

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Volume 11 Issue 10 Version 1.0 May 2011

Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal

Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA) ISSN: 0975-4172 & Print ISSN: 0975-4350

Growth Option Model For Oil Field Valuation

By Ajayi Kehinde, Ogunlade Temitope Olu

University of Science and Technology Ifaki

Abstract- This paper considers the use of Real Option Approach (ROA) to value an oil field project. The Geometric Brownian Motion and the classic Black-Schole's model is used to obtain the value of the fair price (option value F). We show that ROA is an invaluable tool in decision making in situations where investment involves high risk and uncertainty.

Keywords: Real options, Brownian motion.

GJCST Classification: J.2



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2011 Ajayi Kehinde, Ogunlade Temitope Olu. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction inany medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Growth Option Model For Oil Field Valuation

Ajayi Kehinde, Ogunlade Temitope Olu

Abstract- This paper considers the use of Real Option Approach (ROA) to value an oil field project. The Geometric Brownian Motion and the classic Black-Schole's model is used to obtain the value of the fair price (option value F). We show that ROA is an invaluable tool in decision making in situations where investment involves high risk and uncertainty.

Keywords: Real options, Brownian motion.

I. INTRODUCTION

Myers (1984) first used the term "real options" to describe corporate investment opportunities that resemble options. He proposed that the value of a firm could be divided into the value of its assets in place and the value of these "future growth options". Growth options are also frequently referred to as expansion options.

Real options analysis (ROA) can more accurately model the nature of the investment and therefore provide a better basis for the investment decision. For example, ROA is preferred when the investment decision hinges on the outcome of a future event, or when there is enough uncertainty to defer the investment decision. Also companies use real options analysis when future growth is a significant source of the investment's value, when a traditional discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis returns a low or slightly negative net present value, and when the options associated with the investment could change management's decision from "no go" to "go". Essentially, real options analysis is ideal for companies in high-growth industries where there is a great deal of uncertainty and the investments are both large and strategic. High tech, venture capital, pharmaceutical and oil exploration all qualify, and interestingly all are early adopters of real options analysis.

The need to developing valuation models that is capable of capturing such features of investment as irreversibility, uncertainty as well as timing flexibility has resulted in a vast amount of literature on real options and investment under uncertainty. In his seminar paper Myers (1977) draws attention to the optimal exercise strategies of real options as being the significant source of corporate value.

Brennan and Schwartz (1985) are one of the first to adopt the modern option pricing techniques (see Black and Scholes, 1973 and Merton, 1973) to evaluate natural resource investments. The price of the commodity is used as an underlying stochastic variable

upon which the value of the investment project is contingent. McDonald and Siegel (1986) derive the optimal exercise rule for a perpetual investment option when both the value of the project and the investment costs follow correlated geometric Brownian motions. The authors show that for realistic values of model parameters, it can be optimal to wait with investing until the present value of the project exceeds the present cost of investment by a factor of 2. This reflects substantial value of waiting in the presence of irreversibility and uncertainty. Majd and Pindyck (1987) contribute to the literature by considering the effect of a time to build on the optimal exercise rule. The optimal choice of the project's capacity is analyzed by Pindyck (1988) and Dangl (1999). Dixit (1989) analyzes the effects of uncertainty on the magnitude of hysteresis in the models with entry and exist. Dixit and Pindyck (1996) present a detailed overview of this early literature and constitute an excellent introduction to the techniques of dynamic programming and contingent claims analysis, which are widely applicable in the area of real options and investment under uncertainty. An introduction to real options, which is closer in the spirit to the financial options theory, is presented by Trigeorgis (1996).

