Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

1	Ontology Mapping for Cross Domain Knowledge Transfer
2	Santosh Kumar Banbhrani <sup>1</sup>
3	<sup>1</sup> Central South University
4	Received: 10 December 2012 Accepted: 3 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013

#### 6 Abstract

17

The proliferation of domain specific ontologies has improved the ability to represent process 7 and store information in regard to highly specialized domains. However, adhoc transfer of 8 information between domain specific ontologies is not possible. Consequently, multiple 9 solutions have been pro-posed and evaluated as means of facilitating the adhoc transfer of 10 information between another. These range from, structural approaches, which attempt to 11 match knowledge structures between ontologies; lexicographical approaches, that use high 12 level reasoning to match concepts between related ontologies and finally, local structure 13 approaches which look for similar local structures between ontologies to facilitate the transfer 14 of information. To date, the success rate of the published algorithms has been relatively poor. 15 Some of the most successful algorithms, at best are able to match around 50 16

Index terms— domain knowledge, heterogeneity, ontology mapping, semantic web.
 Abstract - The proliferation of domain specific ontologies has improved the ability to represent process and store

19 information in regard to highly specialized domains. However, adhoc transfer of information between domain 20 specific ontologies is not possible. Consequently, multiple solutions have been proposed and evaluated as means 21 of facilitating the adhoc transfer of information between another. These range from, structural approaches, 22 which attempt to match knowledge structures between ontologies; lexicographical approaches, that use high level 23 reasoning to match concepts between related ontologies and finally, local structure approaches which look for 24 similar local structures between ontologies to facilitate the transfer of information. To date, the success rate of the 25 published algorithms has been relatively poor. Some of the most successful algorithms, at best are able to match 26 around 50% of the concepts between related ontologies. In this paper we propose a novel global-local hybrid 27 approach to improve the success and accuracy of adhoc information transfer between domain specific ontologies. 28 We demonstrate the efficiency of the proposed algorithm by matching the nodes of three inter-related medical 29 domain ontologies. This demonstrates a significant improvement over existing lexicographical and structural 30 approaches. 31

## 32 1 Introduction

ntology's have become a valuable tool to help quantify and process information for decision support systems in 33 highly specialist knowledge domains. Consequently large amounts of both qualitative and quantitative data are 34 processed and stored [3] in various expert systems. The drawback of using these ontologies is that, automated 35 36 transfer between the systems requires extensive operator intervention in the form of specialist data transfer 37 tools. These tools require the designer to manually map the common information concepts between the two 38 ontologies. As the complexity of the data stored an ontology increases, the complexity of the mapping task and the probability of an error increases. One recent study published by Oellrich et al. [2] found that formal 39 mapping between two ontology's representing the same knowledge domain (Human Pheno-type Ontology and O 40 Author ? : Masters of Engineering in Computer Application Technology. E-mail : santosh.banbhrani@gmail.com 41 E-mail : hunan.xu@mail.csu.edu.cn Author ? : Ph.D. (Computer Science) Research Scholar. E-mail : 42 mirsajjadhussain@gmail.com Mammalian Phenotype Ontology defined using the phenomeblast software) was 43 successful at mapping only 48% of the concepts between ontology's. This lack of success at mapping between 44

