Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Establishing a Performance Testing Approach for E-Learning Applications Dr. R. Mahammad Shafi¹ and Dr. R. Mahammad Shafi² ¹ Sree Vidyanikethan Engineering College *Received: 13 April 2012 Accepted: 3 May 2012 Published: 15 May 2012*

7 Abstract

26

Most of the E-Learning applications perform poorly in motivating employees to learn. To 8 solve this problem, we need to examine what workplace e-learning requires and how workplace 9 e-learning systems should be developed in line with those requirements. We investigated the 10 problem by identifying the fundamental elements of the workplace learning environment 11 including the learner, organization, learning content and social context, and their 12 relationships. We found that workplace e-learning should align individual and organizational 13 learning needs, connect learning and work performance, and support social interaction among 14 individuals. To achieve this, a performance testing approach is proposed. Key performance 15 indicators are utilized to clarify organizational goals, make sense of work context and requests 16 on work performance, and accordingly help employees set up rational learning objectives and 17 enhance their learning process. Using this approach, prototype system has been developed 18 and a set of experiments have been conducted to demonstrate the effectiveness of the 19 approach. This paper also presents the use of software verification, validation and testing 20 technique, traditionally used in the software development, in the design and implementation of 21 E-Learning products. We examine the ways one can apply testing techniques in E-Learning 22 life cycle. This includes the strategy adoption for the selection of testing technique along with 23 tool acquisition and measurement. The objective is to develop a collaborative approach 24

²⁵ involving software testing and educational methodology.

Index terms— Key Performance Indicator (KPI), Worksp ace Learning, Verification and Validation. 27 A Abstract -Most of the E-Learning applications perform poorly in motivating employees to learn. To solve this 28 problem, we need to examine what workplace e-learning requires and how workplace e-learning systems should be 29 developed in line with those requirements. We investigated the problem by identifying the fundamental elements 30 of the workplace learning environment including the learner, organization, learning content and social context, 31 and their relationships. We found that workplace e-learning should align individual and organizational learning 32 needs, connect learning and work performance, and support social interaction among individuals. To achieve this, 33 34 a performance testing approach is proposed. Key performance indicators are utilized to clarify organizational 35 goals, make sense of work context and requests on work performance, and accordingly help employees set up 36 rational learning objectives and enhance their learning process. Using this approach, prototype system has been developed and a set of experiments have been conducted to demonstrate the effectiveness of the approach. This 37 paper also presents the use of software verification, validation and testing technique, traditionally used in the 38 software development, in the design and implementation of E-Learning products. We examine the ways one can 39 apply testing techniques in E-Learning life cycle. This includes the strategy adoption for the selection of testing 40 technique along with tool acquisition and measurement. The objective is to develop a collaborative approach 41 involving software testing and educational methodology. 42

Establishing a Performance Testing Approach for E-Learning Applications

43 Keywords Key Performance Indicator (KPI), Worksp ace Learning, Verification and Validation.

-learning refers to the use of computer network technology, primarily via the Internet, to deliver information 44 and instructions to individuals. Due to its access flexibility and just-in-time delivery, e-learning is emerging 45 as a popular approach for learning in organizations or workplace settings [13]. Despite the ever increasing 46 practice of using e-learning in the workplace, most of the applications perform poorly in motivating employees 47 to learn. Significant gaps exist between corporate interests and learner needs when it comes to e-learning [3]. 48 For individuals, although knowledge can be learned by participating in e-learning programs, more often they do 49 not think e-learning is helpful since the knowledge learned cannot help E-mail : ballikavitha@yahoo.com improve 50 their work performance. For organizations, e-learning is generally designed without meeting the organizational 51 vision and mission. Moreover, current elearning development tends to focus on technical issues of design and 52 ignores pedagogical and organizational issues that are necessary for effective e-learning programs to address [14]. 53 The dominance of technology-oriented approaches has made e-learning practices less goal effective, and they are 54 therefore perceived to be poor in quality and design. On further review of the root of the problem, it seems 55 that much of e-learning research is based on formal courses in educational institutions. However, corporations 56 as learning arenas are different from schools. Workplace learning is built on practical tasks and work situations 57 with the aim to serve organizational goals. Learning in the work environment takes place in the context of use 58 59 and application, and as a result is often embedded in work practices. Moreover, learning is more collaborative 60 in workplace settings, where sharing individual knowledge with co-workers is an important part of the learning 61 practice.

