

An Efficient Fuzzy Possibilistic C-Means with Penalized and Compensated Constraints

Dr. D.Vanisri¹ and C.Loganathan²

¹ Kongu Engineering college

Received: 3 January 2011 Accepted: 1 February 2011 Published: 12 February 2011

Abstract

Improvement in sensing and storage devices and impressive growth in applications such as Internet search, digital imaging, and video surveillance have generated many high-volume, high-dimensional data. The raise in both the quantity and the kind of data requires improvement in techniques to understand, process and summarize the data. Categorizing data into reasonable groupings is one of the most essential techniques for understanding and learning. This is performed with the help of technique called clustering. This clustering technique is widely helpful in fields such as pattern recognition, image processing, and data analysis. The commonly used clustering technique is K-Means clustering. But this clustering results in misclassification when large data are involved in clustering. To overcome this disadvantage, Fuzzy- Possibilistic C-Means (FPCM) algorithm can be used for clustering. FPCM combines the advantages of Possibilistic C-Means (PCM) algorithm and fuzzy logic. For further improving the performance of clustering, penalized and compensated constraints are used in this paper. Penalized and compensated terms are embedded with the modified fuzzy possibilistic clustering method's objective function to construct the clustering with enhanced performance. The experimental result illustrates the enhanced performance of the proposed clustering technique when compared to the fuzzy possibilistic c-means clustering algorithm.

Index terms— Fuzzy Possibilistic C-Means, Modified Fuzzy Possibilistic C-Means, Penalized and Compensated constraints

1 Introduction

Clustering is one of the most popular approaches to unsupervised pattern recognition. Fuzzy C-Means (FCM) algorithm [8] is a typical clustering algorithm, which has been widely utilized in engineering and scientific disciplines such as medicine imaging, bioinformatics, pattern recognition, and data mining. As the basic FCM clustering approach employs the squared-norm to measure similarity between prototypes and data points, it can be effective in clustering only the 'spherical' clusters and many About 1 -Department of Computer Technology, Kongu Engineering College, Perunudai-638 052, Tamilnadu,, INDIA E-Mail:vanisri_raja@rediffmail.com, Tel +91-99427-66266 About 2 -Principal, Maharaja Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, INDIA E-Mail:clogu@rediffmail.com algorithms are derived from the FCM to cluster more general dataset. FCM approach is very sensitive to noise. To avoid such an effect, Krishnapuram and Keller[1] removed the constraint of memberships in FCM and propose the Possibilistic C-Means (PCM) algorithm [15]. To classify a data point they deducted an approach that the data point must closely have their cluster centroid, and it is the role of membership. Also for the centroid estimation, the typicality is used for alleviating the unwanted effect of outliers. So Pal proposed a clustering algorithm called Fuzzy Possibilistic C-Means (FPCM) that combines the characteristics of both fuzzy and possibilistic c-means [9]- [14]. In order to enhance the FPCM, Modified Fuzzy

Possibilistic C-Means (MFPCM) approach is presented. This new approach provides better results compared to the previous algorithms by modifying the Objective function used in FPCM. The objective function is enhanced by adding new weight of data points in relation to every cluster and modifying the exponent of the distance between a point and a class.

The existing approach use the probabilistic constraint to enable the memberships of a training sample across clusters that sum up to 1, which means the different grades of a training sample are shared by distinct clusters, but not as degrees of typicality. In contrast, each component created by FPCM belongs to a dense region in the data set. Each cluster is independent of the other clusters in the FPCM strategy. Typicalities and Memberships are very important factors for the correct feature of data substructure in clustering problem. If a training sample has been effectively classified to a particular suitable cluster, then membership is considered as a better constraint for which the training sample is closest to this cluster. In other words, typicality is an important factor to overcome the undesirable effects of outliers to compute the cluster centers. In order to enhance the above mentioned existing approach in MFPCM, penalized and compensated constraints are incorporated. Yang [16] and Yang and Su [17] have added the penalized term into fuzzy c-means to construct the penalized fuzzy cmeans (PFCM) algorithm. The compensated constraint is embedded into FCM by Lin [18] to create compensated fuzzy c-means (CFCM) algorithm. In this paper the penalized and compensated constraints are combined with the MFPCM which is said to be Penalized and Compensated constraints based Modified Fuzzy Possibilistic C-Means clustering algorithm (PCMFCM).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II discusses the various related works to the approach discussed in this paper. Section III presents the proposed methodology. Experimental studies with two datasets are given in section 4 and section 5 concludes the paper.