ontology's has multiple underlying factors such as, knowledge conceptualizations by the developers with implicit 45 assumptions and/or conflicting knowledge structures due on developer assumptions. The assumptions underlying 46 the development of ontology definition and structure arise out of a lack of external standards for the knowledge 47 48 domain being modeled. External standard setting bodies represent a specific expression of the nature of the 49 information being classified and are able to establish formal relationships for information stored in an ontology can only mitigate this challenge. Thus at the instance of definition, an ontology can at best represent a subset 50 of the scientific world-view in regard to that knowledge domain. This problem is further exacerbated by the 51 presence of multiple standard setting bodies. For example when developing an ontology for medical diagnosis 52 support systems, the developers have a choice of at-least five medical terminology thesauri when using the 53 English language. Individually these controlled vocabularies have well defined application areas with little or no 54 overlap. However, when used to develop an ontology for a specific purpose (clinical diagnosis) the underlying 55 assumptions and world-views of the thesaurus chosen guide and inform the structure of the ontology. This acts as 56 an impediment to the transfer of information between ontologies based on different thesauri. Additionally, when 57 developing an ontology for a specific application area, by choice, only a small subset of concepts in a domain will 58 be used to create the ontology. Due to this, translating all the concepts between from one ontology to another 59 60 is extremely unlikely to succeed. Therefore, success in concept translation will rely on being able to map all 61 relevant concepts. This document will report the results of a lexicographical and structural hybrid approach that 62 has been found effective at mapping relevant concepts between related ontologies, developed using a welldefined 63 and restricted vocabulary. This document is organized as follows, the next section will review existing literature for inter-ontology data transfer, following this the next section will present the results for when mapping between 64 three medical domain algorithms with Author ? : Professor School of Information Science and Engineering. 65

## 66 **2** III.

## 67 **3** Problem Statement

The work in this document is based from a study comparing the performance of sophisticated algorithms evaluated as part of The Ontology Alignment Evaluation Initiative to a simple lexicographical ontology mapping algorithm. From these documents the following common themes can be identified: The need for an automated tool has primarily grown due to two reasons, the increasing size and complexity of ontologies used in expert and decision support systems. Secondly, the need to migrate large amounts of accumulated data from obsolete systems to updated ontologies. Invariably for obsolete systems, underlying documentation may be missing, incomplete or

<sup>74</sup> unavailable due to various factors.

This work proposes a completely automated ontology mapping algorithm, therefore from the tools evaluated in the survey, the CTX Match algorithm proposed by Bouquet, Serafini and Zanobini8 will be directly comparable. CTXMATCH is a hierarchical logical reasoning tool that uses the hierarchical relationship between the entities in both the target and source ontologies. The mapping between the source and target inputs H, and H1 in HCs,

and for each pair of concepts (a node with relevant knowledge including meaning in Hierarchical classifications), returns their semantic relation. For example, k is more general than, k is less general than, k is equivalent to,

<sup>81</sup> k is compatible with and k is incompatible with .

## <sup>82</sup> 4 a) CTXMATCH Results

83 After processing the three ontologies with the CTXMATCH algorithm the following results were obtained.

Subsequent research into algorithmic ontology mapping has improved on hierarchical mapping by using 84 techniques derived from directed graph matching. To illustrate the potential of a generic directed graph node 85 matching technique, the same three ontologies were re-mapped. Comparing the results in 1 and 2, we can 86 see that even without semantic matching the directed graph entity matching technique is more effective when 87 mapping between ontology 1 and ontology 3. The next section consist of the following the problem statement, 88 introduction to directed graph matching and finally the algorithm description. section will describe the novel 89 algorithm proposed in this paper will be described. Finally the results after remapping the same three ontologies 90 91 are presented, after this the conclusion identifies further work that is needed to validate this technique.

92 II.

## 93 5 Related Work

94 As noted in the previous section, mapping between ontologies developed for limited vocabularies is an extremely 95 active research area. Multiple techniques have been proposed and demonstrated as being effective at mapping 96 between related ontologies; one comprehensive survey of ontology mapping tools published in 2006 by Choi, Song 97 and Han [1] proposed that the terms "ontology mapping", "ontology alignment" and "ontology merging" refer to 98 and indicate different approaches to solving a common subset of challenges.

<sup>99</sup> The paper segments ontology mapping into the following subsets:

A global ontology and local ontologies Here the mapping between ontologies is used to query information from other ontologies, or to map a concept from one ontology into a view.

### <sup>102</sup> 6 Mapping between local ontologies This is used

to transform entities in one or more source ontology into entities in the target ontology.

Ontology merge and alignment Used to identify unique concepts found in one or more source ontologies being considered for merging or to identify redundant or overlapping concepts.

