Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1 2	Software Reliability Simulation: Process, Approaches and Methodology
3	Dr.Javaid Iqbal ¹ and S.M.K. Quadri ²
4	¹ University of Kashmir
5	Received: 1 April 2011 Accepted: 24 April 2011 Published: 4 May 2011
6 -	

7 Abstract

Reliability is probably the most crucial factor to put ones hand up for in any engineering 8 process. Quantitatively, reliability gives a measure (quantity) of quality, and the quantity can 9 be properly engineered using appropriate reliability engineering process. Software Reliability 10 Modeling has been one of the much-attracted research domains in Software Reliability 11 Engineering, to estimate the current state as well as predict the future state of the software 12 system reliability. This paper aims to raise awareness about the usefulness and importance of 13 simulation in support of software reliability modeling and engineering. Simulation can be 14 applied in many critical and touchy areas and enables one to address issues before they these 15 issues become problems. This paper brings to fore some key concepts in simulation-based 16 software reliability modeling. This paper suggests that the software engineering community 17 could exploit simulation to much greater advantage which include cutting down on software 18 development costs, improving reliability, narrowing down the gestation period of software 19 development, fore-seeing the software development process and the software product itself and 20 so on. 21

22

Index terms— Software Reliability E ngineering, Software Reliability, Modeling, Simulation, Simulation model.

25 1 INTRODUCTION

wing to the unexpectedly spiraling increase in the size and complexity of software systems during the past few decades, software reliability has become even more increasingly important for such massive systems. As a result of the compound growth rate of the order of ten times every five years in the size and complexity of software systems deployed in the key areas of telecommunications, defense, transportation industries, business etc, software system reliability is the prime factor to check out for. In such systems, a software failure can lead to serious, even fatal, consequences and repercussions in safety-critical and mission-critical systems as well as in normal business.

Software system reliability stands out as the key benchmark attribute for a software system among its various 32 attributes. The levels of service dependability of a software system during its life-time are the indications for 33 34 its reliability. In fact, the performance criterion of a software system is known by how long the software system 35 will render faithful service. As a result of spiraling increase in the complexity of software systems, performance 36 analysis of the software systems has gained further attention. Much focus has gone to the structural side of 37 software systems as well. In general, the various components of a software system must remain expectedly faithful vis-à-vis their intended functions and deliverables. Software reliability has been dominating the thought-38 process ever since the size and hence complexities of software systems have increased. As fallout of increased 39 size and complexity of software systems, factors contributing to the unreliability of the system become more 40 pronounced. However, even though some level of unreliability does exist for a software system, it is worthwhile 41 to express the quality of the software system by measuring some objective attributes such as reliability and 42

availability. Software reliability characterizing the dynamic quality attribute of a software system can measure
 and predict the operational/usage profile of the software system.

45 **2** II.

⁴⁶ 3 SOFTWARE RELIABILITY AND SOFTWARE RELIABIL ⁴⁷ ITY ENGINEERING

Software Reliability is defined as the probability that software will provide failure-free operation in a fixed environment for a fixed interval of time [17]. In fact, software reliability is the key attribute in software reliability engineering which stands out among other attributes of software quality such as functionality, usability, capability, maintainability, and, etc., for its relevance to quantifying software failures. Software reliability quantifies software failures in a software system. By definition Reliability is probabilistic and hence hard to quantify accurately.

Software Reliability Modeling has been an active research domain for fault/failure forecasting, in software reliability engineering, for estimation as well as prediction of the current and future states, respectively, of the reliability of a software system. A software reliability model represents the behavior of software failures with respect to time as a random process. Reliability modeling as an essential element of the reliability estimation process determines whether a software system meets the specified levels of reliability and thus can be used to decide about the release time O