There is the need for a good and reliable option-pricing model that will yield or give the best result. Therefore, the first reliable option-pricing model was derived by Black and Scholes (1973). The Black-Scholes formula can be used to obtain the value of European call options on non-dividend paying assets. The value of the European put with identical parameters can be inferred from the call value. Merton (1973) developed an option pricing formula for dividend-paying assets and made other significant contributions to the development of option pricing theory. Merton and Scholes won the Noble Price in Economics for their contributions to derivative pricing in 1997. Cox, Ross and Rubinstein (1979) built on the insights of Black and Scholes (1973) and others to develop the binomial option-pricing model. The binomial model is simpler to understand and explain than the Black-Scholes model, it is more widely used in practice, and is capable of generating the same results as the Black-Scholes.

Arnold and Crack (2000) extended the binomial model to yield additional probabilistic information about the option that cannot be obtained directly from the Cox, Ross and Rubinstein (1979) model. It must be stressed that the interest here is more on the Black-Scholes model and so, we shall be examining and employing the Black-Scholes model.

II. Brownian Motion

For a project value V or the value of the developed reserve that follows a Geometric Brownian Motion, the stochastic equation for its variation with the time t is

$$dV = \alpha V dt + \sigma V dz \tag{1}$$

where $dz = Wiener increament = \epsilon \sqrt{dz}$.

 ϵ is the normal standard distribution, α is the drift and σ is the volatility of V. In real options problems, there is a dividend like income stream δ for the holder of the asset. This dividend yield is related to the cash flows generated by the assets in place. For commodities prices, this is called convenience yield or rate of return of shortfall. In all cases, the equilibrium requires that the total expected return μ to be the sum of expected capital gain plus the expected dividend, so that $\mu = \alpha + \delta$ so that equation (1) becomes

$$dV = (\mu - \delta)Vdt + \sigma Vdt$$

Geometric Brownian Motion (GBM) has the great advantage of the simplicity but it is sometimes useful to work with arithmetic Brownian for the logarithm of the project value. If

$$\frac{dV}{V} = \alpha dt + \sigma dz$$

Letting v = ln V and using Ito's Lemma, we find that v follows the arithmetic (ordinary) Brownian motion:

$$dv = d(\ln V) = \left(\alpha - \hat{A}\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)d + \sigma dz$$

So $dv = \alpha' dt + dz$

Although, the volatility term is the same of the geometric Brownian for V, $d(\ln V)$ is different from dV/V due to drift. In reality, by the Jensen's inequality, $d(\ln V) < dV/V$ (Ito's effect).

III. Real Options in Petroleum

A simple real option method is to exploit the power of the analogy with financial

European call option on a stock paying a continuously compound dividend yield. In the analogy with petroleum, instead of the stock, the underlying asset is the developed reserve value, V (which is a function of petroleum prices). The excise price is the cost of development, D and the time to expiration, T is the relinquishment requirement.

Study has shown that there is high correlation between oil price, P and the market value of the developed reserve V, so it is reasonable to set V as a proportion of P.

Let

F: denote the value per barrel of the undeveloped reserve

V: denote the value per barrel of the developed reserve

 π : denote Profit (after-tax) from producing and selling one barrel of oil.

B: denote Remaining Reserve (number of barrels of oil equivalent in a developed reserve)

R: denote Owners Developed Reserve Return

 ω : denote the fraction of the reserve (exponential decline parameter) produced each year

D: Investment cost per barrel (or unit exercise price of the option)

r: Risk-free interest rate (real and after-tax)

 $\sigma :$ Volatility of the developed reserve (standard-deviation from dV/V)

 μ : Risk-adjusted expected rate of return from a unit of developed reserve

 δ : Dividend yield (or convenience yield or payout rate) from a unit of developed

reserve

dz: Wiener increament

The exponential decline, largely used by industry as the first estimate of the petroleum production profile.

$$dB = -\omega B dt \tag{2}$$

Developed Reserve Return = Gain from Production [dividends] + Remaining Reserve Valorization [capital gain].

$$Rdt = \omega B\pi dt + d(BV) \tag{3}$$

But

$$d(BV) = \frac{\partial(BV)}{\partial V}dV + \frac{\partial(BV)}{\partial V}.dB = BdV + V dB$$

