

"Globally Recorded binary encoded Domain Compression algorithm in Column Oriented Databases"

Mehul Mahrishi¹, Anita Shrotriya² and Devendra Kr. Sharma³

1

Received: 17 November 2011 Accepted: 13 December 2011 Published: 24 December 2011

Abstract

through this study, we propose two algorithms. The first algorithm describes the concept of compression of domains at attribute level and we call it as ?Attribute Domain Compression?. This algorithm can be implemented on both row and columnar databases. The idea behind the algorithm is to reduce the size of large databases as to store them optimally. The second algorithm is also applicable for both concepts of databases but will optimally work for columnar databases. The idea behind the algorithm is to generalize the tuple domains by giving it a value say (n) such that all other n-1 tuples or at least maximum can be identified.

Index terms— Compression, Columnar database, tuples, tables.

1 INTRODUCTION

ill now we have studied that a database is a collection of inter-related data which is organized in a matrix with rows and columns. Each column represents the attribute of that particular entity which is converted into the database table, while each row of the matrix generally called a tuple represents the different values that an attribute can possess. Each row in a table represents a set of related data, and every row in the table has the same structure.

For example, in a table that represents employee, each row would represent a single employee. Columns might represent things like employee name, employee street address, his SSN etc. In a table that represents the relationship of employees with departments, each row would relate one employee with one department.

2 RISE OF COLUMN DATABASE

The relational databases present today are designed predominantly to handle online transactional processing (OLTP) applications. A transaction (e.g. an online purchasing a laptop through internet dealer) typically maps to one or more rows in a relational database, and all traditional RDBMS designs are based on a per row paradigm. For transactional-based systems, this architecture is well suited to handle the input of incoming data.

Data warehouses are used in almost every large organizations and research states that their size doubles after every third year. Moreover the hourly workload of these warehouses is huge and approximately 20lakhs SQL statements are encountered hourly.

Warehouses contain a lot of data and hence any leak or illegal publication of information risks the individuals' privacy. However, for applications that are very read intensive and selective in the information being requested, the OLTP database design isn't a model that typically holds up well. Business intelligence and analytical applications queries often analyze selected attributes in a database. The simplicity and performance characteristic of columnar approach provides a cost effective implementation.

Column oriented database generally known as "columnar database" reinvents how data is stored in databases. Storing data in such a fashion increases the probability of storing adjacent records on disk and hence odds of compression. This architecture suggests a different model in which inserting and deleting transactional data are done by a row-based system, but selective queries that are only interested in a few columns of a table are handled by columnar approach.

As we know that logical and critical queries requires more number of rows than that of physical I/O queries which are comparatively slower queries, the performance gap between row-oriented architectures and column-oriented architecture oftentimes widens as the database grows.

Different methodologies such as indexing, materialistic views, horizontal partitioning etc. are provided by row oriented databases which are rather Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology Volume XI Issue XXIII Version I 27 better ways of query execution, but they also have some disadvantages of their own. For example, in business intelligence/analytic environments, the ad-hoc nature of such scenarios makes it nearly impossible to predict which columns will need indexing, so tables end up either being over-indexed (which causes load and maintenance issues) or not properly indexed and so many queries end up running much slower than desired.

III. ANONYMIZATION

Warehouses contain a lot of data and hence any leak or illegal publication of information risks the individuals' privacy. N-Anonymity is a major technique to deidentify a data set. The idea behind the technique is to determine the value of a tuple, say n , such that other remaining $n-1$ tuples or at least maximum tuples can be identified by the value of n .

The intensity of protection increases with increase the number of n . One way to produce n identical tuples within the identifiable attributes is to generalize values within the attributes, for example, removing city and street information in a address attribute.

There are many ways through which data unidentification can be done and one of the most appropriate approaches is generalization. Various generalization techniques include global recoding generalization multidimensional recoding generalization, and local recoding generalization. Global recoding generalization maps the current domain of an attribute to a more general domain. For example, ages are mapped from years to 10-year intervals.

Multidimensional recoding generalization maps a set of values to another set of values, some or all of which are more general than the corresponding premapping values. For example, {male, 32, divorce} is mapped to {male, [30, 40), unknown}. Local recoding generalization modifies some values in one or more attributes to values in more general domains.