The above mentioned problem highlights the need to design learning activities that address corporate interests, 62 individual needs, and work context. The development of workplace e-learning should consider the alignment 63 of individual and organizational learning needs, the connection between learning and work performance, and 64 communication among individuals [16]. To solve the problem, a performance testing approach is presented in 65 this study. A set of key performance indicators (KPIs) has been set up to represent a set of measures focusing on 66 the aspects of organizational and individual performance that are critical for the success of the organization [12]. 67 68 The KPI framework provides a clear picture for everyone in the organization of what is important and what they need to do and learn. The mechanism of the approach is explored and elaborated with conceptual frameworks and 69 implementation details. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the approach, a prototype of a workplace e-learning 70 system has been developed with relevant experiments to evaluate the effectiveness of the approach. 71

72 The vision of learning is that everyone learns.

73 The software required for learning should include problem solving, critical thinking and interactivity. Here interactivity deals with the detailed learning process instead of GUI features only. Interactivity could be properly 74 incorporated by well designed production process. After looking into the various models of learning such as 75 classroom-teacher model, independent study model, cooperative learning or distance learning, the success lies 76 in the careful development of learning material by skilled groups and its proper evaluation and improvisation, 77 before final release. This leads to the strategic viability of E-Learning [7]. E-Learning could be defined as the 78 acquisition and use of knowledge distributed and facilitated primarily by electronic means. It is a "classroom 79 without walls". It is actually the convergence of the web and learning at all levels [6]. This involves new techniques 80 of communicating ideas through computer networks, multimedia, search engines on the net and electronic libraries 81 with endless possibilities. As noted in IEEE Spectrum [15], since the mid 1900s, correspondence schools in India 82 were teaching shorthand and foreign language by mail. The organizations have started the concept of e-enterprise 83 having proper emphasis on E-Learning. They are using content providers, authoring tools, training materials, 84 portals, delivery systems and integrated solutions [2]. It is fully online, interactive, time and place independent. 85 In this situation, it is essential to develop the content and delivery system that will fit well with next generation 86 cable television. High quality lessons are required to provide interactivity to the user. Traditionally evaluation 87 is done at the end of the process highlighting the role of computer and professional evaluators. But the detailed 88 description of testing methods for evaluation, customized to E-Learning, are not frequently available. One way to 89 ensure individualization is to make frequent testing an intrinsic part of learning. Testing and learning would no 90 longer be separated, but would be in intimate combination. Since the cost of development of very large amounts 91 of highly interactive learning unit will be very high, this illustrates the need for structured testing approach. 92

93 Workplace learning refers to learning or training activities undertaken in the workplace, with the goal of enhancing individual and organizational performance [13]. Attention to workplace learning has greatly increased 94 due to the significant role of professional skills and expertise in organization development. Theories specific to 95 workplace learning can be categorized into adult learning, organizational learning, and knowledge management 96 (KM). Adult learning theories form the basis for the design of e-learning practices in work environments. 97 Andragogy (learning strategies focused on adults) and self-directed learning are two fundamental parts of adult 98 99 learning. The implication of adult learning theory in the workplace context is that learners would be motivated once learning objectives have been rationally set that would meet their needs ??5]. According to self-directed 100 learning theory, learning programs should be designed to give emphasis to selfdirected learning so as to help 101 learners make sense of the workplace and their experiences at work [8]. 102

Organizational learning concerns both the ways individuals learn in an organizational context and the ways in which organizations themselves can be said to learn ??4]. Organizational theory implies that learning occurs and should be addressed beyond the individual level. Its pedagogical focus is on organizational systems, structures, and policies, along with institutional forms of memory to link individual and organizational learning. In relation to organizational learning, knowledge management (KM) represents another discipline. It refers to a range of approaches and practices used by organizations to identify, create, represent, and distribute knowledge for reuse, awareness, and learning [10]. Recent research has motivated the integration of knowledge management with e-learning for organizational development [16]. How knowledge management and e-learning apply to and affect organizations is a complicated, yet important question that requires a variety of conceptual, methodological, and technical approaches.

Learning as we measure it is a change in performance which occurs under the condition of practice. Evaluation is the process of gathering and interpreting evidence on changes in the behavior of all students as they progress through school. Software Testing is an activity in which a system or component is executed under specified conditions, the results are observed or recorded, and an evaluation is made of some aspect of the system or component.

Validation is the process of evaluating a system or component during or at the end of the development process to determine whether it satisfies specified requirements.

