Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Representing Aspect Model as Graph Transformation Dr. Vishal Verma¹ ¹ Kurukshetra University *Received: 7 November 2011 Accepted: 2 December 2011 Published: 15 December 2011*

6 Abstract

 $_{7}~$ In this paper we discussed a new method for representing a spect models. This method uses the

⁸ basics of UML to devise a new way for specifying the model level aspects and transformations

⁹ among them. The resultant model is effective from both expression and scaling point of view.

¹⁰ The work in this paper is based on assumed transaction processing system in a bank.

11

12 Index terms— Aspect - Oriented, Graph Transformation, UML.

13 1 INTRODUCTION

n most of the software development techniques identification and presentation of aspects is done only at some 14 15 specific levels which pose constraints on the designer and developers to follow a predefined pattern/steps for development process. In this method we try to develop a technique which can be used for representing and 16 17 composing aspect at any level of software development. With the advent of new techniques for software development it is quite common and natural, that aspect can occur during any of the development phase i.e. 18 requirement [1], analysis [8] and design [12]. Aspect if modularized during software modeling can leads to a clear 19 boundary among aspects and concerns and they become more maintainable, understandable and organize-able 20 within the model. On the other hand if aspect modules are composed with the development of base module then 21 it helps to fully understand and analyze the model with aspects, and any ambiguity, conflicts and omissions can be 22 avoided. Hence, the mechanism used for specification of aspect at the modeling level must be complemented with 23 mechanism used for composition, that weave the aspect model into base model. Lack of expression and scalability 24 25 are the major problems faced by the researchers for development of mechanism like this. Composition at the 26 modeling level can be extremely rich in nature [14]. Existing models do not provide support for expressing the richness in compositions. However, increase in the degree of expressiveness can lead to the problem of scalability 27 because a large effort is required by the developers to specify the composition. The method discussed in this 28 paper is capable to handle the problem of scalability and expressiveness and the result of this paper is a practical 29 technique that can be used for defining and composing aspect oriented model for best modeling purpose. 30 The method used in this model is based on two basic technology i.e. Role Based Meta Modeling language 31 [2] and graph transformation [3,5]. Role Based Meta Modeling language provides a precise, simple graphical 32

means for specifying a model level aspect in a way that is consistent with UML [13]. It is used for modeling 33 the structural part of security aspects [6] as well as model behavioral UML aspects [8]. The base problem faced 34 while using RBML is that they do not scale up to marks since a lot of effort is required to specify the cross 35 36 cuts among the core modes. Our discussed method shows clearly the reduction in level of effort to be done 37 for models. Transformations using graphs have been applied in a number of problems related to the software 38 engineering and to the problem of merging of different systems together [9], but in none of the implementations it has been categorically addressed how to apply them, in general way, to handle the aspect at any level of UML 39 modeling. The aim of this paper is to combine together the RBML and graph transformations to achieve a) 40 General implementation of UML based aspect modeling and composition at any stage of abstraction. b) To 41 implement the proper scalability of aspect composition. 42

This paper illustrates the approach with an assumed transaction execution system based closely on an existing application used by banks.

45 **2** II.

46 **3 MODEL LEVEL ASPECTS**

47 Aspect oriented models are models which represent the cross cut, points cut and concern in a well arranged 48 manner along with aspects. From the view point of problem discussed in this paper it can be defined as a model 49 that crosscuts other model at the same level of abstraction. Here the words "same level of abstraction " plays 50 very important role i.e. a model is considered to be an aspect if it crosscut the other model of same interactions 51 e.g. if requirement cut requirement model, requirement artifact cuts requirement artifact only then they are 52 considered as aspects. In particular case a use case may not be aspect. Although a use case is suppose to always 53 cut across multiple implantations module, it is only considered to be an aspect if it cuts across other use case.

Discussion in this paper is restricted to the definition of an aspect oriented model with a condition that a model is an aspect only if it crosscuts other model built with same perspective e.g. any model which is build for

⁵⁶ global interpretation of interaction cannot cut a model build with local interpretation of interaction and hence is ⁵⁷ not at same level of abstraction but they have different perspective-local and global. These types of models are

⁵⁸ not considered in this paper.