IV. PROBLEM DEFINITION AND CONTRIBUTION

From the very beginning we have cleared that our objective is to make every tuple of a published table identical to at least $n-1$ other tuples. Identity-related attributes are those which potentially identify individuals in a table. For example, the record of an old-aged male in the rural area with the postcode of 302033 is unique in Table 4.1, and hence, his problem of asthma may be revealed if the table is published. To preserve his privacy, we may generalize Gender and Postcode attribute values such that each tuple in attribute set {Gender, Age, Postcode} has at least two occurrences.

5 QUALITY MEASURES OF ANONYMIZATION

After the study we can easily conclude that larger the size of equivalent set easier the compression and obviously cost of anonymization is a factor of equivalent set. On the basis of this theory, we can determine that: () ? ? = ?

6 RECORDS CAVG

7 VI. DOMAIN COMPRESSION THROUGH BINARY CONVERSION

We integrate two key methods, namely binary encoding of distinct values and pair wise encoding of attributes, to build our compression technique.

8 a) Encoding of Distinct values

This compression technique is based on the assumption that the table we have published contains minimum distinct domain of attributes and these values repeat over the huge number of tuples present in the database. Therefore, binary encoding of the distinct values of each attribute, followed by representation of the tuple values in each column of the relation with the corresponding encoded values would transform the entire relation into bits and thus compress it.

We will find out the number of distinct values in each column and encode the data into bits accordingly. For example consider an instant given below which represents the two major attributes of a relation Patients. To examine the compression benefits achieved by this method assume that Age is of integer type and has 5 distinct values as in Table 4.3. Suppose if there are 50 patients then the total storage required by Age attribute will be $50 * \text{size of (int)} = 50 * 4 = 200$ bytes.

With our compression technique, we find that there are 9 distinct values for age therefore we need the upper bound of $\log(9)$ i.e. 4 bits to represent each data value in the Age field. It is easy to calculate that we would need $50 * 4$ (bits) = 200 bits = 25 bytes which are reasonably less.

99 We call this as our stage 1 of our compression which just transforms one column into bits. If we apply
 100 this compression to all columns of the table, the result will be significant. It can be easily seen from the above
 101 example that besides optimizing the memory requirement of the relations, above encoding technique is also helpful
 102 in reducing redundancy (repetition values) from the relation. That is, it is likely that they are few distinct values
 103 of even (column1, column2) taken together, in addition to just column1's distinct values or column2's distinct
 104 values. We then represent the two columns together as a single column with pair values transformed according to
 105 the encoding. This constitutes Stage 2 of our compression in which we use the bit-encoded database from Stage
 106 1 as input and further compress it by coupling columns in pairs of two, applying the distinct-pairs technique
 107 outlined. To examine the further compression advantage achieved, suppose that we couple 'Age' and 'Problem'
 108 columns. We can see in our table 4.3 that there are 5 distinct pairs (10, Cough & Cold), (20, cough & cold), (30,
 109 obesity), (50, Diabetes), (70, Asthma) and hence our upper bound is $\log(5) = 2$ bits approx. Table 4.6 shows
 110 the result of stage 2 compression. After compressing the attribute, pairing or coupling of attributes is done. All
 111 the columns are coupled in pair of two in a similar manner. If the database contains even number of columns it
 112 is straightforward. If the columns are odd, we can intelligently choose any of the columns to be uncompressed.
 113 The second technique focuses on the extension of existing compression by encoding the domain in binary form
 114 and further encoding pairs of column values. It shows how coupling of columns can be effective if attributes are
 115 properly rearranged. In particular I found that in most cases it is beneficial to couple the primary key with the
 116 column having the maximum number of distinct values. Also, columns with very few distinct values should be
 117 paired with columns with a large number of dissimilar values. Functional dependencies should be determined to
 118 achieve better compression of related attributes. Overall, a better knowledge of the data distribution leads to
 119 better compression. Based on the database and the application environment being targeted, the optimum stage
 120 up to which compression is feasible and worthy also needs to be determined, i.e. we need to decide the point at
 which the extra compression achieved is not worth the performance overhead involved. 1 2 3 4 5



2011

Figure 1: T © 2011

2

1 : a Typical Row oriented Database		
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3

Figure 2: Table 2 .