⁵⁹ 4 Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology

Volume XI Issue VIII Version I 2011 of a software system. Software Reliability Engineering (SRE) encompasses 60 certain engineering techniques for the development and maintenance of software systems with an objective of 61 measuring and predicting reliability (quality) as a quantity. The estimation as well as the prediction of reliability 62 of a software system, involves the use of failure data represented as failure process through its reliability model. 63 Probabilistic approach, being most common approach to developing software reliability models, represents the 64 failure occurrences and the fault removals as probabilistic events. Probabilistic software reliability models are 65 classified into various classes, including error seeding models, failure rate models, curve fitting models, reliability 66 growth models, Markov structure models, and nonhomogeneous Poisson process (NHPP) models. The three main 67 reliability modeling approaches are: the error seeding and tagging approach, the data domain approach, and the 68 time domain approach. Among these the time domain approach has gained much acceptance where techniques 69 like curve-fitting and extrapolation are used. However, SRE techniques do have their limitations too. Limitations 70 of some existing SRE techniques: 1) Late collection of failure data: -SRE techniques collect the failure data during 71 integration testing or system testing phases thereby providing for little flexibility in design re-considerations and 72 re-structuring. 73 2) Non-Exhaustive failure data: -failure data collected by testing does not cover all failures under all settings 74 (environmental, operational, usage etc). The side-effects are especially visible in software systems where we need 75 to maintain highest levels of reliability. As a result, reliability estimation and prediction using the restricted 76 testing data may only be approximations. 77 3) Non-realistic assumptions: -Assumptions underlying various SRE techniques or modeling methods for the 78 reliability estimation may be too much unrealistic and optimistic in relation with the real scenario pertaining to 79 the problem at hand. Moreover, software testers test software as per laboratory settings without referring to its

80 environmental settings. In an effort to break the software much of their attention and effort goes to designing of 81 test cases for exceptional and boundary conditions, rather than testing for normal routine operations. Software 82 reliability measurers, on the other hand, are much focused on testing of software as per its operational profile 83 in order to allow for accurate reliability estimation and prediction. Against this backdrop of limitations of SR 84 techniques and mutually exclusive focuses of testers and reliability measurers, simulation offers a luring approach 85 to reliability modeling of a software system for it has the scope to address these important issues and bottlenecks. 86 Furthermore, effective reliability modeling ultimately requires good data sets which are faithfully comprehensive, 87 complete, or consistent. Such data sets are very rarely collected owing to different factors. However, simulation-88 based approaches do hold promise for such scenarios as well. 89

90 **5** III.

⁹¹ 6 SIMULATION a) General Description

92 Simulation is experimentation with models. More specifically, simulation is the technique of imitating the 93 character of an object or process in such a way that enables us to make quantifiable inferences about the real 94 object or process being simulated [25], ??26]. When simulation is applied to software reliability, it can be used 95 to mimic key characteristics of the various processes involved. To study a system, it is possible to experiment 96 with the system itself or with the model of the system; experimenting with the system itself may be not be viable 97 and feasible always, depending on the nature and type of system to be studied. Cost and risk analysis may not 98 permit it. The objective, however, is to comprehend and predict how a system will perform before it is built. ⁹⁹ Consequently, the study of the system under consideration is generally conducted with a model of the system. A
¹⁰⁰ model is not only a substitute of a system but also a simplification of the system.

A model is an abstraction (simplification) of a real (existent) or conceptual (non-existent) system that is itself complex. A model embodies only those characteristics of the system under study, which are required for study, prediction, modification, or control of the system. Thus, a model includes some, but not all, aspects of the system being modeled. A model provides useful insights, predictions, and answers to the questions it is used to address. However, no single model can be used in all the situations. No model is complete; one model may work well for a set of certain software, but may be completely off track for other kinds of problems [1].

Increasing familiarization of the object-oriented systems design and modeling as well as the strikingly impressive 107 108 web-based system developments have led to a substantial rise in the use of component-based software development approaches [10]. With the availability of commercial off-the-shelf software components (COTS), development 109 of in-house, or outsourced components, the whole application development takes place under a heterogeneous 110 environment (multiple teams in different environments) and hence it may be inappropriate to describe the overall 111 reliability assessment of such applications using only one of the several software reliability growth models-the 112 black-box approach [6]. Thus, for such structured and component-based software systems, reliability prediction 113 must start as early as its architecture phase of the life-cycle. Moreover, assessment of reliability is made in terms 114 115 of reliabilities of the components of such systems.