Using the equation (1) and substituting in the equation (2) we have

$$Rdt = \omega B\pi dt + BdV - \omega VBdt \tag{4}$$

For a model which the rate of return on the developed reserve follows a

geometric Brownian motion.

$$\frac{Rdt}{BV} = \mu dt + \sigma dz \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\omega B\pi dt + BdV - \omega VBdt}{BV} = \mu dt + \sigma dz$$

$$\rightarrow dV = (\mu - \delta)V dt + \sigma V dz \tag{6}$$

This is a very important result of the developed reserve return where the divided (convenience) yield is:

$$\delta = \frac{\omega(\pi - V)}{V} \tag{7}$$

Ito's lemma for F(V,t) is

$$dF = F_V dV + \frac{1}{2} F_{VV} (dV)^2 + F_t dt$$
 (8)

Where the subscripts denotes partial derivatives, so that from (6)

$$(dV)^2 = \sigma^2 V^2 dt \tag{9}$$

so that

$$dF = F_V dV + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 V^2 F_{VV} dt + F_t dt$$
 (10)

The risk free portfolio values

$$\Phi = F - nV = F - F_V V \tag{11}$$

The quantity of stocks n to build a risk-free portfolio is the derivative of the option

(named delta in financial market), because it makes the random term(dz), of the

return equation equal to zero. The portfolio returns per barrel

= $dF - F_V (\omega \pi dt + dV - \omega V dt)$ Equating with eqn (11) and substituting dF in the equation (10)

$$r(F - F_V V) = F_V dV + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 F_{VV} dt + F_t dt - F_V \omega \pi dt$$
$$- F_V dV + F_V \omega V dt$$

Simplifying this we get

$$-F_t = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 V^2 F_{VV} + (r - \delta)V F_V - rF \tag{12}$$

which is the Black-Scholes' equation. Next, we shall solve the equation (6) for the

value of V. From (6) $dV = (\mu - \delta)V dt + \sigma V dz$. It implies that

$$dV(t) = \alpha V(t)dt + \sigma V(t)dz(t)$$

Where $\alpha = \mu - \delta$

$$\frac{dV(t)}{V(t)} = \alpha dt + \sigma dz(t) \tag{13}$$

Hence $d(\ln(V(t))) = \alpha dt + \sigma dz(t)$. Let $U(V(t)) = \ln(V(t))$.

Using Ito's formula

$$U(t,V(t)) = U(s,V(s)) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial U(t,V(t))}{\partial t} + \int_0^t \frac{\partial U(\tau,V(t))}{\partial V} dV(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 U(\tau,V(t))}{\partial V^2} d\langle V \rangle t$$
(14)

hence

$$dU(t,V(t)) = \frac{dV(t)}{V(t)} - \frac{d\langle V \rangle t}{2V(t)^2}$$
(15)

But

$$d\langle V \rangle t = (dV(t))(dV_t) = (dV_t)^2 \tag{16}$$

And

$$d\langle V\rangle t = \sigma^2(V(t))^2 dt \dots \dots \dots (16)$$

putting (16) into (15) we have,

$$dU(V(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 dt = \frac{dV(t)}{V(t)}$$
(17)

$$dU(V(t)) = \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)dt + \sigma dz(t) \tag{18}$$

Integrating from t_0 to t, we have

$$U(V(t) - V(t_0)) = \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)\Delta t + \sigma N(0,1)\sqrt{\Delta t}$$

Since it follows from a normal distribution, therefore

$$V(t) = V_0 exp \left[\left(\alpha' - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) \Delta t + \sigma N(0,1) \sqrt{\Delta t} \right]$$
(19)

Hence, we have the equation for real simulation of the developed reserve . But for the risk-neutral simulation which we shall use, we have:

$$V(t) = V_0 exp \left[\left(r - \delta - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) \Delta t + \sigma N(0,1) \sqrt{\Delta t} \right]$$
(20)

Where $\alpha' = r - \delta$ is the risk-neutral drift.

