

Performance analysis of Call Admission Control algorithm for Wireless Multimedia networks

S.P.V Subbarao¹ and Dr.D.Sreenivasa Rao²

¹ JNTU

Received: 31 March 2011 Accepted: 24 April 2011 Published: 5 May 2011

Abstract

In today's wireless networks different wireless multimedia services have diverse bandwidth and QOS requirements, which need to be guaranteed by the wireless cellular networks. The decision to admit or reject the new user call is made by the call admission control algorithm. In this paper, a novel Call Admission Control algorithm for wireless cellular networks is proposed. The call admission control algorithm is based on power control. It determines the optimum number of admission users with optimum transmission power level so as to reduce the interference level and call blocking. By our simulation we show that our proposed call admission control algorithm reduces the blocking probability.

Index terms— Call admission control, Wireless Multimedia networks, call blocking probability,

1 Introduction

The upcoming wireless cellular infrastructures such as third generation (3G) and fourth generation (4G) are deemed to support new high-speed services with different Quality-of-Service (QOS) and their respective traffic profiles. The advent of the third and fourth generation of wireless multimedia services brought about a need to adapt to the existing mobile cellular networks to make them carry various classes of multimedia traffic like voice, video, image, web documents, data or a combination thereof. To achieve this goal, radio resource management techniques are used. These schemes include: channel assignment, power control, call admission control, congestion control, and other traffic-and-mobility-management schemes.

Call admission control algorithm plays a central role in determining both the performance of any network, and the revenue of the network. The call admission control algorithm must decide either to accept the call or reject it, thus having an impact on both the quality of calls and the network revenues. The call admission control algorithm must deal with multiple classes of calls having different requirements, for hand off request calls, since handoffs are important than the new calls. The power of the existing users are degraded according to priorities. Here non real time services are degraded first when compared with real time services which leads to reduced loss for real time services. Session III gives the detailed description of the proposed Ad-CAC algorithm along with its design approach. Session IV gives information about the simulation model and result analysis. Session V concludes the Paper.

2 II.

Related works [1] The easiest and most simple admission control protocol is the First Come First Served (FCFS). If a request arrives and there is enough bandwidth to accommodate it, the call is admitted, otherwise rejected. FCFS produces a good utilization of the medium, but is biased against calls which require high bandwidth. Besides, it does not support prioritization because of which the hand-off calls cannot be distinguished from the new calls. [2] In this method, the network is divided into cells. A new call is admitted only if the number of newly arriving calls is less than or equal to maximum number of calls that can be admitted in the cell. This type of threshold based algorithm does not give efficient bandwidth utilization in Multimedia Networks.

In [3][4][5][6] the well-known Guard Channel Scheme and its variations have been proposed to give higher priority to handoff connections over new connections by reserving a number of channels called guard channels for handoff call connections. All these schemes are static. Moreover, only one traffic class, i.e., voice traffic, is considered.

In [7] a Resource Reservation Estimation (RRE) methodology has been proposed. The RRE module that resides in the base station dynamically estimates the amount of bandwidth to be reserved by referencing the traffic conditions in the neighboring cells periodically or upon call request arrival depending upon the design of the system. But such a system is very complicated to design and may not assure reliability.

In [8] a Bandwidth Partitioning Scheme has In [9], an optimal Bandwidth Adaptation Algorithm has been obtained, but with the assumption of the continuous value of bandwidth. The practical bandwidth values of Adaptive Multimedia are more likely to be discrete than continuous.

3 III.

4 Power Control and Adaptive call admission control algorithm

While describing the access system we take only one mobile cell into account in which there are M active nodes(or users) that generates messages to be transmitted to another node. In this network the base station controls all the nodes within the cell. Two kinds of links are possible in this model.

5 1.

2. Downlink: this describes the data transmission from BS to MS.

In a topological aspect, the base station is positioned for good propagation condition. The location of the portables is uncertain and varying. The wireless propagation conditions have a strong impact on a choice of a suitable multiple access protocol.