From the tools described in the paper, semantic matching was common to all the tools described in addition to semantic matching the following approaches were been used for mapping entities and concepts between ontologies. These include but are not limited to, hierarchical mapping, [4][5] probability distribution mapping [6].

Table ?? : Entity mapping success rate between the ontologoies Lexical mapping [7] and probabilistic pair matching [8][9]. The tools evaluated in the survey were mainly semi-automated and were designed to be used as support tools for human decision making when mapping entities and concepts between ontologies. Only one of the surveyed tools "CTX Match" [2] is a complexly automated algorithm. As the survey is now 10 years old, the need for a completely automated ontology mapping algorithm has become imperative.

techniques identified in literature. After this the next? Mapping between domain specific ontologies is a challenging problem, for which currently manual concept mapping is the only effective solution. ? Pattern matching and machine learning algorithms are reasonably successful at ontology mapping. As the assumptions and world views that are a factor into ontology development are di cult to quantify, there is likely to be an upper limit to the concept mapping accuracy. ? Mapping between ontologies using limited vocabularies for similar use-cases is more likely to be effective and accurate.

On the other hand for example, using a directed graph technique to map in-formation between the classes is extremely simple as the ontologies have the same structure. 1 Therefore this map will be 100% successful at class mapping. If on the other hand the structure of the ontologies were to be modified to reflect a different world view, as illustrated in figure 2. For this sample, the graph based method would fail when mapping the "head information between the bird and the other two ontologies. Therefore of the 22 classes only 19 classes are successfully mapped, 86% success rate.

126 These results are summarized in tables 3, 4 and 5.

To reduce the uncertainty in the lexicographical approach, a novel combined ontology mapping algorithm was proposed. The algorithm combines the lexicographical mapping with the directed graph approach to reduce mapping uncertainty. world view, as illustrated in figure 2. For this sample, the graph based method would fail when mapping the

4. Use word networks to map areas that do not match structurally and re-evaluate parent nodes. 5. Repeat steps three and four to find any updated root nodes that:" a) Directed Graph Matching Example

As identified in existing literature lexicographical ontology mapping techniques are extremely effective 133 especially for limited vocabularies. Using a zoological reference textbook as a sample vocabulary for the ontologies 134 in figure 1. A reasoner success-fully maps the beak class to the mouth class. The vocabulary used will introduce 135 uncertainty in the mapping of the classes nostrils and membrane classes of the rep-tile ontology and the wings 136 class of the bird ontology. Therefore, the number of mapped classes will range from 18 to 22, i.e. 81% to 100%. 137 IV. The limited vocabulary dictionaries that were used for the lexicographical matching were obtained from the 138 nih.gov website. To generate the lexicographical map, the Apache-NLP libraries [10] in java were used to generate 139 word associations between the three dictionaries. To generate the 'terms of interest' networks of descriptor terms 140

141 are generated for words common to all three directories.

## 142 7 Proposed Work

Using the direct graph matching technique illustrated in the previous section (section??) is used as follows. For a root node pair in ontology 1 and a similar root-node pair in ontology 2. Node pairs that have the same structure i.e. same properties such as scientific units (physical, chemical or biological), data types are considered matches. For root's, with more than one nodes, an arbitrary value (experimentally determined to be .75) is used as a threshold. That is, if more than 75% of the child nodes of a node match the child nodes of a root node of the target ontology then the root nodes are considered a match.

Following this, any nodes in the source and target ontologies that do not match. NLP network search is used to find matches any nodes that initially were not found to have any corresponding matches. Any subsequently matching nodes are marked as such and the nodes are revaluated to identify any root nodes that may now meet the threshold for matching child nodes. The results of processing the three ontologies and with the proposed algorithm are detailed next.

As we can see in the table 6, the proposed algorithm improves significantly when compared to the hierarchical or directed graph matching as illustrated in tables 1 and 2. One reason for this could be because all three ontologies are medical support ontologies that use a significantly constrained vocabulary. This and the availability of comprehensive dictionaries that the JAVA NLP toolkit has been designed to process, probably make these ontologies non exemplars when identifying drawbacks to this approach.