2 II.

3 Related works

Clustering is found to be the widely used approach in most of the data mining systems. Compared with the clustering algorithms, the Fuzzy c means approach is found to be efficient and this section discusses some the literature studies on the fuzzy probabilistic c means approach for the clustering problem.

In 1997, Pal et al., proposed the Fuzzy-Possibilistic C-Means (FPCM) algorithm that generated both membership and typicality values when clustering unlabeled data. The typicality values are constrained by FPCM so that the sum of the overall data points of typicalities to a cluster is one. For large data sets the row sum constraint produces unrealistic typicality values. In this paper, a novel approach is presented called possibilistic-fuzzy c-means (PFCM) model. PFCM produces memberships and possibilities concurrently, along with the usual point prototypes or cluster centers for each cluster. PFCM is a hybridization of fuzzy cmeans (FCM) and possibilistic c-means (PCM) that often avoids various problems of PCM, FCM and FPCM. The noise sensitivity defect of FCM is resolved in PFCM, overcomes the problem of coincident clusters of PCM and purges the row sum constraints of FPCM. The first-order essential conditions for extrema of the PFCM objective function is driven, and used them as the basis for a standard alternating optimization approach to finding local minima of the PFCM objective functional. With Some numerical examples FCM and PCM are compared to PFCM in [21]. The examples illustrate that PFCM compares favorably to both of the previous models. Since PFCM prototypes are fewer sensitive to outliers and can avoid coincident clusters, PFCM is a strong candidate for fuzzy rule-based system identification.

Xiao-Hong et al., [3] presented a novel approach on Possibilistic Fuzzy c-Means Clustering Model Using Kernel Methods. The author insisted that fuzzy clustering method is based on kernel methods. This technique is said to be kernel possibilistic fuzzy cmeans model (KPFCM). KPFCM is an improvement in possibilistic fuzzy c-means model (PFCM) which is superior to fuzzy c-means (FCM) model. The KPFCM model is different from PFCM and FCM which are based on Euclidean distance. The KPFCM model is based on non-Euclidean distance by using kernel methods. In addition, with kernel methods the input data can be mapped implicitly into a high-dimensional feature space where the nonlinear pattern now appears linear. KPFCM can deal with noises or outliers better than PFCM. The KPFCM model is interesting and provides good solution. The experimental results show better performance of KPFCM.

Ojeda-Magafia et al., [4] proposed a new technique to use the Gustafson-Kessel (GK) algorithm within the PFCM (Possibilistic Fuzzy c-Means), such that the cluster distributions have a better adaptation with the natural distribution of the data. The PFCM, proposed by Pal et al. on 2005, introduced the fuzzy membership degrees of the FCM and the typicality values of the PCM. However, this algorithm uses the Euclidian distance which gives circular clusters. So, combining the GK algorithm and the Mahalanobis measure for the calculus of the distance, there is the possibility to get ellipsoidal forms as well, allowing a better representation of the clusters.

Chunhui et al., [6] presented a similarity based fuzzy and possibilistic c-means algorithm called SFPCM. It is derived from original fuzzy and possibilistic-means algorithm (FPCM) which was proposed by Bezdek. The difference between the two algorithms is that the proposed SFPCM algorithm processes relational data, and the original FPCM algorithm processes propositional data. Experiments are performed on 22 data sets from the UCI repository to compare SFPCM with FPCM. The results show that these two algorithms can generate similar

results on the same data sets. SFPCM performs a little better than FPCM in the sense of classification accuracy, and it also converges more quickly than FPCM on these data sets.