⁵⁹ 4 a) Representation of Aspect in Role Based Modeling Lan-⁶⁰ guage

Role Based Modeling Language [2] is used in this paper to represent the Aspect-Oriented Modules. This language 61 is further complemented by France et al [10]. RBML is considered as a special case of UML Meta model in which 62 each element of RBML is treated as a role. It is also considered that a role is a constraint of a UML Meta class 63 with a set of optional properties that any element must possess. Because RBML is considered as a special case 64 65 of UML hence each UML diagram must have a corresponding RBML diagram in which model elements are roles 66 e.g. state roles and transitions of RBML represents a generic state that can be made concrete by assigning it to a concrete role. Proper care is to be taken that only those model elements which satisfy the property of a 67 role should be treated as a role. RBML model defines a generic model that can be instantiated in many ways 68 by assigning elements to its entire role [14]. Any UML model is said to conform to a RBML model if there is a 69 valid argument of elements in the UML model to the roles of RBML model [14]. 70

RBML model is used to formalize the design pattern [2] and to represent model level aspect [4]. This was 71 extended to behavioral aspect in [8] and [7]. As per the original definition in [10] all RBML model elements must 72 be roles i.e. they are Meta -level elements. As per [8] for representing aspects it is useful to allow objectlevel 73 74 elements in RBML as well. The result is an extended RBML, represented by eRBML, in which an element may 75 be Meta level or object level element [14]. Fig. ?? shows the sequence of aspect in eRBML. It clearly shows 76 that whenever the user get ack of failed transaction the HOST itself record the status in STATUS file and at the same time shut down the USER side as well. Fig ?? shows the combination of object level elements meta-level 77 78 role together in one go. This type of combination is preferred since status like objects are remains unchanged and their relative updation dependent on the varying values of roles only. 79

i. Instantiation: it means to assign some concrete Fig. ?? : Handling of Failed Transactions values to the 80 elements or one -to -one mapping from role to model elements. In context of eRBML each aspect model must 81 be instantiated before it can be composed with a base model. Instantiation is basically used to define what the 82 aspect should like in context of a particular application i.e. the aspect is identified and specialized to a context. 83 84 Fig. ?? shows another example of how aspects cross cuts each other. Sequence diagram in Fig. ?? is taken 85 as base for further discussion and is part of our case study in coming sections. From fig. ?? it is enough to conclude that there is a controller which keeps control of accessing request from user and sending it to the server 86 for processing. Controlling all aspect of transaction is the sole responsibility of the Fig. ?? : Base Sequence 87 Diagram for user Transactions controller, it also provide the necessary GUI for processing. Failure handling is not 88 considered as part of this discussion. Instantiation is used to propose the aspect for composition with the base. 89 In the example discussed here following instantiations are specified by the modeler: | USER -> CONTROLLER| 90 CONTROLLER-> SERVER and failure are not considered. ii. Conformance A UML model is said to conform 91 w.r.t. eRBML if their exist an instantiation of eRBML model in a way that all elements of instantiated eRBML 92 are present in UML model along with existence of constraints. The constraints are suppose to include the message 93 ordering, sequence diagram, transition ordering and additionally specified properties of eRBML roles with respect 94 95 to an UML model there may exist any number of different eRBML models that conforms dependency upon the availability of additional transaction. There may be any number of additional transactions that exist in between 96 97 starting and closing transaction i.e. intermediate transactions. Hence conformance should be considered as a type 98 of refinement. Model level aspect can be specified in a well defined way in eRBML with respect to the aspects 99 of UML diagram. As it is necessary to represent the model aspect in a modular fashion, composing the model aspect with base model is also important. Here we are comparing the composition approaches of France et al 100 [11] and Whittle [8] to identify the limitations of existing method to model. Fist one out of these two approaches 101 use templates to represent aspects. Instantiation of eRBML aspect before composition is mandatory in both of 102 the approaches, though both of the approaches [11] and [8] have different way of implantation of composition. 103

We have discussed a single method for composition in Fig. 3. This figure though uses simple technique, yet 104 there are many alternates by using which composition could be done. In fig. 3 the intermediate transaction is 105 introduced which can be placed at different level of execution and can produce different compositions accordingly. 106 Although it is simple in nature, it may be not be suitable in many cases. Common limitations of this method is 107 that it is not able to specify the fact that how the aspect messages should be interleaved with the base model, 108 or to specify that the aspect messages define a sequence executed in parallel with the base model message. 109 As an alternative there are many possible ways that composition could occur. Challenging part to find a way 110 for specifying composition that admits a high degree of expressiveness, with minimum effort to be applied for 111 modeling. To find the response on expressiveness and scalability below we compare the techniques discussed in 112 [11] and [8]. 113

The method discussed in [11] allows the modeler to describe the composition directive that finally tailors the 114 tailor algorithm. These composition directives permit the user to specify the aspect message interleaved with 115 base or as an alternative or to run in parallel of it. "Addition", "deletion", and "move", statements are supposed 116 to be used as directives to make the composed model. To merge the base and instantiated model first of all their 117 elements with the same name are merged together. On completion of merging of elements with same name in 118 first go directives are tailored to drive the exact form of composition. This all method of tailoring demands a 119 lot pressure on modeler. Manual composition in this way demands a composition to be implemented by first 120 applying the directive in each and every model's element also in the base model. This type of procedure can't 121 122 be scaled at all.

