

An Extension of Description Logic Al

Dr. F. Mamache¹

¹ Universit des Sciences et de la Technologie Houari Boumediene Alger Algrie.

Received: 9 December 2011 Accepted: 31 December 2011 Published: 15 January 2012

Abstract

The research in the domain of knowledge representation and reasoning has always concentrated on the methods that give a good description in the domain where they are able to be used to construct intelligent applications. Description Logics are a family of languages of knowledge representation which can be used to represent knowledge of a field of applications by clear, formal and structured means. In this paper, we give an overview of what are Description Logics and their actual applications in different fields and a brief idea of extensions of Description Logic AL, as we also introduce two operators, the operator less and operator more, which allow us to obtain a new extension of the Description Logic AL.

Index terms— Artificial Intelligence, Description Logics, Knowledge Representation, Semantic, Subsumption, Classification.

1 Introduction

Research in the domain of knowledge representation and reasoning always concentrates on the methods that give a good description in the domain where they are able to be used to construct intelligent applications. By intelligent applications, we refer to systems able to find implicit consequences to represent knowledge explicitly.

Description Logic systems produce to their users' possibilities of varied inferences that deduct the implicit knowledge of the knowledge represented explicitly. Description Logics are a family of languages of knowledge representation which can be used to represent the knowledge of a field of applications by clear, formal and structured means.

These are logical formalisms of representation which distinguish themselves from Networks and Frames by their formal semantic that is based on logic.

In this paper, we give an overview of what are Description Logic and their applications in different fields. We notice several domains of applications, some include Software Engineering, Configuration, Medicine, Numeric libraries and Information Systems based on Web. there exists other domains of applications where the Description Logics have an significant role, as the field which include the Treatment of Natural Language and Management of Database. We give in this paper a brief idea of extensions of Description Logic AL, as we also introduce two operators, operator less and operator more, which allow us to obtain a new extension of the Description Logic AL.

2 II.

3 Origin of description logics

Description Logics DIs or terminology logics are a family of languages of knowledge representation which can be used to represent the knowledge of a field of applications by clear, formal and structured means. Description Logics differ of their predecessors, such as Networks and Frames, given that they are equipped of formal logic based on semantic. We find three generations of systems. In the following, we will see their historic evolution. a) Pre-description logic systems Description Logics are formalisms of knowledge representation based on KL-One language. KL-One language is considered as root of the family of all languages. The Networks that are at the origin of the language KL-One, were introduced in 1966 as a representation of the basic concepts of the English

44 words, and become a popular type of structures to represent a wide variety of concepts of the applications in
45 Arti_cial Intelligence. KL-One language introduced most key notions of Dls: ? Notion of concepts and roles ?
46 Notions of restrictionvalue and the restrictionnumber that has an important role in the usage of the roles in the
47 de_inition of the concepts and, ? Inference of subsumption and classi_cation.

48 KL?One is based on the subsumption : it's a system of structured inheritance and it is at the origin of a family
49 of languages such as : KL-Two, Krypton, Loom, Kandor, Back, Nikl, Classic and Kriss.

50 4 b) Description logics Systems

51 The last pre-Description logics originate directly from KL-One that itself is a direct result from formal analysis.
52 Description Logics systems that will follow as future generation will result from more theoretical research on
53 terminology logics than of examination consequences of KLOne and of other latest systems. We can notice three
54 approaches for the implementation of the reasoning services : ? The first one can be considered as limited and
55 complete or as systems that are studied by restriction of the set of the concepts so that the subsumption can
56 be calculated efficiently, possible in polynomial time. The system Classic is an example of this approach. ? The
57 second approach designated as expressive and incomplete, since the idea is to furnish an expressive language and
58 an effective reasoning. The inconvenience is, nevertheless, that the(D D D D)

59 C algorithm of reasoning proves to be incomplete in these systems. An example of this system is the system
60 Loom ? In the third approach, we have the characterized systems as being expressive and complete. They are
61 not effective like those of the preceding approaches.

62 5 c) Current Description Logics systems

63 In the current generation of Knowledge Representation Systems based on the DLs (DLKRS), the need of complete
64 algorithms of the expressive languages became focal points. The expressivity of the language of Description Logics
65 is necessary to reason on the data models. The semi-structured data contributed to the identification of the most
66 of the important extensions for practical applications.

67 6 III.