4

		1 : Published Table		
No.	Gender	Age	Postcode	Problem
01	Male	Young	302020	Heart
02	Male	Old	302033	Asthma
03	Female	Young	302015	Obesity
04	Female	Young	302015	Obesity

Table 4.2 : View of published table by Global recording

No.	Gender	Age	Postcode	Problem
01	*	Young	3020*	Heart
02	*	Old	3020*	Asthma
03	*	Young	3020*	Obesity
04	*	Young	3020*	Obesity

A view after this generalization is given in Table

4.2. Since various countries use different postcode schemes, we adopt a simplified postcode scheme, where its hierarchy $\{302033, 3020^*, 30^{**}, 3^{***}, *\}$ corresponds to $\{\text{rural, city, region, state, unknown}\}$, respectively.

a) Identifier attribute set

A set of attributes that potentially identifies the

individuals in a table is a set of identifier attribute. For example, attribute set $\{\text{Gender, Age, Postcode}\}$ in Table 1a is an identifier attribute set.

b) Equivalent Set (?)

V.

Figure 3: Table 4 .

4

Age	Problem
10	Cough & Cold
20	Cough & Cold
30	Obesity
50	Diabetes
70	Asthma

Now if we adopt the concept of N-Anonymization with global recording (refer 4.2), we can map the current domain of attributes to more general domain. For example Age can be mapped into 10-Age interval as shown in the figure 4.4.

Figure 4: Table 4 .

4

6 : Representing Stage 2 compression	
Age	Problem
00	00
01	01
10	10
11	11

Figure 5: Table 4 .

4

7 : Representing Stage 2 compression coupling	
Age-Problem	
00	
01	
10	
11	

After this compression technique is applied we can easily calculate the space required i.e.

Before compression: $5*(4) + 4*(4) = 36$ bytes

After Compression and coupling: $4*2 = 8$ bits.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this study we discuss two different compression techniques embedded with each other to form a "Globally Recorded binary encoded Domain Compression".

The first study defines generalization and discuss its different type in anonymization the attributes. It discusses how to handle a major problem in global recoding generalization, inconsistent domains in a field of a generalized table, and propose a method to approach the problem. The tables in the examples proposed global recoding method based on n-anonymity, and consistency.

Figure 6: Table 4 .

¹December

²© 2011 Global Journals Inc. (US) Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology Volume XI Issue XXIII Version I

³December "Globally Recorded binary encoded Domain Compression algorithm in Column Oriented Databases"

⁴© 2011 Global Journals Inc. (US) Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology Volume XI Issue XXIII Version I 29 2011 December "Globally Recorded binary encoded Domain Compression algorithm in Column Oriented Databases"

⁵December "Globally Recorded binary encoded Domain Compression algorithm in Column Oriented Databases"

121 [Software et al. (1994)] , A G Software , Adabas , Uberblick . ADA5000D006IB. *Software AG* January 1994.
122 (Technical Report)

123 [A Deeper Look at Compression in Analytic Database Systems] *A Deeper Look at Compression in Analytic*
124 *Database Systems*,

125 [Vieiral and Bernardino2] *Henrique Madeira3 Efficient compression of text attributes of data warehouse dimen-*
126 *sions*, Jorge Vieiral , Jorge Bernardino2 .

127 [Integrating Compression and Execution in Column-Oriented Database Systems] *Integrating Compression and*
128 *Execution in Column-Oriented Database Systems*,

129 [Goldstein et al. ()] ‘Squeezing the most out of relational database Systems’. J Goldstein , R Ramakrishna , U
130 Shaft . <http://www.tpc.org/tpch/spec/tpch2.1.0.pdf> *Proc. of ICDE*, (of ICDE) 2000. p. 81.

131 [Till Westmann1 * Donald Kossmann2 Sven Helmer1 Guido Moerkotte1 efficient compression of text attributes of data warehouse
132 *Till Westmann1 * Donald Kossmann2 Sven Helmer1 Guido Moerkotte1 efficient compression of text attributes*
133 *of data warehouse dimensions*,