IV. THE BLACK-SCHOLES' FORMULAE

Theorem: Let $C_E(S_0, T, K)$ be the fair price of a European call with strike price K, expiration T and initial asset price S_0 . Similarly, write $P_E(S_0, T, K)$ for the fair price of a European put with the same strike price K, expiration T and initial asset price S_0 . Then

$$C_E(S_0, T, K) = S_0 N(d_1) - ke^{-rT} N(d_2)$$

 $P_E(S_0, T, K) = ke^{-rT} N(-d_2) - S_0(-d_1)$

Where

$$N(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{\frac{-y^2}{2}} dy$$

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 T}} In \left[\frac{S_0 e^{\left(r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T}}{K} \right]$$

$$d_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 T}} In \left[\frac{S_0 e^{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T}}{K} \right]$$

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 T}} In \left[\frac{S_0 e^{\left(r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T}}{K} \right]$$

Note that $d_2 = d_1 - \sqrt{\sigma^2 T}$. Since the fair price f of a contingent claim, with this

underlying asset (the developed reserve value \mbox{V}) satisfies the B-S equation, that is,

equation (12) Then, the corresponding B-S formulae for the European call and

European put are given by

$$C_E(V_0, T, K, \delta) = V_0 e^{-\delta T} N(d_1) - k e^{-rT} N(d_2)$$

$$P_E(V_0, T, K, \delta) = k e^{-rT} N(-d_2) - V_0 e^{-\delta T} (-d_1)$$

The Black-Scholes' expression for the fair price F (option value) of a contingent

claim depends on; the asset value V_0 at time t=0, the volatility σ , the time to

maturity T, the interest rate r, and the strike price K. The sensitivities of the fair

price F with respect to the first four parameters called Greeks are used for hedging.

$$C_E(V_0, T, K, \delta, r, \sigma) = V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 T}} In \left[\frac{V_0 e^{\left(r - \delta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T}}{K} \right] \right) - k e^{-rT} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 T}} In \left[\frac{S_0 e^{\left(r - \delta - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T}}{K} \right] \right)$$

$$\Delta := \frac{\partial C_E}{\partial V_0} = e^{-\delta T} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 T}} In \left(\frac{S_0 e^{\left(r - \delta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T}}{K} \right) \right] + \frac{V_0 e^{-\delta T} e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{2}}}{V_0 \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 T}} - \frac{K e^{-rT} e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{2}}}{V_0 \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 T}}$$

$$\Gamma \coloneqq \frac{\partial^2 C_E}{\partial V_0^2} = \frac{e^{-\delta T} e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{2}}}{V_0 \sigma \sqrt{2\pi T V_0}} + \frac{K e^{-rT} e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{2}}}{V_0^2 \sigma \sqrt{2\pi T}}$$

$$\Theta := \frac{\partial C_E}{\partial T} = \frac{V_0 e^{-\delta T} e^{-\frac{\chi_1^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \left[-\frac{1}{2} T^{-\frac{3}{2}} In \ V_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left(r - \delta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} T^{-\frac{3}{2}} In \ K \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} T^{-\frac{3}{2}} In \ K \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} T^{-\frac{3}{2}} In \ K \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} T^{-\frac{3}{2}} In \ K \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} T^{-\frac{3}{2}} In \ K \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} T^{-\frac{3}{2}} In \ K \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} T^{-\frac{3}{2}} In \ K \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} T^{-\frac{3}{2}} In \ K \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} T^{-\frac{3}{2}} In \ K \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} T^{-\frac{3}{2}} In \ K \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right] \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V_0 + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right] \delta V_0 e^{-\delta T} N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2 T)}} \left[In \ V$$

$$+Kre^{-rT}N(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2T)}}\left[In\ V_0+\left(r-\delta+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T-In\ K\right])$$