## 159 8 Global

#### 160 9 Results

161 Since many ontology mapping algorithms have been proposed, a group of criteria are urgently needed to evaluate and compare the results of different algorithms. However, former measures have their own limitations, and none 162 of them can guarantee that semantically equivalent alignments always score the same, which should be a basic 163 character of a real semantic evaluation. By this we have demonstrated that the proposed algorithm can be very 164 effective then existing algorithms. Their performance is equivalent to the performance of the more innovative 165 algorithms. Our evaluation has validated that most of the progressive algorithms are either not freely available 166 or do not scale to the size of biomedical ontologies. We have tested this algorithm and got result 2 which is better 167 than the result 1 which is based on existing algorithms which I used as part of our algorithm. Now that we have 168 some preliminary significant results demonstrating the effectiveness of this approach for use with medical support 169 170 ontologies. The effectiveness of this algorithm needs to be evaluated with larger and more complex ontologies. In future work we will focus upon testing with ontologies of greater size. Those tests will provide for solider proof 171 whether this method can be successfully applied to the ontology integration problem.



Figure 1: 2 ©



Figure 2: Figure 1



Figure 3:







Figure 5: Figure 3 :

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

	Ontology 1 Ontology 2 C	Ontology 3	
Ontology 1	34	07	17
Ontology 2	07	40	10
Ontology 3	17	10	53

Figure 6: Table 2 :

3

 $\mathbf{4}$ 

# Figure 7: Table 3 :

Figure 8: Table 4 :

6

Figure 9: Table 6 :

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

	Reptile	Mammal	Birds
Reptile	09	09	07
Mammal	09	09	07
Birds	07	07	09

Figure 10: Table 5 :

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US) Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology  $^{2}$ © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US) Year  $^{3}$ © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US) Year

#### 9 RESULTS

- [Li ()] '\LOM: A Lexicon-based Ontology Mapping Tool'. John Li . Proceedings of the Performance Metrics for Intelligent Systems (PerMIS. '04), (the Performance Metrics for Intelligent Systems (PerMIS. '04)) 2004.
- [Choi et al. ()] 'A survey on ontology mapping'. Namyoun Choi , Hyoil Song , Han . ACM Sigmod Record 2006}.
  35 (3) p. .
- [Doan et al. ()] 'Alon Halevy\Learning to Map between Ontologies on the Semantic Web'. Anhai Doan , Jayant
  Madhavan , Pedro Domingos . VLDB Journal, Special Issue on the Semantic Web 2003.
- 178 [Yao et al. ()] 'Benchmarking ontologies: bigger or better?'. L Yao , A Divoli , I Mayzus , J A Evans , A Rzhetsky
  179 . PLoS Computational Biology 2011. 7 (1) p. e1001055.
- [Doan et al. ()] 'Learning to match the schemas of data sources: A multistrategy approach'. A Doan , P Domingos
  , A Halevy . *Machine Learning*, 2003. 50 p. .
- [Mitra et al. ()] Mitra , G Wiederhold , Terminological Heterogeneity In Ontologies . Proceedings of the ECAI'02
  workshop on Ontologies and Semantic Interoperability, (the ECAI'02 workshop on Ontologies and Semantic
  Interoperability) 2002.
- [Mitra et al. ()] Prasenjit Mitra , Natasha F Noy , Anju Jaiswals\omen . Probabilistic Ontology Mapping Tool"
  International Semantic Web Conference, 2005. p. .
- [Oellrich et al. ()] 'Quantitative comparison of mapping methods between Human and Mammalian Phenotype
  Ontology'. Anika Oellrich , Georgios Gkoutos , Robert Hoehndorf , Dietrich Rebholz-Schuhmann . Journal
  of Biomedical Semantics 2012. 3 (2) p. S1. (Suppl)
- [Bouquet et al. ()] 'Semantic Coordination: A New Approach and an Application'. Paolo Bouquet , Luciano Sera
  Ni , Stefano Zanobini . ISWC 2003, LNCS 2003. 2870 p. .