The existing analytical methods to predict the reliability of component-based systems are based on the Markovian assumption [2], [13]. Semi-Markov [14] relaxes this assumption in a restrictive manner. However, both Markovian and semi-Markovian methods to predict the reliability of such heterogeneous systems suffer from several limitations:

They are subject to an intractably large statespace, and They cannot account for the influence of various parameters such as reliability growth of the individual components, dependencies among the components, etc., in a single model.

Nevertheless, methods are in place to model the reliability growth of the components which cannot be accounted for by the conventional analytical methods [8], [9], [15], but they are again subject to the state-space explosion problem, and their computational complexity is also a problem. There are many other methods, however, there is no single analytical model, which takes into account all such features, and is not intractable.

A simulation model, on the other hand, offers an attractive alternative to analytical models as it describes 127 a system being characterized in terms of its artifacts, events, interrelationships and interactions in such a way 128 that one may perform experiments on the model, rather than on the system itself, ideally with indistinguishable 129 results ??1][26]. Thus a simulation model can capture a detailed system structure, and facilitate the study of the 130 influence of various factors such as reliability growth, repair policies, correlations among the various versions etc. 131 Simulation can also represent the impact of several strategies that may be employed during testing and different 132 deployment configurations during operation. Simulation can be used to study the influence of different factors 133 separately as well as in a combined manner on dependability measures. In addition, simulation techniques can be 134 provided for SRE purposes. They can produce observables of interest in reliability engineering, including discrete 135 integer-valued quantities that occur as time progresses. 136

¹³⁷ 7 b) Simulation Model

A simulation model is a computerized model that represents some dynamic system or phenomenon and possesses 138 the characteristics of interest of study about that system. A simulation model or any other modeling method 139 is an inexpensive way to gain important insights when the costs, risks, or logistics of manipulating the real 140 system of interest are prohibitive. The most common purposes of simulation models are to provide a basis for 141 experimentation, predict behavior, answer "what if" questions, teach about the system being modeled, etc. A 142 simulation model used in a simulation study is basically a parametric model where the values of the parameters 143 need not be specified. It consisting of a particular parameter set which represents the values of the parameters 144 of the model. 145

Static models or techniques may not always be the first choice for a system with high complexity levels. In 146 such systems, simulations are generally employed to model the complexity of the system which may manifest 147 itself in the form of system uncertainty and stochasticity, dynamic system behavior and feed-back and feedforward 148 mechanisms. For uncertain systems simulation provides a flexible and useful mechanism for capturing uncertainty 149 related to complex systems. For systems with dynamic behavior, dynamic simulation models are very flexible and 150 support modeling of a wide variety of system structures and dynamic interactions. For systems with feedback 151 mechanisms where behavior and decisions made at one point in the process impact others in complex or indirect 152 ways, simulation is a usable alternative. 153

¹⁵⁴ 8 c) Software Reliability Simulation Model

A software reliability simulation model focuses on some particular software reliability estimation and/or prediction process vis-à-vis a software system. It can represent reliability of a software system as currently implemented (as-is), or as desired for future (to-be). Since all models are abstractions, a model represents only some of the

many aspects of a software system that potentially could be modeled. This includes the aspects believed by the 158 model developer to be especially relevant to the issues and questions the model is used to address. 159 IV.

160

9 SIMULATION PROCESS 161

Data has to be considered as real system or simulated data. The fact that good data sets are exactly scarce, 162 one purpose of simulation is to supply carefully controlled, homogeneous data or software artifacts with known 163 characteristics for use in evaluating the various assumptions upon which existing reliability models have been 164 built. Since actual software artifacts (e.g. faults in computer programs) and processes (e.g. failure/fault removal) 165 often violate the assumptions of analytic software reliability models, simulation can help a better understanding 166 of such assumptions and may even lead to a better explanation of why some analytic models work well in spite 167 of such violations [25][26] [1]. Some of the steps involved in the process of simulation study [7], [1] are illustrated 168 by the flowchart of Figure below (process of simulating). 169

