Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	Design of Simulator for Finding the Delay Distribution in Delay
2	Tolerant Networking
3	Lokesh Pawar ¹ and Lokesh Pawar ²
4	¹ Kurukshetra University, HCTM Kaithal, INDIA.
5	Received: 15 December 2011 Accepted: 2 January 2012 Published: 15 January 2012

7 Abstract

To find out the delay distribution in a disruption tolerant network between the nodes when 8 there are randomly distributed several numbers of replications of packets on each node. This 9 delay distribution function can help us with a guideline on how to set the lifetime of a 10 message. As the number of replicas on the nodes increases the delay increases. Up to our best 11 knowledge it is the first time ever work has been done on simulating the delay distribution 12 environment using the replicas in for finding the delay in DTN. With different number of 13 nodes in a network where each node consists of several number of replicas of the same packet 14 the delay difference is obtained and has been compared with the network consisting of a fewer 15 more number of nodes. 16

17

18 Index terms— DTN, TCP/IP, S-C-F, PROPHET, DSR.

¹⁹ 1 Introduction

elay-tolerant networks (DTNs) have the great potential to connecting devices and regions of the world that are 20 presently under-served by current networks. A vital challenge for Delay Tolerant Networks is to determine the 21 routes through the network without ever having an end to end, or knowing which "routers" will be connected 22 at any given instant of time. The problem has an added constraint of delay at each node. This situation 23 24 limits the applicability of traditional routing techniques which categorize lack of path as failure of nodes and try 25 to seek for existing endto-end path. Approaches have been proposed which focus either on epidemic message replication or on previously known information [2] about the connectivity schedule [1]. We have considered that 26 27 the protocol have the previous knowledge of routing and computed delay on the basis of replication on the nodes and on the basis of total time to transfer the packets from one node to other node. DTN is an environment for 28 the intermittent connectivity for mobile wireless networks in which the connectivity between the nodes changes 29 frequently. DTN routing follows an approach of S-C-F [2]. It stores the packets on the nodes and carry them 30 until the communication between two nodes take place. DTN simulations abstract from the details of the wireless 31 link characteristics and simply assume that two nodes can communicate when they are in range of one another 32 Author? : Professor & Dean, Department of Computer Science And Engineering, Kurukshetra University, HCTM 33 Kaithal, India. E-mail: pksurikuk@rediffmail.com Author?: M.Tech Student, Department of Computer Science 34 35 And Engineering, Kurukshetra University, HCTM Kaithal, India. E-mail : lokesh.pawar@gmail.com [3]. Delay 36 and disruption-tolerant networks (DTNs) are characterized by their lack of connectivity, resulting in a lack of 37 instantaneous end-to-end paths. But a main reason of delay in the environment is due to unoptimized replicas of the packets on the nodes. Applications of DTNs include large-scale disaster recovery networks, sensor networks 38 for ecological monitoring [4], ocean sensor networks [8,5], people net [6], vehicular networks [7,10], and Digital 39 Study Hall [11], One Laptop per Child [9] to benefit developing nations. Intermittent connectivity can be a result 40 of mobility, power management, wireless range, scarcity, or malicious attacks. The inherent uncertainty about 41 network conditions makes routing in DTNs a challenging problem [3]. The existing TCP/IP based Internet service 42 model provides end-to-end inter-process communication using a concatenation of potentially dissimilar link-layer 43

technologies [12]. We have also discussed the comparative study between the two types of network working in the same conditions but with a different number of nodes. Prophet uses an in-band control channel to exchange acknowledgments for delivered packets as well as metadata about every packet learnt from past exchanges. For each encountered packet i, prophet maintains a list of nodes that carry the replica of i, and for each replica an estimated time for direct delivery. Metadata for delivered packets is deleted when an acknowledgement is received.

50 **2** II.

