu, M Hansen, W J Kaiser. Proceedings
 of the 4th international symposium on Information processing in sensor networks, (the 4th international
 symposium on Information processing in sensor networks) 2005. p. .
- 345 [Networks With a Mobile Sink Proceedings of the 8th IEEE International Conference on Distributed Computing in Sensor System
- 'Networks With a Mobile Sink'. Proceedings of the 8th IEEE International Conference on Distributed
 Computing in Sensor Systems, (the 8th IEEE International Conference on Distributed Computing in Sensor
 Systems) 2012. p. .
- [Gu et al. ()] 'Partitioning based mobile element scheduling in wireless sensor networks'. Y Gu , D Bozdag , E
 Ekici , F Ozguner , C G Lee . Sensor and Ad Hoc Communications and Networks, 2005. IEEE SECON 2005.
 2005 Second Annual IEEE Communications Society Conference on, 2005. p. .
- 352 [Almi'ani et al. ()] 'Periodic Mobile Multi-Gateway Scheduling'. K Almi'ani , S Selvadurai , A Viglas . Proceedings
- of the Ninth International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing, Applications and Technologies (PDCAT), (the Ninth International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing, Applications and
- 355 Technologies (PDCAT)) 2008. p. .

- 356 [Xing et al. ()] 'Rendezvous design algorithms for wireless sensor networks with a mobile base station'. G Xing , T
- ${\tt 357} \qquad {\tt Wang}\ , {\tt W}\ {\tt Jia}\ , {\tt M}\ {\tt Li}\ .\ Proceedings\ of\ the\ 9th\ ACM\ international\ symposium\ on\ Mobile\ ad\ hoc\ networking\ and$
- *computing (MobiHoc)*, (the 9th ACM international symposium on Mobile ad hoc networking and computing
 (MobiHoc)) 2008. p. .
- [Xing et al. ()] 'Rendezvous planning in mobility-assisted wireless sensor networks'. G Xing , T Wang , Z Xie ,
 W Jia . proceedings of the 28th IEEE InternationalReal-Time Systems Symposium (RTSS), (the 28th IEEE InternationalReal-Time Systems Symposium (RTSS)) 2007. p. .
- [Xing et al. (2008)] 'Rendezvous planning in wireless sensor networks with mobile elements'. G Xing , T Wang ,
 Z Xie , W Jia . *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing* Dec. 2008. 7 (12) p. .
- ³⁶⁵ [Dantu et al. ()] 'Robomote: enabling mobility in sensor networks'. K Dantu , M Rahimi , H Shah , S Babel
- A Dhariwal, G S Sukhatme. Proceedings of the 4th international symposium on Information processing
 in sensor networks (IPSN), (the 4th international symposium on Information processing in sensor networks
 (IPSN)) 2005. p. .
- [Butler] 'Robotics and ssMicroelectronics: Mobile Robots as Gateways into Wireless Sensor Networks'. J Butler
 Technology@Intel Magazine
- [Ma and Yang (2007)] 'SenCar: An Energy-Efficient Data Gathering Mechanism for Large-Scale Multihop Sensor Networks'. M Ma, Y Yang. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems* Oct. 2007. 18 (10) p. .
- 373 [Bonabeau et al. ()] Swarm Intelligence, E Bonabeau, M Dorigo, G Theraulaz. 1999. Oxford university press.
- 374 [Skiena ()] The Algorithm Design Manual, S S Skiena . 1997. p. . (Traveling Salesman Problem)
- [Golden et al. ()] 'The orienteering problem'. B Golden , L Levy , R Vohra . Naval Research Logistics 2006. 34
 (3) p. .
- [Toth and Vigo ()] 'The Vehicle Routing Problem'. P Toth , D Vigo . Society for Industrial & Applied
 Mathematics (SIAM), 2001.
- [Christofides ()] Worst-case analysis of a new heuristic for the traveling salesman problem, N Christofides . 1976.