First up, it is required to describe the problem to be solved in a concise manner. Based on this problem 170 definition, a model is defined. At this point of the order in which runs are to be made. Specification of 171 experimentation has two components: experimental frame(s) and simulation run(s). An experimental frame 172 defines a limited set of circumstances under which the system (or its model) is to be observed or subjected 173 to experimentation. It requires specification of the observational variables, input schedules, initialization, and 174 termination conditions and collection, compression, and display of data [20]. A simulation run is the observation 175 of the behavior of a particular model under an experimental frame. Given that the simulation is to be on the 176 digital computer, a program must be written. A program has both representation and execution aspects. Once 177 the model is decided, we need to verify the model and then execute a series of runs according to the study plan. 178 As results are obtained, it is likely that there will be many changes in the model and the study plan. The early 179 runs may make parameter significance clear and so lead to the reassessment of the model. Verification of results 180 is important after each run. Sometimes it is useful to repeat runs so that parts of model have different random 181 numbers on each run. Moreover, one has to consider different groups of methodology or technique-oriented 182 issues of modeling, experimentation, simulation, and programming. The degree of success of a simulation study 183 is assessed in terms of the objective of the study, the structure and data of the real system, the parametric 184 model, the model parameter set, the specification of experimentation, and the accepted norms of the modeling 185 methodology, experimentation technique, simulation methodology, and software engineering. 186 V. 187

RELIABILITY SIMULATION METHODOLOGY a) 10As-188 189

sumptions

Assumptions and observed data are very important for software reliability study [3]. For the simulation (rate-190 191 based) we have the following assumptions. It may be noted that these assumptions can be seen as the most common assumptions for software reliability models [4], [22]. 192

1. The software under testing remains essentially unchanged throughout testing, except for the removal of 193 faults as they are found. 2. Removing a fault does not affect the chance that a different fault will be found. 3. 194

"Time" is measured in such a way that testing effort is constant. 195

b) Approaches To Reliability Simulation 11 196

There are a number of modeling approaches used to investigate different aspects of the software reliability 197 modeling process. The appropriate approach suited to the particular simulation model is best determined in 198 terms of its purpose, questions, scope, result variables desired, etc. A variety of simulation approaches have been 199 applied to software systems, which include: 200

General discrete event simulation, System dynamics (or continuous simulation) and so on. However, the 201 following are the two main approaches to reliability simulation. 202

12i) Rate-Based Reliability Simulation 203

It is a rate-controlled event process simulation method. Here, a stochastic phenomenon is represented by a time 204 sequence x(t), the behavior of which depends only on a rate function, R (t); R (t)*dt represents the conditional 205 probability that a specified event occurs in infinitesimal interval (t, t+dt). Various mathematical reliability 206 207 models work on Failure Rate Functions. The output of a rate-based reliability simulation approach is a time-208 line behavioral imitations of the activities and events involved in reliability. Reliability measures of interest in 209 the software system are modeled parametrically over time. This approach is ba-sed on rate-based architecture, wherein phenomena occur naturally over time, controlled by their frequenumber of faults so far exposed or 210 yet remaining, failure criticality, test intensity, and software execution time govern the architecture. -ation is 211 an example of a form of modeling called system dynamics, whose distinctive feature is that the observables 212 are discrete events randomly occurring in time. Since many software reliability growth models are based on 213 rate (in terms of software failure/fault), the underlying processes/assumptions assumed by these models are 214

²¹⁵ fundamentally the same as the rate-based reliability simulation (see ASSUMPTIONS). In general, simulations

enable investigations of questions too difficult to be answered analytically, and are therefore more flexible and

217 more powerful.