⁵¹ 3 Proposed algorithm

To estimate delay distribution we assume that the packets are delivered directly to the destination. Sample 52 Inputs are generated by using several algorithms which were designed for the requirement to solve the problem 53 statement. We have generated several inputs and these inputs are further feeder to the simulator we designed and 54 fetch the results. In each case we have done several simulation runs. Which lead us to the following results. There 55 are few steps to be followed for the generation of the samples. For generating the samples for delay distribution 56 we require randomly generated input samples. Let these time samples work as inputs for the delay distribution 57 and we obtain the delay distribution by following the steps mentioned in the flowchart. The sample inputs for 58 the replications of the packets are generated randomly as integer values. These replicas are responsible for(D D 59 DD) 60

increasing delay and decreasing it too. If the replications are made in a optimal manner the delay produced will be lesser. Prophet maintains a list of nodes that carry the replicas of (i). 2. Suppose all the nodes meet according to a uniform exponential distribution with mean time 1/?.

⁶⁴ 4 The average number of packets served per unit of

time is an independently randomly generated integer value. 4. Determine the delay distribution for delay tolerant networking using:A(i)=(?/n 1 + ?/n 2 +???..+ ?/n k) -1

57 Step [1] in the algorithm is asking to assume that each encountered packet (i) is been maintained in a list by 58 the prophet routing protocol, this is an hypothesis that we assumed it.

Step [2] describes that all the nodes in the environment meet according to a uniform exponential distribution with mean time 1/? (where ? in our case represents the average number of packets per unit of time). Step [3] the average number of packets served per unit of time is a random value.

72 Step [4] in this step we calculate our results for the inputs provided. Each node maintains a separate queue of 73 packets Q destined to each node sorted in decreasing order of time since creation-the order in which they would be delivered directly. The insight of the delay distribution function can help us in the design of redundancy based 74 routing in DTN's. The delay distribution function can provide us with a guideline on how to set the lifetime of a 75 message. The graph plotted shows us the delay distribution for several nodes when they have number of replicas of 76 several packets taken on an average. We have taken a scenario where 5 nodes are willing to send the messages to 77 each other keep in notice that not each node is interested to deliver the packets to the other node. The Simulator 78 designed for finding the results for delay distribution describes the results for the several inputs where the average 79 number of process served per unit of time is given as input to the simulator and then the number of replicas on 80 the nodes is fed to the simulator and we have generated the output for delay distribution or the delay distribution 81 itself. This graphical scenario represents delay vs. the average number of replications for transferring one packet. 82 III. 83

84 5 Results

1. We have simulated the whole environment of DTN network with the help of C. 2. After several Simulation runs in each case we have got the results for delay distribution in the DTN network. 3. Delay distribution is directly proportional to the number of replications of the packet i and mean time. 4. Comapritively delay on 10 number of nodes is less than the delay on 5 nodes even when the average number of replicas are larger on the 10 nodes.

The average number of packets served per unit of time are assumed to be the same in every case but the 90 number of replications of the packets variate independently. We have considered an environment where no node 91 failure. All the nodes have same moving speed and same communication range. The initial position of nodes, 92 93 replicas and time are randomly chosen by the random generations. We have find out the delay distribution 94 for the Disruption Tolerant Networking Environment where the delay is directly proportional to the number of 95 replicas of the packets to be delivered on each node. This delay variant even when we change the value of replica 96 on a single node and if the number of replicas is changed on different nodes the value of delay variants accordingly as shown in the table. Replicas on the nodes are randomly generated by using the simulator; we are able to 97 find out the delay distribution for the environment. As the number of replications of the packets increases on 98 the nodes the delay increases i.e. delay is directly proportional to the number of replicas on the nodes. This is 99 not true in the case of estimated delivery delay. Since with the help of optimum replicas in the delay tolerant 100 networking environment the delay ratio can also be decreased. The graph 3.1 shows us the delay distribution 101