6 a) Adaptive Power Control algorithm

The transmit power can be represented as: $P(t+1) = P(t) + \Delta \cdot \text{sign}(SIR_{\text{target}} - SIR_{\text{est}}) [\text{dB}]$ (1)

Where $P(t)$ represent the transmit power at time t , Δ is the power control step size, SIR_{target} , and SIR_{est} are the target and estimated SIR respectively. The term sign is the sign function: $\text{sign}(x) = 1$, when $x \geq 0$, and $\text{sign}(x) = -1$, when $x < 0$.

It can be noted that $\text{sign}(SIR_{\text{target}} - SIR_{\text{est}}) = -1$ is equivalent to a TPC power up command which can be represented by bit 0. From Equation 1, it can be observed that the transmit power will be increased or decreased by Δ on every time slot. The transmitted power will always change even when there is no change in the channel.

The transmit power is updated according to the following equation: $P_u(t+1) = P_u(t) + \Delta F_u(t) \cdot PDF_u(t) \cdot TPC_u(t)$

(2) Where $\Delta F_u(t)$ is the Adaptive Factor of u th user at time t , and $TPC_u(t)$ is the TPC command of u th user at time t , corresponding to $\text{sign}(SIR_{\text{target}} - SIR_{\text{est}})$ in Equation 1, and $PDF_u(t)$ is the Power Determining Factor. If the received message is High Contention Message, then it will increase the parameter and subsequently increases the power and if the message is Low Contention then the parameter will be decreased and correspondingly the power also.

7 b) Adaptive call admission control algorithm

Let P_t be the total power of the existing users in the network.

Let P_a be the total available power. Let P_r be the power of the requested new user to get admission in the network. In the above algorithm priority is given for hand off request calls ,since handoffs are important then the new calls. The power of the existing users are degraded according to priorities . Here non real time services are degraded first when compared with real time services which leads to reduced loss for real time services. The existing users are degraded to a minimum power level till they maintain acceptable quality of service in the network.

8 Upgradation

If M are the out going calls in the network .The out going calls are the calls that are moved from current cell to the other neighboring cells. If N are the completed calls in the network. The total power used by the out going calls and completed calls in the network is $P_m + P_n$. P_m = power used by M out going calls in the network. P_n . = power used by N competed calls in the network.

The power levels are updated in the network .The power left by the M out going calls and N competed calls can be used by the existing users in the network.

IV.

9 Simulation Results

10 a) Simulation Setup

In this section, We simulate the proposed adaptive call admission control (ACAC) algorithm for WCDMA cellular networks. The simulation tool that provides discrete event simulation of user defined networks. In the simulation, mobile nodes move in a 600 meter x 600 meter region for 50 seconds simulation time. Initial locations and movements

11 Figure1: Arrival Rate Vs Call Blocking probability

As shown in the figure ?? the call blocking probability of adaptive call admission control is less compared with non adaptive call admission control algorithm (CAC). Here in ADCAC the users are degraded and the resource is given to the requesting users. CAC algorithm is not dynamic hence most of the resource is wasted.

12 Figure2: Arrival Rate Vs delay

As shown in the figure ?? the delay of adaptive call admission control is less compared with non adaptive call admission control algorithm (CAC). Here in ADCAC more users are admitted in the network hence data can be transmitted successfully. where as in CAC algorithm of the resource is not used efficiently the data is not transmitted successfully.

13 Figure3: Arrival Rate vs Throughput

As shown in the figure ?? the Throughput of adaptive call admission control is more compared with non adaptive call admission control algorithm (CAC). Here in ADCAC the users as the resource is used efficiently the requesting users are admitted in the network without delay. where as in CAC algorithm of the resource is not used efficiently the users have to wait for getting admission in the network.

V.

14 Conclusion

In this paper, a novel Call Admission Control algorithm for wireless cellular networks is proposed. The call admission control algorithm is based on power control. The existing users are degraded to a minimum power level till they maintain acceptable quality of service in the network and resource is utilised by the new requesting users. our proposed call admission control algorithm reduces the blocking probability increases the throughput.