²¹⁸ 13 ii) Artifact-Based Reliability Simulation

In this approach, many aspects of program construction and testing are used to investigate the effect of static 219 features on dynamic behavior; Here, the inputs may include code structure characteristics, these entities within a 220 given context. The artifacts and environment are allowed to interact naturally, whereupon the flow of occurrences 221 of activities and events is observed. This artifact-based simulation allows experiments to be set up to examine 222 the nature of the relationships between software failures and other software metrics, such as program structure, 223 programming error characteristics, and test strategies. It is suggested that the extent to which reliability depends 224 merely on these factors can be measured by generating random programs having the given characteristics, and 225 then observing their failure statistics. 226

A software system consists of static and dynamic structures with static structure existing in terms of component-interactions. It is evident by the inspection of the design and code of the software system and comprehendible without the need for its execution or simulation. However, it is the dynamic structure of the software system which is very important for reliability analysis. The dynamic/runtime information may include the frequency of occurrence of the interactions, the time spent in the interactions, etc. Dynamic structure is obtained by the execution or simulation of the software system. It depends on usage characteristics of the application, which is given by its operational profile [18].

²³⁴ 14 VI.

235 15 IMPLEMENTING RELIABILITY SIMULATION

236 With an intention to simulate the reliability measures of a software system, software system can be considered on a

holistic basis in an approach called as black-box simulation or the software system can be considered as a bunch
 of some individual components/component combinations in another approach called as white-box simulation.

Awareness of what to simulate is very important and can be helped by knowing (1) model scope, (2) result variables, (3) abstraction (represented by model), and (4) input parameters.

²⁴¹ 16 a) Black-Box Reliability Simulation

In black-box simulation approach to reliability, we treat software as a whole where only the applicationlevel interfaces (input/out) hold significance, meaning that only the interactions with the outside world are modeled, while the internal structure and component combinations are not modeled. This is relatively a simple simulation approach. In black-box approach, only the failure data from the software systems under measurement are included in the modeling process, while the system structures are ignored. The input to the simulation is the number of cumulative failures and the failure intensity of the software.

²⁴⁸ 17 b) White-Box Reliability Simulation

In the black-box simulation, the software system is treated as a whole. The internal structure and features of 249 software (e.g. the components interactions and correlations) are not concerned. There are some shortcomings 250 in this approach for software reliability measurements analysis. With the increasing popularity of component-251 based software systems design, whitebox approaches to software reliability seem more fitting for simulation. As 252 a general practice, modeling is based on availability of the whole system data, without taking into account the 253 unit testing data which is usually available earlier for each component. Also, the simulation process can be 254 represented by one single model; however, it may be more appropriate that different components be applied 255 different models. For such modeling considerations, white-box simulations are the solution. Generally speaking, 256 the white-box approach to software reliability extends the black-box approach by including structural parameters 257 into the reliability engineering process. 258

²⁵⁹ 18 VII.

260 19 WHAT DOES SIMULATION OFFER

The immediate product of simulation study is a model that is primarily visual (i.e., graphical, diagrammatic, or iconic) or textual in form. Visual models have become the de facto standard for software systems simulations for their understandability and ease of development. Understanding is further helped when the model encompasses the ability to animate; the model during simulation can be used to show the flows of objects (e.g., code units, designs, problem reports) through the process, the activities currently being performed, and so forth. Moreover, a visual model is always supplemented by textual information regarding interrelationships among components, random variables distributions, etc. Thus, a model is genuinely desirable information bank.

The nature of simulation can be deterministic, stochastic, or hybrid. In the deterministic case, input parameters are spelled out as single values or point estimates (deterministic). Stochastic modeling encompasses the inherent uncertainty in many parameters and relationships. Stochastic variables are random numbers drawn from aspecified probability distribution.

Hybrid modeling employs both deterministic and stochastic parameters. In a purely deterministic model, only one simulation run is needed for a given set of parameters. However, with stochastic or hybrid modeling, the result variables or observables differ from one run to another because the random numbers actually drawn differ from run to run. Thus, the result variables are best analyzed statistically e.g., in Carlo simulation.

Finally, sensitivity analysis, a very useful capability of simulation models, is used to understand and analyze the effects and/or the significance of effects caused by varying a selected model parameter in a controlled sense on some key observable. This allows the model developer to determine the likely range of results due to uncertainties in key parameters. It also allows the model developer to identify which parameters have the most significant effects on results, suggesting that those be measured and/or controlled more carefully.