Yang et al., [5] puts forth an unlabeled data clustering method using a possibilistic fuzzy c-means (PFCM). PFCM is the combination of possibilistic cmeans (PCM) and fuzzy c-means (FCM), therefore it has been shown that PFCM is able to solve the noise sensitivity issue in FCM, and at the same time it helps to avoid coincident clusters problem in PCM with some numerical examples in low-dimensional data sets. Further evaluation of PFCM for high-dimensional data is conducted in this paper and presented a revised version of PFCM called Hyperspherical PFCM (HPFCM). The original PFCM objective function is modified, so that cosine similarity measure could be incorporated in the approach. When compared their performance with some of the traditional and recent clustering algorithms for automatic document categorization the FPCM performs better. The study shows HPFCM is promising for handling complex high dimensional data sets and achieves more stable performance. The remaining problem of PFCM approach is also discussed in this research.

A robust interval type-2 possibilistic C-means (IT2PCM) clustering algorithm is presented by Long Yu et al., [6] which is essentially alternating cluster estimation, but membership functions are selected with interval type-2 fuzzy sets by the users. The cluster prototypes are computed by type reduction combined with defuzzification; consequently they could be directly extracted to generate interval type-2 fuzzy rules that can be used to obtain a first approximation to the interval type-2 fuzzy logic system (IT2FLS). The IT2PCM clustering algorithm is robust to uncertain inliers and outliers, at the same time provides a good initial structure of IT2FLS for further tuning in a subsequent process. [8] and this is widely used in pattern recognition. The algorithm is an iterative clustering approach that brings out an optimal c partition by minimizing the weighted within group sum of squared error objective function JFCM: $\sum_{i=1}^c \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} d_{ij}^2$ where $d_{ij} = \frac{\|x_j - v_i\|}{\|x_j - v_i\| + \alpha}$, $\alpha = 1$ if $\|x_j - v_i\| \leq \alpha$, $\alpha = \alpha$ otherwise, $\alpha > 0$ is a weighting exponent parameter on each fuzzy membership and establishes the amount of fuzziness of the resulting classification; it is a fixed number greater than one. Under the constraint of U the objective function JFCM can be minimized. Specifically, taking of JFCM with respect to u_{ij} and v_i and zeroing them respectively, is necessary but not sufficient conditions for JFCM to be at its local extrema will be as the following: $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial v_i} = 0$. (4) $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$ leads to $u_{ij} = \frac{d_{ij}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^c d_{ij}^2}$ (5)

In the equation $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is the data set in the p-dimensional vector space, the number of data items is represented as p, c represents the number of clusters with $c \leq n-1$. $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_c\}$ is the c centers or prototypes of the clusters, v_i represents the p-dimension center of the cluster i, and $d_2(x_j, v_i)$ represents a distance measure between object x_j and cluster centre v_i . $U = \{u_{ij}\}$ represents a fuzzy partition matrix with $u_{ij} = u_i(x_j)$ is the degree of membership of x_j in the ith cluster; x_j is the jth of pdimensional measured data. The fuzzy partition matrix satisfies: $\sum_{i=1}^c u_{ij} = 1$ for all j, $u_{ij} \in [0, 1]$ (2) $\sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij} > 0$ for all i, $u_{ij} \in [0, 1]$ (3)

m is a weighting exponent parameter on each fuzzy membership and establishes the amount of fuzziness of the resulting classification; it is a fixed number greater than one. Under the constraint of U the objective function JFCM can be minimized. Specifically, taking of JFCM with respect to u_{ij} and v_i and zeroing them respectively, is necessary but not sufficient conditions for JFCM to be at its local extrema will be as the following: $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial v_i} = 0$. (4) $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$ leads to $u_{ij} = \frac{d_{ij}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^c d_{ij}^2}$ (5)