for the values shown in the table I Graph has been plotted delay vs average number of replications on the node 102 because number of replicas are the major factor for increasing the delay on the nodes. The graph tells us that 103 as the number of replicas on the nodes increases the delay factor also increases delay is directly proportional to 104 number of replica of the packet (i).We simulated for 10 nodes in each case with different number of replications 105 of the packets on each node and the tabulated form of data as shown in table II will depict all the information 106 about the figure 3.2 which displays the delay distribution for 10 number of nodes. (NOTE: Not all nodes want 107 to send the data to other node few are not desired to send packet to each node but desired to send to fewer 108 nodes). The delay for case 1 where average number of replicas are 4.9 and dealy distribution is 0.081196 now 109 if we compare the results of table I with table II we get that as in table I we have case number 5 with average 110 number of replications 11.2 and the delay obtained by this average number of replicas is 0.538491 and if we 111 compare it with the case number 5 in table II we obtained that average number of replicas on the nodes is 11.6 112 and the delay obtained is 0.263826 which is comparatively lesser than the delay obtained in the case of 5 nodes 113 in a network. The in delay between them is 0.274665. Figure ??.2 is a graph depicting delay vs. average number 114 of replications. When Average number of replications are 4.9 on each node the the delay fetched is 0.081196. As 115 in second case when we have average number of replicas are 5.5 and delay fetched is 0.085354. This table II and 116 figure ??.2 explains and speaks themselves about the delay fetched. The Delay obtained in the cases assumed for 117 118 10 nodes in a case is exactly the half the delay obtained by sample inputs in the cases assumed for 5 nodes in a 119 case with same number of replications or the same average number of replications on the nodes. As it has been 120 shown in the table III we define the case 1 as the average number of replicas in the Year (NOTE: Not all nodes want to send the data to other node few are not desired to send packet to each case 1 is 2.8 the delay obtained 121 for 5 nodes in the network willing to send the packets to the nearest neighbor or to the desired destination is 122 0.084000 and the delay for the 10 nodes in the network willing to send the packets to the nearest neighbor or to 123 the desired destination is 0.042000 which is exactly the half the delay we obtained for 5 nodes in the network. 124 (NOTE: Not all nodes want to send the data to other node few are not desired to send packets to each node 125 but desired to send to fewer nodes in the network.) A graphical representation may clear the picture of the delay 126 distribution difference between the two scenarios. The graph displays the delay difference the between the cases 127 of 5 nodes in a network and 10 nodes in a network with the same average number of replicas. The delay has been 128 gradually decreased or we can say it is exactly the half of the delay as compared to 5 nodes in a network. These 129 results concludes us with finding that if we increase the number of nodes in the network with same number of 130 131 replicas of the packets we are able to decrease the delay to an optimum value which is tolerable. 132 IV.

133 6 Conclusion

By delay distribution algorithm we can derive the message delivery delay distribution which can be a rich source 134 135 of information for improving the performance of redundancy-based routing schemes. The insight of the delay distribution function can help us in the design of redundancy-based routing in DTN's. The delay distribution 136 function can provide us with a guideline on how to set the lifetime of a message. If the delay distribution 137 function shows that 90% of delays are less than T, we can say that most of the messages are likely to be 138 successfully delivered when we set the message lifetime to T. This delay distribution will help us in transferring 139 the data packets and reshuffling the data packets according to the noise on the node for the time being. These 140 findings helps us to decrease the delay by increasing the number of nodes in the network. 141

142 V.

¹⁴³ 7 Future work

These results for delay distributions can be used for acknoledgement to remove the extra replicated packets on the network and to save the unnecessary wastage of resources. How to increase the number of nodes in the network to an optimum value will be much more focused as if we increase the number of nodes with an optimum value we will have the delay as negligible for the packets to be transferred.