²⁸¹ **20 VIII.**

282 21 CONCLUSION

It is crystal clear that simulation holds a lot of promise for modeling of software systems. Simulation techniques, 283 applicable for the assessment of fully functional systems, can evaluate the reliability and performance, as early 284 as the architecture phase in the life-cycle of the software. Thus, help in the selection of reusable components, 285 identification of components that should be developed in-house, and allocation of reliabilities to the individual 286 components so that the overall reliability objective is met. It can also help in the identification of reliability and 287 performance bottlenecks, so that remedial actions can be taken before it is too late/ too expensive. Moreover, 288 289 simulation lets us foresee the working and behavior of a revised or new process, prior to its implementation, 290 thereby averting expensive and risky process improvements through operational experience.

However, the effectiveness of simulation is guaranteed only if both the model, and the data driving the model, accurately reflect the real world. This emphasizes collection of metric data in a consistent sense from a systems perspective -it is not simply a collection of "nice to have" data. Usually, analyst does not have clear guidelines on what is essential metric data.

As a cautionary note, simulation is not a panacea. In fact, the predictive power of simulation is governed by model validation efforts. Simulation is a simplification of the real world, and is thus inherently an approximation. As indicated in [23] it is not possible that a model is absolutely correct. Therefore, model (verification and validation) is concerned with creating enough confidence in a model for its results to be accepted. This is done by trying to prove that the model is incorrect. The more tests that are performed in which it cannot be proved that the model in incorrect, the more confidence in the model is increased. ^{1 2 3}



Figure 1: Software



Figure 2: Software

CONCLUSION $\mathbf{21}$

 $^{^1{\}rm MaySoftware}$ Reliability Simulation: Process Approaches and Methodology ©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US) $^2{\rm May}$ ©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US) $^3{\rm May}$ ©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US)