In noisy environment, the memberships of FCM do not always correspond well to the degree of belonging of the data, and may be inaccurate. This is mainly because the real data unavoidably involves some noises. To recover this weakness of FCM, the constrained condition (3) of the fuzzy c-partition is not taken into account to obtain a possibilistic type of membership function and PCM for unsupervised clustering is proposed. The component generated by the PCM belongs to a dense region in the data set; each cluster is independent of the other clusters in the PCM strategy. The following formulation is the objective function of the PCM: $J_{PCM} = \sum_{i=1}^c \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} d_{ij}^2$ where $d_{ij} = \frac{\|x_j - v_i\|}{\|x_j - v_i\| + \alpha}$, $\alpha = 1$ if $\|x_j - v_i\| \leq \alpha$, $\alpha = \alpha$ otherwise, $\alpha > 0$ is a weighting exponent parameter on each fuzzy membership and establishes the amount of fuzziness of the resulting classification; it is a fixed number greater than one. Under the constraint of U the objective function JFCM can be minimized. Specifically, taking of JFCM with respect to u_{ij} and v_i and zeroing them respectively, is necessary but not sufficient conditions for JFCM to be at its local extrema will be as the following: $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial v_i} = 0$. (4) $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$ leads to $u_{ij} = \frac{d_{ij}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^c d_{ij}^2}$ (5)

Where α is the scale parameter at the ith cluster, $\alpha = 1$ if $\|x_j - v_i\| \leq \alpha$, $\alpha = \alpha$ otherwise, $\alpha > 0$ is a weighting exponent parameter on each fuzzy membership and establishes the amount of fuzziness of the resulting classification; it is a fixed number greater than one. Under the constraint of U the objective function JFCM can be minimized. Specifically, taking of JFCM with respect to u_{ij} and v_i and zeroing them respectively, is necessary but not sufficient conditions for JFCM to be at its local extrema will be as the following: $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial v_i} = 0$. (4) $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$ leads to $u_{ij} = \frac{d_{ij}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^c d_{ij}^2}$ (5)

The characteristics of both fuzzy and possibilistic c-means approaches is incorporated. Memberships and typicalities are very important factors for the correct feature of data substructure in clustering problem. Consequently, an objective function in the FPCM depending on both memberships and typicalities can be represented as below: $J_{FPCM} = \sum_{i=1}^c \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} d_{ij}^2$ where $d_{ij} = \frac{\|x_j - v_i\|}{\|x_j - v_i\| + \alpha}$, $\alpha = 1$ if $\|x_j - v_i\| \leq \alpha$, $\alpha = \alpha$ otherwise, $\alpha > 0$ is a weighting exponent parameter on each fuzzy membership and establishes the amount of fuzziness of the resulting classification; it is a fixed number greater than one. Under the constraint of U the objective function JFCM can be minimized. Specifically, taking of JFCM with respect to u_{ij} and v_i and zeroing them respectively, is necessary but not sufficient conditions for JFCM to be at its local extrema will be as the following: $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial v_i} = 0$. (4) $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$ leads to $u_{ij} = \frac{d_{ij}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^c d_{ij}^2}$ (5)

with the following constraints: $\sum_{i=1}^c u_{ij} = 1$ for all j, $u_{ij} \in [0, 1]$ (2) $\sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij} > 0$ for all i, $u_{ij} \in [0, 1]$ (3)

A solution of the objective function can be obtained through an iterative process where the degrees of membership, typicality and the cluster centers are update with the equations as follows: $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial v_i} = 0$. (4) $\frac{\partial JFCM}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$ leads to $u_{ij} = \frac{d_{ij}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^c d_{ij}^2}$ (5)

165 $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{ij}} + \frac{1}{\mu_{ik}} \right)^{-1}$, $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{ij}} + \frac{1}{\mu_{ik}} \right)^{-1}$. (11) $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{ij}} + \frac{1}{\mu_{ik}} \right)^{-1}$

166 $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{ij}} + \frac{1}{\mu_{ik}} \right)^{-1}$, $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{ij}} + \frac{1}{\mu_{ik}} \right)^{-1}$. (12)

167 PFCM constructs memberships and possibilities simultaneously, along with the usual point prototypes or

168 cluster centers for each cluster. Hybridization of possibilistic c-means (PCM) and fuzzy c-means (FCM) is the

169 PFCM that often avoids various problems of PCM, FCM and FPCM. The noise sensitivity defect of FCM is

170 solved by PFCM, which overcomes the coincident clusters problem of PCM. But the estimation of centroids is

171 influenced by the noise data.