68 7 Introduction to description logics a) Introduction

69 A knowledge system is a program able to reason on an application domain to solve a particular problem, using
70 knowledge related to the studied field. The knowledge of the domain is represented by entities which have
71 syntactic descriptions which are associated to semantics. It does not exist any universal method to conceive such
72 systems, but there is a stream of current and active research developed that were nourished by the studies carried
73 out on the logic of the predicates, the networks semantic and the languages of Frames. This research gave rise
74 to a family of languages of representation called Description Logics. In the formalism of Description Logics, a
75 concept allows to represent a set of individuals, while a role represents a binary relation between individuals. A
76 concept corresponds to a generic entity of an application domain and an individual to a particular entity, i.e,
77 instance of a concept. Concepts, roles and individuals obey to the following principles: ? Concept and a role
78 possess a structural description, elaborated from some constructors. The basic sets that are defined and used in
79 Description Logic are concepts and roles. Concept denotes a set of individuals and a role denotes a binary relation
80 between individuals. Concept possesses a structured description which is constructed using a set of constructors
81 introducing the roles associated to the concept and the restrictions attached to these roles. The restrictions
82 carry generally on the co-domains of the role, which is the concept which the role establishes a relation, and the
83 cardinality of the role, which fixes the minimal and maximal number of elementary values that, can take the role.
84 The elementary values are instances of concepts or many values that result from basic types as integer, real, and
85 chains of characters.

86 The concepts can be primitive or defined. The primitive concepts are comparable to atoms and are used as
87 a basis for construction of the definite concepts. A role can be primitive or defined and can have a structural
88 description, where appear the properties associated to the role.

89 The constructor and indicates that a concept is constructed from a conjunction of concepts that are the
90 ascendants of the new concept-and the constructor all specifies the co-domain of a relation. The constructor
91 not express the negation and does apply only to primitive constructors. The constructors at?last and at?most
92 specify the cardinality of the role which they are associated and respectively indicate the minimum number and
93 the maximum number of elementary values of the role.

94 The associated characteristics to a primitive concept are necessary: an individual x that is an instance of a
95 primitive concept P possesses the characteristics of P. The associated characteristics to a defined concept D are
96 necessary and sufficient: an individual x that is an instance of a defined concept D possesses the characteristics
97 of D, and inversely, the fact that an individual y possesses the set of the associated characteristics to D suffices to
98 infer that y is an instance of D. This distinction is at the basis of the classification process. Concepts are defined
99 in a declarative manner (in a declaratory way) and the To represent concepts like "In the system, there is less
100 equations than unknowns ", and "an individual having more girls than boys" where the minimal number and the
101 maximum number are not known, we thought to introduce others restrictions operators.

8 Global

The constructors less and more indicate the cardinality of the role to which they are associated without specifying the minimal number or the maximum number of elementary values of the role. Example: The concept: (system (has (equations) $<$ (unknowns)) (system (less (equations, unknowns)))) represent the concept: "the system has less equations than unknowns ? The constructors nr and nr fix the cardinality minimum and maximum elementary values numbers of the role which they are associate. In particular, construction (r) is equivalent to construction ($1 r$).

9 ? ?

? The comparison of the cardinality on the roles is noted r_1 less r_2 or $r_1 < r_2$, and (r_1 more r_2) or $r_1 > r_2$.

The corresponding extension of AL is $ALC = AL \{ \{ r_1 < r_2 , r_1 > r_2 \} .$? The conjunction of roles is noted (and $r_1 r_2$) or $r_1 \setminus r_2$, the roles r_1 and r_2 being primitive. The corresponding extension of AL is $ALR = AL \{ \{ r_1 \setminus r_2 \} .$

10 Modelling in Description Logics

At the beginning, DLs were regarded particularly as effective for fields where knowledge could be organized in a hierarchical structure, based on the relation ' is-a ' .

The ability to represent and reason on taxonomies in DLs, justified their use as language of modelling in the study and maintenance of organisms of structured knowledge in a hierarchical way as well as their adoption like language of representation for formal ontology.

So that the designers are able to use DLs to model applications, it is significant that the concepts of Description logic are easily understandable; this will facilitate the use of the effective tools.

There are two principal alternatives to grow the use of DLs like language of modelling: i.

To provide a syntax which be like the natural language, ii.

To implement interfaces where the user can specify the structures of representation through graphic operations.

To model in DLs requires of the designer to specify the concepts of the field of discussion, to characterize their relationships to the other concepts and to specify also individuals.

V.