There is no doubt that the goal of e-learning in the workplace is to enhance individual and organizational 120 performance [13]. However, there is a lack of concrete strategies or approaches for achieving this goal in e-121 122 learning development. To solve this problem and meet the aforementioned requirements of e-learning in the 123 workplace, a performance testing approach is presented in this study. Performance measurement is crucial for 124 organization development, and therefore it is a main driver of learning in the workplace. In this approach, a set of key performance indicators (KPIs) can be set up to represent a set of measures focusing on the aspects of 125 organizational and individual performance that are critical for the success of the organization. KPI is a flexible 126 and popular approach 127

¹²⁸ 1 b) Performance Testing System Design

A KPI framework encompasses an organization's structure and job system. It consists of three levels: the 129 organizational level, business unit level, and position level. KPIs on the organizational level are defined according 130 to organizational goals and strategies. Derived from the organizational KPIs, the KPIs for each business unit 131 are specified. Based on the unit KPIs, the KPIs for each job position within the unit are then defined. For 132 performance measurement to be effective, the measures or indicators themselves must be accepted, understood, 133 and "owned" by employees as well as their managers. Therefore, the building of a KPI framework requires 134 cohesion and integration of different strategies as well as tight cooperation among managers and employees from 135 different units and at different position levels in the organization [11]. KPIs for a position in one unit can be 136 reused in other units for a similar position, or where similar capabilities are required. In this study, due to the 137 space limitation, we focus on KPIs at a position level that has a close relationship with learning or training 138 programs in the workplace. 139

The KPI at the position level consists of three components: KPI item, rating criterion, and KPI value. KPI items are a set of performance indicators specified for a job position. For example, oral and written communication skills might be two KPI items defined for a sales job position. For each KPI item, a rating criterion is set up to assess performance. The proficiency level achieved by an employee on that item is called a KPI value. An employee's performance measure result is a set of KPI values for his or her job position.

Tests or quizzes can be used to assess how an employee performs with a certain KPI item. To preserve 145 impartiality and objectivity, most organizations use 360degree feedback to assess employees' performance. This 146 means that the employee's performance can be assessed by the employee him-or herself, the employee's supervisor, 147 his or her subordinates, and peers, in addition to taking standard tests. Each appraiser gives the employee a set 148 of KPI values, and each appraisal is given a certain weight. As a result, a set of KPI values will be calculated to 149 evaluate the employee's work performance. An illustration of the KPI framework at the position level is shown 150 in Table1: A Kpi Framework at the Position Level As indicated in the publication of the report of Learning 151 and Skill Council's Distributed and Electronic Learning Group (DELG) that the investment in the distributed 152 and the electronic learning has to be rationalized. The question is whether our thrust is that everyone will do 153 some E-Learning or we will provide effective E-Learning. For implementing later, we require to timely deliver 154 using good-quality, well-designed material. The role of the reviewer is to determine the learning value and ensure 155 quality of the contents. The some of the parameters they evaluate is the pedagogical effectiveness, ease of usage 156

157 **2** March

158 of System Requirement Specification (SRS). Any inconsistency during the design or coding phase should be 159 traceable to the requirement specification. SRS standards of E-Learning have to be established by the learning 160 package providing group. Later through the reviews, inspection and walkthrough the representatives from the educational evaluation section could be invited for evaluation. Validation is normally done at the time 161 of implementation, i.e. toward the later part of instruction design and implementation, through the involvement 162 of students and teachers. It should be done through various testing methods. Although the developers follow 163 some techniques for dynamic validation but the customized static verification at the end of each step is yet to be 164 established. E-Learning application presents a new challenge that due to response time, accuracy of information or 165

ease to use, a E-Learning application presents a new challenge that due to response time, accuracy of information or ease to use, a student is compel to click another web site and shift to different application provider [9]. It is

critical due to short cycle time, constant changing technology, and huge number of users or inability to control

the user's environment. The critical issues while testing are handling student's query, student volume in terms of

170 assignments, payment system for fees, security of student's account details and effectiveness of learning method.
171 The choice is with the tester to use complete or partial verification, unit or integration and black box or white

box testing depending on the nature of learning application and the profile of the student. The major testing

techniques in this area are as follows: a) Functional Testing This black box testing could be used for the testing

174 the forms for the course registration, fee submission and other interaction with the students. The procedure for

checking pop-up windows, searches, online payments are also defined under this testing technique.

¹⁷⁶ 3 b) Usability Testing

The feedback about structure, feature, navigation and other factors are taken in this technique. Separate task lists are required to study students profile and the impact of the application. Testing will fail if the application contains outdated information, non-standard colors, long scrolling page, orphan page, excessive use of marquee etc. It involve student behavioral pattern while using the E-Learning application.

¹⁸¹ 4 c) Mutation Testing

The intentional wrong entry could be done to check the behavior of the application. These mutations indicate the thoroughness of the program testing. Here each mutation is carefully selected and studied involving teachers and students.