4 2) Modified Fuzzy Possibilistic C-Means

172 Technique (FPCM)

173 Objective function is very much necessary to enhance the quality of the clustering results. Wen-Liang Hung

174 presented a new approach called Modified Suppressed Fuzzy c-means (MS-FCM), which significantly improves

175 the performance of FCM due to a prototype-driven learning of parameter η [19]. Exponential separation strength

176 between clusters is the base for the learning process of η and is updated at each of the iteration.

178 The parameter η can be computed as $\eta = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^c \mu_{ij}^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^c \mu_{ij}^2 \right)^{-1}$ (13)

179 In the above equation η is a normalized term so that η is chosen as a sample variance. That is, η is defined:

180 $\eta = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^c \mu_{ij}^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^c \mu_{ij}^2 \right)^{-1}$

181 But the remark which must be pointed out here is the common value used for this parameter by all the

182 data at each of the iteration, which may induce in error. A new parameter is added with this which suppresses

183 this common value of η and replaces it by a new parameter like a weight to each vector. Or every point of

184 the data set possesses a weight in relation to every cluster. Consequently this weight permits to have a better

185 classification especially in the case of noise data. The following equation is used to calculate the weight. $w_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$ (14)

187 In the previous equation w_{ij} represents weight of the point j in relation to the class i . In order to alter the

188 fuzzy and typical partition, this weight is used. The objective function is composed of two expressions: the first is

189 the fuzzy function and uses a fuzziness weighting exponent, the second is possibilistic function and uses a typical

190 weighting exponent; but the two coefficients in the objective function are only used as exhibitor of membership

191 and typicality. A new relation, lightly different, enabling a more rapid decrease in the function and increase in

192 the membership and the typicality when they tend toward 1 and decrease this degree when they tend toward 0.

193 This relation is to add Weighting exponent as exhibitor of distance in the two under objective functions. The

194 objective function of the MFPCM can be given as follows: $J = \sum_{i=1}^c \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\mu_{ij}^2 + w_{ij} \mu_{ij}^2 \right)$ (15)

196 $U = \{\mu_{ij}\}$ represents a fuzzy partition matrix, is defined as: $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$, $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$, $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$ (16)

198 $T = \{t_{ij}\}$ represents a typical partition matrix, is defined as: $t_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c t_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c t_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$, $t_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c t_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c t_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$, $t_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c t_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c t_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$ (17)

200 $V = \{v_i\}$ represents c centers of the clusters, is defined as: $v_i = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{ij}^2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{ij}^2 v_j \right)$, $v_i = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{ij}^2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{ij}^2 v_j \right)$, $v_i = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{ij}^2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{ij}^2 v_j \right)$ (18)

202 3) Penalized and Compensated constraints based Modified Fuzzy Possibilistic C-Means (PCMFCM)

203 The Penalized and compensated constraints are embedded with the previously discussed Modified Fuzzy

204 Possibilistic C-Means algorithm. The objective function of the FPCM is given in equation (15). In the proposed

205 approach the penalized and compensated terms are added to the objective function of FPCM to construct the

206 objective function of PCMFCM. The penalized constraint can be represented as follows: $J = \sum_{i=1}^c \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\mu_{ij}^2 + w_{ij} \mu_{ij}^2 \right)$ (19)

208 Where $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$, $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$, $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$, $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$

209 $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$, $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$, $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$, $\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^c \mu_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1}$

210 where η is a proportional constant of class i ; η is a proportional constant of training vector z x , and v

211 ($v \neq 0$); η ($\neq 0$) are also constants. In these functions, η and η are defined in equations above. Membership

212 μ_{ij} and typicality t_{ij} for the penalize is presented below. To obtain an efficient clustering the

213 penalization term must be removed and the compensation term must be added to the basic objective function of

214 the existing FPCM. This brings out the objective function of PCFPCM and it is given in equation (20) The

215 objective function value obtained for clustering the Iris data using the proposed clustering technique and existing

216 clustering techniques is shown in table 1. When considering the class 1, the objective function obtained by using

