Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.

1 2	Analysis of Decentralized & Diverse Access Control (DDAC) Architecture
3	Gulshan Ahuja ¹ and Dr. Rajender $Nath^2$
4	¹ Kurukshetra University
5	Received: 16 December 2011 Accepted: 2 January 2012 Published: 15 January 2012

Abstract 7

17

Access control refers to securing access to the resources and allowing access up to some defined 8

level. This paper presents various approaches implementing access control in an open domain 9

and carries an analysis of decentralized and diverse access control (DDAC) architecture. The 10

DDAC architecture eliminates the role of centralized authority for managing and issuing 11

users? credentials. It allows the users to keep the right of disclosure of their attributes under 12 the sole control of them and also ensures that the users are not able to modify the confidential 13

credentials which have been registered and verified by various trusted attribute providers. 14

This paper explains the metrics for carrying the analysis and then presents a theoretical and 15

experimental analysis of the DDAC architecture. 16

18

Index terms— Access Control, DDAC, Attributes, Credentials. Introduction pen and distributed nature of Internet assists users to use online services for the benefits of costs, 19 time and efficiency. To avail theses services users are required to submit their credentials for the purpose of 20 registration and further verification. The credentials supplied by a user may not be sufficient enough to grant the 21 access to the requested service and a further verification may need to be carried by demanding some confidential 22 and secret credentials from the user. 23

24 However user may wish to disclose only basic set of credentials in the form of attributes and may decide to 25 refrain from disclosing the confidential and sensitive attributes to service portals for the concerns of safety and privacy. This creates a requirement for trusted agencies, which can maintain private and confidential information 26 of users and allow this information to be used by service providers without compromising privacy and security 27 of user specific information. 28

A significant research has been carried in the field of federated identity management, which makes possible to 29 utilize the existing Identity management systems for realizing authentication and authorization decisions. In a 30 federated system, Identity Provider (IdP) plays an important role and issues the certified credentials, which can 31 be utilized at the service provider's (SP) end. The scalability of such system is limited due to the need of IdP to 32

act as a central authority and maintain credentials of ever growing large number of requesters. 33

As more and more portals are offering online services, there is a strong need to provide authentication and 34 authorization independent of any central authority. A decentralized environment must allow various attribute 35 authorities to collaborate dynamically to produce a set of attributes, which are consumed by the service providers 36 for providing services to the requesting users. The rest of this paper is structured as follows. Section II highlights 37 various decentralized access control mechanisms. Section III describes in brief about the DDAC architecture and 38 its components. Section IV presents the analysis of the DDAC architecture and finally Section V presents the 39 conclusion II. 40

1 RELATED WORK 41

With the increase in number of service requesters and service providers, there was an increase in the 42

complexity related with access management activities. The researchers started considering attribute management 43 frameworks, which worked without involvement of any central authority to manage or process the users' attributes. 44

Cantor et al. [1], Chappell [2], Klingenstein [3], Jill et al. [4] approaches relied on IdPs and SPs for issue and consumption of attributes. The establishment of trust between IdPs and SPs required them to become part of the federated identity management. In federated system every IdP could define its own attribute release policy for each SP within the federation. The IdP had the full authority to decide about which attributes could be released to a particular SP based on the concerned access control policy. The service requester had no right to specify about attributes that could be released to an SP.

Regina N. Hebig et al. [5] proposed a decentralized identity and attribute based access control approach. The 51 authors described a prototype implementation with an architecture based on the standards XACML, SAML, 52 WSPolicy, WS-SecurityPolicy and WS-Trust, which put the focus on sharing identity and attribute information 53 across independent domains for the purpose of access control. A recent framework Aditi [6] for user centric 54 identity federation enhanced the standard federated model with new IdP and SP components operated directly 55 by users. These components were termed as user IdP and user SP, respectively to provide an interface between the 56 user and the federation. In Aditi system, the user could obtain all attributes from the IdP and store them locally. 57 Aditi addressed issues like redirection of user requests, use of cookies, removal of need for introduction of an SP 58 to the identity federation, scalability, providing complete user control over his attributes, trust management in 59 60 order to help the SPs to find out the trustworthiness of an IdP. In this approach all the attributes of the user 61 were still kept with IdP and the user had to download all attributes from IdP to the card selector in order to 62 utilize these attributes for authorization decisions. This provided users with full control over their attributes, which could be changed at the will of the user. Therefore ADITI framework was not well suited for service 63 portals where users' attributes were required to be verified without control of users over their own attributes and 64 independent of any centralized authority. 65