$$-\frac{Ke^{-rT}e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\left[-\frac{1}{2}T^{-\frac{3}{2}}InV_0 + \frac{1}{2}\left(r - \delta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}T^{-\frac{3}{2}}InK\right]$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\frac{V_0e^{-\delta T}e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{2}}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi T}}\left[\left(r-\delta-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)+\frac{In\frac{K}{V_0}}{T}\right]-\delta V_0e^{-\delta T}N\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2T)}}\left[In\frac{V_0}{K}+\left(r-\delta+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T\right]\right)$$

$$+Kre^{-rT}N(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2T)}}\left[\ln\frac{V_0}{K}+\left(r-\delta-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T\right])-\frac{Ke^{-rT}e^{\frac{x_2^2}{2}}}{2\sigma\sqrt{2\pi T}}\left[\frac{\ln\frac{V_0}{K}}{T}+\left(r-\delta-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\right]$$

Therefore, our F_V , F_{VV} and F_t for the European call is obtained as:

$$\Delta := F_V = e^{-\delta(T-t)} N \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2(T-t)}} In \left(\frac{S_0 e^{\left(r-\delta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T-t)}}{K} \right) \right] + \frac{V_0 e^{-\delta(T-t)} e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{2}}}{V_0 \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2(T-t)}} - \frac{K e^{-r(T-t)} e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{2}}}{V_0 \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2(T-t)}}$$

$$\Gamma := F_{VV} = \frac{e^{-\delta(T-t)} e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{2}}}{V_0 \sigma \sqrt{2\pi(T-t)} V_0} + \frac{K e^{-r(T-t)} e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{2}}}{V_0^2 \sigma \sqrt{2\pi(T-t)}}$$

$$\begin{split} \theta \coloneqq F_t &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_0 e^{-\delta(T-t)} e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{2}}}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi(T-t)}} \Bigg[\bigg(r - \delta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \bigg) + \frac{In \frac{K}{V_0}}{(T-t)} \Bigg] - \delta V_0 e^{-\delta(T-t)} N (\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2(T-t))}} \left[In \frac{V_0}{K} + \left(r - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T-t) \right]) \\ &+ Kr e^{-r(T-t)} N (\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma^2(T-t))}} \left[In \frac{V_0}{K} + \left(r - \delta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T-t) \right]) \\ &- \frac{K e^{-r(T-t)} e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{2}}}{2\sigma \sqrt{2\pi(T-t)}} \Bigg[\frac{In \frac{V_0}{K}}{(T-t)} + \left(r - \delta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \Bigg] \end{split}$$

V. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

In this section, we provide a numerical example of an oil company considering an investment in an oil company, the initial value, V_0 of the oil field is set at 1billion naira. An investment of 60million naira which could be thought of as the option premium on the option is required immediately for permitting and other preparations. This first stage will take one year. If this stage investment is made, then the firm may any time over the next five years choose to make a second stage investment of 800million naira to develop the reserve. The offshore lease is for 5 years. Set r=0.03, $\delta=0.04$ and $\sigma^2=0.0676$. With these settings, we get the value of V(1)=898,783,498.8naira, $x_1=0.9498$, $x_2=0.6898$, $F_V=-0.2571$, $F_{VV}=2.3799\times 10^{-9}$, $F_t=57596310.04$.

With this, the value of F for four years before expiration is F = 4,162932,089 and for one year before expiration is 1,247,975,971 *naira* which shows that in any case the option is profitable.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this research work, we considered an investment opportunity of a firm using real options approach. We employed Geometric Brownian Motion to capture the value of the developed reserve and the classic model equation (12) to capture or obtain the value of the undeveloped reserve that is, the option value F. This option value F is also known as the fair price or theoretical value of the option. The value is to guide investors and managers in making rightful decisions rather than running into unnecessary risk. Real options approach is a very useful mathematical instrument. The investment is critically analyzed and we see that the investment is a lucrative one even with the imposition of some tight assumptions made.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