217 the proposed technique is 10.23 which is lesser than the objective function obtained by K-Means clustering and

218 Genetic algorithm i.e. 10.76 and 10.66 respectively. This clearly indicates that the proposed technique results

219 in better clustering when compared to existing clustering techniques. When class 2 is considered, the objective

220 function for existing methods are 11.12 and 11.01, whereas, for the proposed clustering technique the objective

221 function is 10.67 which are much lesser than conventional methods. The objective function obtained for the class

222 3 using the proposed technique is 9.96 that is lesser when compared to the usage of K-Means and GA techniques

223 i.e. 10.21 and 10.11. From these data, it can be clearly seen that the proposed technique will produce better

224 clusters when compared to the existing techniques. $J = \sum_{i=1}^c \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\mu_{ij}^2 + w_{ij} \mu_{ij}^2 \right)$

225 The performance of the proposed and existing techniques in terms of comparison with their objective function

226 is shown in figure 2. It can be clearly observed that the proposed clustering technique results in lesser objective

function for the considered all classes of iris dataset when compared to the existing techniques. This clearly indicates that the proposed clustering technique will produce better clusters for the large database when compared to the conventional techniques.

V.

5 Conclusion

Fuzzy clustering is considered as one of the oldest components of soft computing which is suitable for handling the issues related to understandability of patterns, incomplete/noisy data, and mixed media information and is mainly used in data mining technologies. In this paper, a penalized and compensated constraints based Fuzzy possibilistic c-Means clustering algorithm is presented, which is developed to obtain better quality of clustering results. The need for both membership and typicality values in clustering is argued, and clustering model named as PCMFPCM is proposed in this paper. The proposed PCMFPCM approach differ from the conventional FPCM, PFCM, and CFCM by imposing the possibilistic reasoning strategy on fuzzy clustering with penalized and compensated constraints for updating the grades of membership and typicality. The experimental results shows that the proposed PCMFPCM approach performs better clustering and the value of objective function is very much reduced when compared to the conventional fuzzy clustering approaches.

6 References Références Referencias

7 Experimental results

The proposed approach for clustering unlabeled data is experimented using the Iris dataset from the UCI machine learning Repository.

All algorithms are implemented under the same initial values and stopping conditions. The experiments are all performed on a GENX computer with 2.6 GHz Core (TM) 2 Duo processors using MATLAB version 7.5.

Iris data set contains 150 patterns with dimension 4 and 3 classes. This is one of the most The centroid of ith cluster is calculated in the similar way as the definition in Eq. (18). The final objective function is presented in equation (??1).



Figure 1: (

¹March 2011©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²March 2011This page is intentionally left blank

Comparative Analysis of Fuzzy C-Mean and Modified Fuzzy Possibilistic C -Mean Algorithms in Data Mining. There are various algorithms used to solve the problem of data mining. FCM (Fuzzy C mean) clustering algorithm and MFPCM (Modified Fuzzy Possibilistic C mean) clustering algorithm are comparatively studied. The performance of Fuzzy C mean (FCM) clustering algorithm is analyzed and compared it with Modified Fuzzy possibilistic C mean algorithm. Complexity of FCM and MFPCM are measured for different data sets. FCM clustering technique is separated from Modified Fuzzy Possibilistic C mean and that employs Possibilistic partitioning. The FCM employs fuzzy partitioning such that a point can belong to all groups with different membership grades between 0 and 1. The author concludes that the Fuzzy clustering, which constitute the oldest component of soft computing. This method of clustering is suitable for handling the issues related to incomplete/noisy data, mixed media information and human interaction, and can provide approximate solutions faster. The proposed approach for the unlabeled data clustering is presented in the following section.

1) Fuzzy Possibilistic Clustering Algorithm

is Fuzzy C-

Sreenivasarao et al., [2] presented

to understandability patterns;

III. Methodology

The fuzzified version of the k-means

Figure 2:

Figure 1. Objective Function Comparison for the
Proposed Technique and Existing Technique
Table 1: Objective Function for Different Clustering

Clustering Method	Methods		Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	??	??
	Objective Function						
							??
							??
							??
							??
							??
							??
							2?
FPCM	10.76	11.12			10.21	??=1	??
MFPCM PCFPCM	10.66	11.01			10.11 ? 1 2	?? ?? ?	???? ??
	10.23	10.67			9.96		
						+ 1	?? ?? ?
						2	???? ??,?? ?? ?? tan

Figure 3:

[Yu] , Long Yu .