The problem evolved in relation to management of attributes in multiple federations. With the continuous and fast pace increase in the number of service requesters, the numbers of federations also increased. Each SP had to manage its linkages across multiple federations. This increased the complexity related with access management across multiple federations. Moreover, the IdPs still played the role of central authority for issuing and managing the attributes of users. There was a need for attribute management framework, which worked without involvement of any central authority to manage or process the user attributes.

The DDAC architecture presented by Rajender Nath et al. [7] considered the use and verification of diverse 72 attributes for supporting online services in a decentralized manner. It allowed utilizing diverse attributes without 73 74 involvement of any centralized agency for management and issue of access related attributes. In the next section, 75 we outline in brief about DDAC architecture and its components. The policy store keeps information about users' attributes along with the set of policies, which specify the rules and conditions under which access can be 76 granted or denied. The Controller Module acts as the overall organizer for invoking and fetching response from 77 the other components. Once a user sends a request for a service to an SP, the controller module intercepts the 78 incoming request, invokes the credibility verification module (CVM) and directs it to process the service request. 79 The CVM evaluates the registration time attributes against the registration list to verify whether user is already 80 registered or not. 81

⁸² **2** III.

3 Ddac architecture components

The Users' Registration List contains registration details about all those users who have already registered with an SP for accessing a service. The CPL data store contains the information about service access request related parameters. The CVM verifies the attributes against a data registry to check whether the requesting user is already registered or not. If the user is already registered, the CVM module invokes CPL computation module for calculating CPL value for the requesting user. Otherwise, the CVM module asks the user for registration and carries the verification through RDSE query. The CPL computation module computes the value for CPL based on service request related parameters

⁹¹ The next section carries the analysis of DDAC architecture and presents the performance results.

92 **4** IV.

⁹³ 5 Analysis of ddac architecture

94 To analyze the merits of the DDAC architecture three main parameters have been identified such as (a) 95 Performance (b) Time Effectiveness (c) Cost Effectiveness. The analysis of the DDAC architecture based on 96 the above mentioned parameters is presented below:

⁹⁷ 6 a) Performance

98 The DDAC architecture is implemented using Java Framework. The portal interface has been deigned using Java

99 Server Pages. The experiment is conducted on a 2.4 GHz Intel Dual Core Pentium machine with 1 GB of RAM,

100 Windows XP operating system. The attribute storage and retrieval services are provided by installing IBM Tivoli

Directory Server for Windows on a remote site. A web service is implemented for receiving of verification request, query of attributes from Tivoli Server and generating response for the SP.

The working of the architecture is tested for two different cases Case 1: For requests based on registration time attributes.

105 Case 2: For requests based on registration time attributes & another set of attributes stored with TAP.

106 The experimental details for first case are described as follows:-

The experiment is performed for 100 requests, where each access request contains only registration time attributes. For each access request, the types of registration time attributes and threshold values are varied. The CPL value is computed as per eq. 1, 2, 3 & 4 and is normalized in the range of <1, 10>.

110 7 Requests

The obtained results as per figure 1.2 highlight that with the increase in threshold value the number of allowed access requests also decrease. At mid of the total threshold range, there is found a sharp decline in the allowed number of requests. A further increase in the threshold value results in the rejection of most of the number of access requests as their computed CPL value comes out as below than the permissible limits.