- ARNOLD and CRACK(2004). Real Option Valuation Using NPV, Social Science Electronic Publishing.
- BERNARDO, ANTONIO, E. and BHAGWAN CHOWDHRY (2003).Resources, Real options, and Corporate Strategy, Journal of Financial Economics, 63, 211-234.
- 3. BLACK, FISHER, and MYRON SCHOLES (1973). The Pricing of Options and Corporate Liabilities, Journal of Political Economy, 81, 637-654.
- BLACK, FISHER and JOHN C. COX (1976). Valuing Corporate Securities: Some Effects of Bond Indenture Provisons, Journal of Finance,31, 351-367.
- BRENNAN, MICHAEL J. and EDUARDO S. SCHWARTZ (1985). Evaluating Natural Resources Investments, Journal of Business, 58, 135-157.
- BRENNAN, MICHAEL, J. and LENOS TRIGEORGIS (EDS.)(2000). Project Flexibility, Agency and Competiton, Oxford University Press.
- DANGL, THOMAS (1999). Investment and Capacity Choice under Uncertain Demand, European Journal of Operational Research, 117,1-14.
- 8. DIXIT, AVINASH and ROBERT PINDYCK (1996). Investment under Uncertainty (2nd Printing), Princeton University Press.
- EKHAGUERE, G.O.S.(2004) An Invitation to the Pricing of Contingent Claims. Publications of the ICMCS 1:197-214.

- 10. GUISO, LUIGI and GIUSEPPE PARIGI (1999). Investment and Demand Uncertainty, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 114, 185-228.
- 11. HARRISON, MICHAEL J. (1985). Brownian Motion and Stochastic Flow Systems, John Wiley and Sons.
- 12. HUANG and LITZEMBERGER, (1998). Foundations for Financial Economics, Elsivier Science Pub. Co. Page 226.
- 13. INDRANIL BARDHAN, SUGATO BAGCHI and RYAN SOUGSTAD (2004). A Real Options Approach for Prioritization of a Portfolio of Information Technology Projects: A Case Study of a Utility Company, 37th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences.
- JARROW and TURNBULL (1996). "Derivatives Securities", South- Western College Publishing, Page 33.
- KARATZAS, IOANNIS, and STEVEB E. SHREVE (1991). Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus (2nd edition), Springer Verlag.
- KARIJN and TAYLOR (1975). A First Course in Stochastic Processes. Academic Press.
- 17. KULATILAKA, NALIN (1993). The Value of Flexibility: The Case of a Dual-Fuel Industrial Steam Boiler, Financial Management, 22, 271-279.
- 18. MCDONALD, ROBERT, and DANIEL R. SIEGEL (1986). The Value of Waiting to Invest, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 101, 707-728.
- 19. MAJD, SAMAN, and ROBERT PINDYCK (1987). Time to Build, Option Value and Investment Decisions, Journal of Financial Economics, 18, 7-27.
- 20. MAULER, DAVID C. and STEVEN H. OTT. (2000). Agency Costs, Under-investment and Optimal Capital Structure: The Effects of Growth Options to Expand, in: Project Flexibility, Agency and Product Market Competition: New Developments in the Theory and Application of Real Options Analysis by Michael J. Brennan and Lenos Trigeorgis (eds), Oxford University Press.
- 21. MERTON, ROBERT C. (1973). Theory of Rational Option Pricing, Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science, 4, 141-83.
- 22. MYERS, STEWART C. (1977). Determinants of Corporate Borrowing. Journal of Financial Economics, 5, 147-175.
- 23. NIELSEN, MARTIN J. (2002). Competition and Irreversible Investments, International Journal of Industrial Organization, 20, 731-743.
- 24. OTTOO, RICHARD E. (1998). Valuation of Internal Growth Opportunities: The Case of a

- Biotechnology Company, Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 38, 615-633.
- 25. PADDOCK, JAMES L., DANIEL R. SIEGEL, and JAMES L. SMITH (1988). Option Valuation of Claims on Real Assets: The Case of Offshore Petroleum Leases, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 103, 479-508.