¹© 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology



Figure 1: Figure 2 . 1 :



Figure 2: Fig. 3 . 1 :



Figure 3: Figure 3 . 2 :



Figure 4: Figure 3 . 2 :

Ι

	Start		
	Determine the list of nodes that		
	carry the replicas of the packet		
	Nodes meet according to a uniform		
	exponential distribution according		
	to the mean time.		
34	Determine the average		
Volume	number of packets served per unit of time. Compute		
XII	the value of delay distribution End		
Issue			
XIV			
Version			
Ι			
D D D			
D)			
(
	Serial	Average	Delay
	No	No. of	Distribution
		Replication	ons
	1	2.8	0.084000
	2	6.4	0.302158
	3	8.4	0.365854
	4	10.6	0.421123
	5	11.2	0.538491

[Note: E 2012 Year node but desired]

Figure 5: Table I :

II

Serial	Average	Delay
No.	No. of	Distribution
	Replications	
1	4.9	0.081196
2	5.5	0.085354
3	8.6	0.097013
4	9.7	0.203696
5	11.6	0.263826

Figure 6: Table II :

\mathbf{III}

Serial	Average	Delay 5
No.	Replicas	Nodes
1	2.8	$0.084000 \ 0.042000$
2	6.4	$0.30216 \ 0.151079$
3	8.4	$0.36585 \ 0.182927$
4	10.6	$0.42112 \ 0.210561$
5	11.2	$0.53849 \ 0.269245$

9245

Delay 10 Nodes

Figure 7: Table III :

- 148 [Fall ()] A Delay-Tolerant Network Architecture for Challenged Internets, Kevin Fall . 2003.
- 149 [Ott and Kutscher (2005)] 'A Disconnection-Tolerant Transport for Drive-thru Internet Environments'. J Ott,
- D Kutscher . *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, (IEEE INFOCOM) Mar. 2005. p. .
- [Partan et al. (2006)] 'A Survey of Practical Issues in Underwater Networks'. J Partan , J Kurose , B N Levine
 Proc. ACM WUWNet, (ACM WUWNet) Sept. 2006. p. .
- [Garg et al. (2005)] 'Bridging the digital divide'. N Garg , S Sobti , J Lai , F Zheng , K Li , A Krishnamurthy ,
 R Wang . ACM Trans. on Storage May 2005. 1 (2) p. .
- [Balasubramanian et al. (2007)] 'DTN Routing as a Resource Allocation Problem'. A Balasubramanian , B N
 Levine , A Venkataramani . SIGCOMM'07, August 2007.
- [Vahdat and Becker (2000)] Epidemic routing for partially connected ad hoc networks, D Vahdat , Becker . CS 200006. April. 2000. Duke University (Technical Report)
- [Zhang et al. ()] 'Hardware design experiences in zebranet'. P Zhang , C M Sadler , S A Lyon , M Martonosi .
 Proc. ACM SenSys, (ACM SenSys) 2004. p. .
- [Burgess et al. (2006)] 'MaxProp: Routing for Vehicle-Based Disruption-Tolerant Networks'. J Burgess , B
 Gallagher , D Jensen , B N Levine . *Proc. IEEE Infocom*, (IEEE Infocom) April 2006.
- [Maffei et al. (2006)] 'Ocean Instrument Internet'. A Maffei , K Fall , D Chayes . Proc. AGU Ocean Sciences
 Conf, (AGU Ocean Sciences Conf) Feb 2006.
- [Motani et al. (2005)] 'PeopleNet:Engineering a Wireless Virtual Social Network'. M Motani , V Srinivasan , P
 Nuggehalli . Proc.ACM Mobicom, (.ACM Mobicom) Aug. 2005. p. .
- ¹⁶⁷ [Spyropoulos et al. ()] 'Spray and wait: An efficient routing scheme for intermittently connected mobile net
 ¹⁶⁸ works'. Thrasyvoulos Spyropoulos, Cauligi S Konstant Inos Psounis, Raghavendra. WDTN 2005: Proceeding
- 169 of the 2005 ACM SIGCOMM workshop on Delay-tolerant networking, 2005.