[Lung ()] ‘A cluster validity index for fuzzy clustering’. K Lung . *Pattern Recognition Letters* 2005. 25 p. .

[Pal et al. (1997)] ‘A mixed cmeans clustering model’. N R Pal , K Pal , J C Bezdek . *Proceedings of the Sixth IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*, (the Sixth IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems) Jul. 1997. 1 p. .

[An Efficient Fuy Possibilistic C-Means with Penalied and Compensated Constraints Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology
An Efficient Fuy Possibilistic C-Means with Penalied and Compensated Constraints Global Journal of
Computer Science and Technology Volume XI Issue III Version I, 21 March 2011.

[Ojeda-Magafia et al. (2010)] ‘An Improvement to the Possibilistic Fuzzy c-Means Clustering Algorithm’. B Ojeda-Magafia , R Ruelas , M A Corona-Nakamura , D Andina . *Automation Congress, Algorithms in Data Mining*, September 2010. 1 p. . (IJCST)

[Barni et al. ()] ‘Comments on A possibilistic approach to clustering’. M Barni , V Cappellini , A Mecocci . *IEEE Trans on Fuzzy Systems* 1996. 4 p. .

[Fayyad et al. ()] U M Fayyad , G Piatetsky-Shapiro , P Smyth , R Uthurusamy . *Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, (Menlo Park and Cambridge, MA, USA) 1996. AAAI Press and MIT Press.

[Lin ()] ‘Fuzzy clustering using a compensated fuzzy hopfield network’. J S Lin . *Neural Process. Lett* 1999. 10 p. .

[Yan and Chen ()] ‘Hyperspherical possibilistic fuzzy c-means for highdimensional data clustering’. Yang Yan , Lihui Chen . *ICICS 2009. 7th International Conference on Information*, 2009. 2009. p. .

[Berthold and Hand ()] *Intelligent Data Analysis*, M R Berthold , D J Hand . 1999. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag.

[Yang ()] ‘On a class of fuzzy classification maximum likelihood procedures’. M S Yang . *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 1993. 57 p. .

[Yang and Su ()] ‘On parameter estimation for normal mixtures based on fuzzy clustering algorithms’. M S Yang , C F Su . *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 1994. 68 p. .

[Hung et al. ()] ‘Parameter selection for suppressed fuzzy c-means with an application to MRI segmentation’. W L Hung , D Yang , Chen . *Pattern Recognition Letters* 2005.

[Bezdek ()] *Pattern Recognition with Fuzzy Objective Function Algorithms*, J C Bezdek . 1981. New York: Plenum Press.

[Bezdek ()] *Pattern Recognition with Fuzzy Objective Function Algorithms*, J C Bezdek . 1981. New York, Plenum.

[Wu and Zhou ()] ‘Possibilistic Fuzzy c-Means Clustering Model Using Kernel Methods’. Xiao-Hong Wu , Jian-Jiang Zhou . *International Conference on Intelligent Agents, Web Technologies and Internet Commerce*, 2005. 2 p. .

[Xiao and Zheng ()] ‘Robust Interval Type-2 Possibilistic C-means Clustering and its Application for Fuzzy Modeling’. Jian Xiao , Gao Zheng . *FSKD '09. Sixth International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery*, 2009. 2009. 4 p. .

[Zhang et al. ()] ‘Similarity Based Fuzzy and Possibilistic c-means Algorithm’. Chunhui Zhang , Yiming Zhou , Trevor Martin . *Proceedings of the 11th Joint Conference on Information Sciences*, (the 11th Joint Conference on Information Sciences) 2008. p. .

[Berry ()] *Survey of Text Mining*, M W Berry . 2003. New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag.

[WAC '06, Publication Year: 2006] *WAC '06, Publication Year: 2006*, p. .