115 The experimental details for second case are described as follows:

The experiment is performed for 100 requests, where each request contains registration time attributes and 116 another set of attributes, which are maintained with TAP. For each access request the types of registration time 117 attributes and TAP's attributes are varied. The experiment is conducted by varying the threshold values in the 118 same intervals as in above presented case 1. The use of DDAC architecture results in considerable saving in time 119 required to deliver the required products to the requesters. The computation and use of CPL values for access 120 request allows an SP to establish some degree of trust with the requesting client. The degree of trust further 121 increases with the AR and PDR values associated with the same client. The time effectiveness of the DDAC 122 architecture is calculated as follows: 123

Assuming that there are N numbers of requests for purchase of products and out of total of N requests, for P requests the products are returned for valid reasons and for Q number of requests due to some defaults.

Total time T1 required to serve N requests, when no verification is carried, is computed based on time required to deliver the product (TD), time required to receive back the rejected product (TR) and time required to receive back the product in case of a default (TU).) (*) (* D 1 U D R T T Q T T P T + + =

Now, considering the case where the verification is carried based on CPL value, the time T2 required to serve N number of requests is computed as follows:) (* 2 R D T T P T + =

The use of CPL value, leads to elimination of time caused by Q number of defaulting requests. The time effectiveness value (TE), which describes the total saving in time, is computed as 2 1 T T T E? =

133 The value of TE results in a significant amount of saving in time for the organization.

¹³⁴ 8 c) Cost Effectiveness

The DDAC architecture allows an SP to verify about the genuineness and validity of service requester. The services are provided only after ascertaining about the details about the requester.

The method employed in the architecture considers CPL as one important factor for serving users requests. 137 The CPL is computed based on the service request related parameters such as the number of times requested 138 items accepted by the user on delivery, the total number of items supplied, timely payment, number of times 139 delay occurred during payments, the time delay in payment, the time allowed for payment etc. The values of 140 these parameters for a user varies based on the past transaction details interactions with an SP. The DDAC 141 architecture has been designed in a manner that it significantly reduces the request processing overhead based 142 on the CPL value of a user. This results in a considerable saving in terms of costs of delivery. 143 V. 144

145 9 Conclusion

This paper has presented a theoretical and practical analysis of the working of DDAC architecture. The DDAC 146 architecture works well in a decentralized manner and provides means by which various attribute providers can 147 dynamically collaborate to utilize users' attributes. The concept of CPL in DDAC architecture leads to reduction 148 in the time required to verify service requests, based on the users' credibility values and previous experiences. 149 The change in the value of one or more attributes can be easily carried by trusted attribute provider without any 150 hassles of intimation to any other party. The trusted attribute providers only provide the location and signature 151 of web service in resource descriptive search engine. The information about signature of web service in resource 152 descriptive search engine remains unchanged and do not effect any operation even when there is a change in the 153

¹⁵⁴ value of one or more users' attributes. ¹

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 1: Fig. 1 . 1 :

- [Hebig ()] 'A Web Service Architecture for Decentralized Identity and Attribute based Access Control'. Regina
 N Hebig . *IEEE International Conference on Web Services*, 2009. p. .
- [Klingenstein ()] 'Attribute Aggregation and Federated Identity'. N Klingenstein . International Symposium on
 Applications and the Internet Workshops (SAINTW'07), 2007. p. 26.
- [Gemmill et al. (2008)] 'Cross-domain authorization for federated virtual organizations using the myVocs collab oration environment'. Jill Gemmill , John-Paul Robinson , Tom Scavo , Purushotham Bangalore . Concurrency
 and Computation: Practice and Experience, July 2008. p. .
- 162 [Nath and Ahuja (2011)] 'Decentralized & Diverse Access Control Architecture (DDAC) for Online Purchases'.
- Rajender Nath , Gulshan Ahuja . International Journal of Computer Applications September 2011. IJCA. 30
 (1) p. .
- 165 [Cantor et al. ()] 'Introducing Windows CardSpace'. S Cantor , S Carmody , M Erdos , K Hazelton , W Hoehn , R Morgan , T Scavo , D Wasley . http://msdn.microsoft.com/enus/library/aa480189.aspx
- 167 Shibboleth Architecture, Protocols and Profiles, 2006. (Microsoft MSDN website)
- [Prochazka et al. ()] User Centric Authentication for Web Applications, Michal Prochazka, Daniel Kouril, Ludek
 Matyska. 2010. IEEE. p. .