1. Wait for call request arrival.
2. If a new call request arrives.
3. If it is a hand off call.
4. If $P_t + P_r < P_a$
5. Admit the request call.
- else
6. If $P_t + P_r > P_a$
7. Degrade the existing users who are using Non real time services.
8. After degrading NRT services If $P_t + P_r < P_a$
9. Admit the request call.
- else
10. If still $P_t + P_r > P_a$
11. Degrade the existing users who are using real time services.
- 12.

Figure 1:

¹May point (RWP) model of NS2. All nodes have the same tra -©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US)

used is NS2
which is
a general-
purpose
simulation
©2011
Global
Journals
Inc. (US)

[Note: of Average End-to-End Delay: The end-to-end-delay is averaged over all surviving data packets from the sources to the destinations. Call Blocking Probability: It means the likelihood that a new arriving call is blocked. Actually, it depends on the CAC scheme.]

Figure 2:

-
- [Lai and Tsai (2002)] ‘A Fair Admission Control for Large bandwidth Multimedia Applications’. Y C Lai , S F Tsai . *Proceedings of GLOBECOM’2001*, (GLOBECOM’2001San Antonio, TX) Nov. 2002. p. .
- [Chang et al. (1994)] ‘Analysis of a cutoff priority cellular radio system with finite queueing and rene-
ing/dropping’. C J Chang , T T Su , Y Y Chiang . *IEEE/ACM Trans. Networking* Apr. 1994. 2 (4) p. .
- [Chou and Shin (2002)] ‘Analysis of combined adaptive bandwidth allocation and admission control in wireless
networks’. C Chou , K Shin . *Proc. of IEEE INFOCOM*, (of IEEE INFOCOMNew York City; New York)
June 2002. 2 p. .
- [Xiao et al. (2002)] ‘Bandwidth degradation QoS provisioning for adaptive multimedia in wireless/mobile net-
works’. Y Xiao , C L P Chen , B Wang . *Computer Communications* August 2002. 25 (13) p. .
- [Nguyen] *Capacity And Throughput Optimization In Multi-Cell 3G WCDMA Networks*, Son Nguyen , BS .
- [Xiao et al. ()] ‘Fair bandwidth allocation for multiclass of adaptive multimedia services in wireless/mobile
Networks’. Y Xiao , C Chen , Y Wang , ; S Kim , T Kwon , Y Choi . *Proc. of the IEEE Vehicular Technology
Conference (VTC)*, (of the IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC)Tokyo, Japan) 2001. Spring 2000.
p. . (Proc. of IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference)
- [Xiao and Chen (2001)] ‘Improving degradation and fairness for mobile adaptive multimedia wireless networks’.
Y Xiao , C Chen . *Proc. of IEEE International Conference on computer Communications and Networks,
(IC3N)*, (of IEEE International Conference on computer Communications and Networks, (IC3N)Phoenix,
U.S.A.) October 2001. p. .
- [Ramjee et al. ()] ‘On optimal call admission control in cellular networks’. R Ramjee , D Towsley , R Nagarajan
. *ACMWireless Networks* 1997. 3 p. .
- [Kwon et al. ()] *QoS provisioning in wireless/mobile multimedia networks using an adaptive framework*, T Kwon
, Y Choi , C Bisdikian , M Naghshineh . 2003. Kluwer Wireless Networks. 9 p. .
- [Guerin (1988)] ‘Queueing-blocking system with two arrival streams and guard channels’. R Guerin . *IEEE trans.
Commun* Feb. 1988. 36 (2) p. .
- [Hong and Rappaport (1986)] ‘Traffic model and performance analysis for cellular mobile radio telephone systems
with prioritized and non-prioritized handoff procedures’. D Hong , S S Rappaport . *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol*
Aug. 1986. 35 (8) p. .