- 300 [©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US)], ©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US)
- 301 [Tomek], L A Tomek.
- 302 [Simulation (1979)], Simulation March 1979. 32 (3) p. .
- [Tausworthe et al. (1996)] 'A generalized technique for simulating software relibility'. Lyu R C M Tausworthe ,
 C R ; R , M R Tausworthe , Lyu . *IEEE Software* March 1996. 13 (2) p. . (Software Relibility Simulation)
- [Musa and Okumoto ()] 'A Logarithmic Poisson Execution Time Model for Software Reliability Measurement'.
 J D Musa , K Okumoto . Proceedings seventh International Conference on Software Engineering, (seventh International Conference on Software EngineeringOrlando, Florida) 1984. p. .
- [Gokhale et al. (1996)] 'A Non-Homogeneous Markov Software Reliability Model with Imperfect Repair'. S
 Gokhale , T Philip , P N Marinos . Proceedings of International Performance and Dependability Symposium,
- 310 (International Performance and Dependability SymposiumUrbana-Champaign, IL) September 1996.
- 311 [Laprie et al. (1976)] 'A Semi Markov Model for Software Reliability with Failure Costs'. J C Laprie , K Kanoun
- , M R Lyu , B Littlewood . Proceedings of Symposium on Computer Software Engineering, (Symposium on
- Computer Software Engineering) April 1976. p. . Polytechnic Institute of New York (Handbook of Software
 Reliability Engineering)
- [Eckhardt and Lee (1985)] 'A Theoretical Basis for the Analysis of Multiversion Software Subject to Coincident
 Errors'. D E EckhardtJr , L D Lee . SE-11. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* December 1985. (12)
 p. .
- ³¹⁸ [Cheung (1980)] 'A User-Oriented Software Reliability Model'. R C Cheung . *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, SE* March 1980. 6 (2) p. .
- [Hamlet (1992)] 'Are We Testing for True Reliability?'. D Hamlet . IEEE Software July 1992. 13 (4) p. .
- 321 [Oren and Zeigler] Concepts for advanced simulation methodologies, T I Oren , B P Zeigler .
- [Littlewood and Miller (1989)] 'Conceptual Modeling of Coincident Failures in Multiversion Software'. B Little wood , D R Miller . *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* December 1989. 15 (12) .
- [Farr and Lyu (ed.) ()] W Farr . Editor, chapter Software Reliability Modeling Survey, M R Lyu (ed.) (New York,
 NY) 1996. McGraw-Hill. p. . (Handbook of Software Reliability Engineering)
- [Horgan et al. (ed.) ()] J R Horgan , A P Mathur . Editor, chapter Software Testing and Reliability, M R Lyu
 (ed.) (New York, NY) 1996. McGraw-Hill. p. . (Handbook of Software Reliability Engineering)
- [Quyoum et al. (2010)] 'Improving Software Reliability using Software across a batch of simulation runs; this is
 termed Monte Engineering Approach-A Review'. A Quyoum , M Dar , S M K Quadri . International Journal
 of Computer Applications November 2010.
- [KS (1993)] 'Modeling Correlation in Software Recovery Blocks'. KS. IEEE Transactions on Software Engi *neering* November 1993. 19 (11) p. .
- [Musa (1993)] 'Operational Profiles in Software-Reliability Engineering'. J D Musa . *IEEE Software Mar.* 1993.
 10 (2) p. .
- [Gokhale et al. (1997)] 'Reliability Simulation of Component-based Software systems'. S Gokhale, M R Lyu, K S
 Trivedi . Proceedings of 5th International Conference on Advanced Computing, (5th International Conference
 on Advanced ComputingChennai, India) December 1997.
- Robertson] 'Simulation Model Verification and Validation: Increase the Users' Confidence'. S Robertson .
 Proceedings of the 1997 Winter Simulation Conference, (the 1997 Winter Simulation Conference) p. .
- [Dalal et al. ()] 'Software Reliability'. S Dalal , M R Lyu , C Mallow . Chapter in Encyclopedia on Biostatistics,
 P Armitage, T Colton (ed.) 1998. Wiley. 5 p. .
- [Quadri (2011)] 'Software Reliability Growth Modeling with New Modified Weibull Testing-effort and Optimal
 Release Policy'. S M K Quadri , Ahmed , N . International Journal of Computer Applications September
- 344 2011.
- Software Reliability Simulation: Process Approaches and Methodology Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology Volu
 Software Reliability Simulation: Process Approaches and Methodology Global Journal of Computer Science
 and Technology Volume XI Issue VIII Version I 2011 39 May Editor, chapter Software Reliability and System
- 348 Reliability, (New York, NY) 1996. McGraw-Hill. p. .
- [Musa et al. ()] Software Reliability-Measurement, Prediction, Application, J D Musa, A Iannino, K Okumoto
 . 1987. New York: McGraw Hill.
- ³⁵¹ [Defamie et al. ()] 'Software Reliability: Assumptions, Realities and Data'. Patrick Defamie, Jacques Jacobs ³⁵², Thollem. Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance, (IEEE International
- ³⁵³ Conference on Software MaintenancePage(s) 1999. p. . (Software Maintenance)

- [Shooman (1976)] 'Structural Models for Software Reliability Prediction'. M L Shooman . Proceedings of 2nd 354
- International Conference on Software Engineering, (2nd International Conference on Software EngineeringSan 355 Fransisco, CA) October 1976. p. . 356

[Gokhale and Trivedi (1997)] 'Structure-Based Software Reliability Prediction'. S Gokhale , K S Trivedi . 357 Proceedings of 5th International Conference on Advanced Computing, (5th International Conference on 358 Advanced ComputingChennai, India) December 1997. 359

- [Gordon ()] System Simulation, G Gordon . 2010. Prentice Hall of India. 360
- [Parnas (1975)] 'The Influence of Software Structure on Reliability'. D L Parnas . Proceedings of 1975 361
- International Conference on Reliable Software, (1975 International Conference on Reliable SoftwareLos 362 Angeles, CA) April 1975. p. . 363
- [Laprie et al. ()] 'The KAT (Knowledge-Action-Transformation) Approach to the Modeling and Evaluation of 364 Reliability and Availability Growth'. J C Laprie , K Kanoun , C Beounes , M Kaaniche . IEEE Transactions. 365
- on Software Engineering, SE 1991. 17 (4) p. . 366