185 5 d) Equivalence Partitioning

A set of classes of input conditions are made and tested. These classes should be standard for a particular level of 186 education. For example, student's grades, assignments submission, scholarships etc. Real time 2-way multimedia 187 customized interaction is the real goal of E-Learning. It may include problem based scenarios, interactive case 188 studies, virtual reality simulations, e-books, short learning objects, modules and projects. As there will be 189 learning centers with micro-charging system, better speech/voice recognition software, bigger video screens and 190 collective databases, testing will certainly be a critical issue. The major question regarding testing in future is the 191 effectiveness, economics of testing in E-Learning and approaches. As it will be very critical for the survival of the 192 institute or organization providing E-Learning facility, the ultimate goal should be goal is total test automation. 193 $1 \ 2 \ 3$



Figure 1:

I. Figure 2: Global 1^{I} Figure 3: Figure 1 : **JTRODUCTION**

Figure 4:

194

 $^{^1 \}odot$ 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US) Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology Volume XII Issue VI Version I

 $^{^{2}}$ © 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US) 3 © 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

٨

Figure 5:

Π.

Figure 6:

Emplo ID	-		Item ability) orting	-	KPI Value (Assessment Result)	
1D 75435					Score Obtained :	65
	Enginee	-			Level 3	Rating
						:
						3
				Level 2 : score[20,50) $L = 12$		
				Level 3 : $score[50,70)$		
				Level 4 : score $[70,90)$		
				Level 5 : score[90,100) Deep Aggregament (Weight: $1/2$)	Deen Aggegement	. Lorral 4
					Peer Assessment	: Level 4
				, ,	Rating : 4 Supervisor Assess	mont ·
					Level 3	Rating
					Devel 3	:
						3
				1 : Know little about this area		
				2 : Know basic knowledge about this		
				area		
				3 : Have substantial knowledge about		
				this area		
				4 : Use related knowledge to		
				accomplish tasks		
				5 : Use related knowledge to achieve		
				sound effect		
					$3^{*}(1/3) + 4^{*}(1/3)$	$(3) + 3^*(1/3)$
		-			=3.33	
		Test		?	?	
55000	m ,	Execu	ition	22	22	
57896		?		??	??.	
	Manager Instruction					
	D i i	1011				
Type	DII		System			
Traini	ng		Al			
	0		i			
	Cyberne	e				
	tic Evaluation					
0			Conten			
Centered Center			Centere	ed		

- 195 [Management] , & E-Learning Management . An International Journal (KM&EL) 1 (1) p. .
- [and human resource development] and human resource development, Houston: Gulf Publishing.
- ¹⁹⁷ [Merriam] 'Androgeny and self-directed learning: Pillars of adult learning theory'. S Merriam . *The new update* ¹⁹⁸ on adult learning theory, (San Francisco) Jossey-Bass. p. .
- [Rosenberg] Beyond e-learning: approaches and technologies to enhance organizational knowledge, Learning, and
 performance, M J Rosenberg . San Francisco: Pfeiffer.
- 201 [Ran et al.] 'Develop a workplace e-learning environment by using key performance Indicator'. W Ran , M Wang ,
- & N Law . Proceedings of International Conference on e-Learning in the Workplace, (International Conference on e-Learning in the WorkplaceNew York) ICELW).
- [Tynjälä and Häkkinen] 'E-learning at work: theoretical underpinnings and pedagogical challenges'. P Tynjälä ,
 & P Häkkinen . The Journal of Workplace Learning, 17 p. .
- ²⁰⁶ [Ubel (2000)] 'Engineers turning to E-Learning'. R Ubel . *IEEE Spectrum* October 2000. p. .
- [Parmenter ; Hoboken] Key performance indicators (KPI): developing, implementing, and using winning KPIs,
 D Parmenter ; Hoboken , NJ . J. Wiley.
- 209 [Learnframe] Learnframe . Facts, Figures and forces behind E-Learning,
- [Mccrea et al.] Riding the big waves: A white paper on B2B E-Learning industry, F Mccrea, R K Gay, & R
 Bacon . San Francisco: Thomas Weisel Partners LLC.
- 212 [Nguyen] Testing Applications on the Web: Test planning for internet based systems, H Q Nguyen. John Wiley.
- [Nonaka and Takeuchi] The Knowledge-Creating Company: how Japanese companies create the dynamics of
 innovation, & H Nonaka, Takeuchi. New York: Oxford University Press.
- 215 [Wang S and Yang] M J H Wang & S , Yang . Editorial: Knowledge Management and E-Learning,