11 Applications developed with description logic systems

We notice several applicability, some including Software, Engineering, Configuration, Medicine, Numerical Libraries and Information systems based on Web. There is several other applicability where DLs play a significant role, as the fields which include Treatment of Natural Language and Management of the Data bases. Some applications, whose creation lasted several years, arrived only at the level of prototype, but several among have the totality of the industrial systems several projects on the treatment natural language based on DLs were undertaken; some reached the level of industrial applications. We will see now, briefly, some fields of research which have relation with DLs.

a) The natural language The use of DLs in the treatment of the natural language for knowledge representation can be used to communicate the meaning of the sentences. This knowledge is typically concerned by the meaning of the words (dictionary), and by the context i.e. a representation of the situation and the field of dialogue. The expressivity of the natural language also carries out to investigations concerning the extensions of DLs, such as for example it reason by defect. Work on the natural language required construction ontology. The Software Engineering is one of the first applicability of DLs. The principal idea was to implement an information system Software or a system which could help the developer of the software to find information in a wide Software system. One of the most original applications of DLs is Lassiesystem. Lassiesystem had a considerable success but ended up falling because of difficulty of the maintenance of its knowledge base. The idea of an information Software system and use of DLs survived like particular application and was used later by others Systems.

12 d) Configuration

The task of the configuration is to find a set of components which can be suitably connected in order to carry out a system which satisfies a given specification. The task of the configuration appears in many industrial fields like telecommunication, car industry and constructions of buildings. By using DLs, we can exploit the capacity to classify the components and to organize in a taxonomy.

13 e) Medicine

Medicine is also a field where the expert systems were developed since 1980, however, the complexity of the medical field requires a variety in the use of the DL ? KRS. The need to deal with large range for knowledge bases (100000 concepts) leads to development of specialized systems such as Galen.

156 14 VI.

157 15 Conclusion

158 Description Logics are responsible for several basic concepts in Knowledge Representation and Reasoning. The
159 most significant aspect of work on DLs was certainly the union between the theory and practice. Descriptions
160 Logics are not only theoretical formalism reserved to the theorists of Knowledge Representation, research around
161 Description Logics is very active and has practical and theoretical aiming. Thus, the construction of systems
162 dealing with the real problems is in the center of the concerns of many research tasks. Description Logics are
163 not fixed formalisms; they are sufficiently flexible to accept the introduction of new constructors, able to meet
164 particular needs. In this paper, we introduced two new operators, the operator less and the operator more,
165 who allowed us to obtain a new extension of the logic of description AL. These operators will find certainly an
applicability in one of the fields quoted previously.^{1 2}



Figure 1:

166

¹© 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US) © 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²© 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

167 Concepts and roles description : Semantic i.

168 .1 Interpretation in ALLNRC

169 A semantic is associated to descriptions of concepts and roles: Concepts are interpreted like subsets of a field
170 of interpretation and roles like subsets of product . The concepts are interpreted like subsets of interpretation
171 field and roles like subsets of product For a concept C, CI corresponds to the subset of the elements of field ,
172 and for a role r, rI corresponds to the subset of the couples of elements of product The following definition is
173 given within the framework of language ALCNRI Definition 1 (Interpretation) An interpretation I = (I,I) is the
174 data of a set called interpretation field and a interpretation function .I which fact of corresponding to a concept
175 a subset of and to a role a subset of , so that following equations are satisfied:

176 [Nardi and Brachman (ed.) ()] *An Introduction to Description Logics In the Description Logic Handbook*, D
177 Nardi , R J Brachman . F. Baader, D. Calvanese, D.L. McGuinness, D. Nardi, P.F. Patel-Schneider (ed.)
178 2002. Cambridge University Press. p. .

179 [Franconi] E Franconi . <http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~franconi> *Description Logics*,

180 [Brachman (ed.) ()] *Living with Classic : When and how to use a KL-ONE like language*, R J Brachman . PIn
181 J. F. SOWA (ed.) 1991. 14 p. . (Principles of semantic networks)

182 [Donini ()] 'Reasoning in description logics'. Donini . *Principles of Knowledge Representation*, G Brewka (ed.)
183 (Stanford (CA), USA) 1996. CSLI Publications. p. .

184 [Brachman ()] *Schmolze : An overview of the KL-ONE knowledge representation system Cognitive Science*, R J
185 Brachman , JG . 1985. 9 p. .

186 [Napoli ()] *Une brève introduction aux logiques de descriptions Rapport de Recherche RR-3314, INRIA, A Napoli*
187 . 1997.

188 [Woods and Schmolze ()] W Woods , J G Schmolze . *The KL-ONE family Computers, Mathematics with*
189 *Applications*, 1992. 23 p. .