In contrast to this the method discussed in [8] is at higher level of abstraction. In this method the composition operators are used instead of composition directives. Specifically AND, OR and IN operators are used. AND operator is used to interleave the base model with aspect model. OR can be used to provide the alternative sequence among base and aspect model. IN has some special use and it is used to insert the aspect message in any base sequence. Operators used in this approach offer a high level view of composing aspect models. This approach is more suitable and easy then the one discussed in [11]

129 5 NEW METHOD OF COMPOSITION

Techniques discussed in sec 2 have limitations with respect to scalability. In that technique it is the sole 130 responsibility of modeler to specify a set of role instantiations for each aspect and for each base model that 131 is cross cut by aspect. It is obvious that for large aspect more number of instantiations is required to be supplied. 132 From fig. 3 it is clear that all the instantiations are given in non graphical and in low level format that are 133 time consuming to understand and ultimately make the maintenance of the model more difficult for the user. In 134 comparison the method discussed in this paper provide a clean and clear way of separation of aspects and the 135 base model. The newly discussed technique provides a new way of representing and composing model aspects in 136 a way that maintains aspect modularity along with scalability. Basically graph transformation rules are used for 137 representing composition and is represented by a rule $(L \rightarrow R)$ bearing left hand side and right hand side. Left 138 side is responsible for keeping points where the aspect should be applied and right side keeps the aspects in it. 139

¹⁴⁰ 6 a) Aspect as Graph Transformation

A graph transformation discussed in [5] is a rule represented by r and has L as left hand side and R as right hand side. Rule r is supposed to be applied on a graph G and the process of applying r finds a graph homomorphism, h, [5] from L to G and replacing h (L) in G with h(R). To avoid any kind of unreferenced edges i.e. edges with missing resources or target node -L(R) is applied into G in such a way that all edges connected to a removed node in h(L) are reconnected to a replacement node in h(R).

¹⁴⁶ UML diagram can be represented in the form of a graph because it is defined by the UML meta-model which ¹⁴⁷ is a graph where the nodes are Meta classes and the edges are meta-relationships [13]. Hence it is possible to ¹⁴⁸ represent transformation over UML model as graph transformation.

Particularly we see composition of an aspect model with a base UML model as a graph transformation LHS 149 and RHS both are eRBML models. As above L side specifies the points where the aspect should be applied and 150 the R side specifies the crosscutting structure/behavior that should be inserted at those points. On applying 151 composition it would become possible to deal with message for future that is inserted as an alternative sequence 152 after all instances of send data/ack, a message sent from CONTROLLER to USER. The approach used here 153 helps to define the expressiveness and scalability related to composition in an easy way. It becomes clear from 154 fig. 4 that it become possible to keep a complete separation of the aspects and its composition strategy. It 155 helps to reuse of the aspects and application of the same aspects with different purpose and different composition 156 strategy. This technique is a fully expressive way of defining composition strategy -as one is shown in Fig. 4(it 157 is one other alternative may be used). This strategy uses the number of instantiations required to design a 158 159 model. In the example discussed in Fig. 4 only one instantiation is required to be provided by the modeler i.e. 160 failure ack. Rest all roles can be instantiated by graph matching against a base mode, the left side of the graph transformation is required to be matched with base model instantiating USER, SERVER , send data/ack (only 161 failure ack is required to be instantiated). In fig. 4 the UML 2.0 ref fragment is used to specify the placeholder 162

163 for a sequence of messages in the base. This is an easy way to match against a message sequence whose position

in the composed model can then be specified exactly on the R.H.S of the base definition must be modified. When 164 matching against the Left side of the transformation rule r, it is mandatory to discuss the instantiation for the 165 role elements. In this context the base definition is modified as the graph transformation applies to a UML 166 model if and only if the Left side of transformation has a graph match i.e. module conformance exist there. 167 The method in terms of scalability and expressiveness can be defined as: i. Scalability Main limitation of the 168 scalability of all aspect approaches based on RBML is that the modeler must instantiate the role elements for 169 each base model crosscut by the aspects. Use of graph transformations reduce this effort because instantiating 170 the role elements become automated to some extent. Instantiation place a need to find a base model over which 171 graph transformation can be applied-i.e. finding a match for left hand side of the transformation rule. As per 172 above discussion we apply the module conformance while applying an aspect i.e. while working with the eRBML 173 model, R (rule), match a UML model say U, modulo conformance if and only if there is an instantiation of the 174 role element \emptyset , such that $\emptyset(R)$ conforms to model U. as is clear from Fig. 4 it has modulo conformance with 175 the base model and hence problem of scalability is managed well by graph transformations. 176

ii. Expressiveness As shown in Fig. 4 and sec 3.1 the Right side of the graph transformation rule, r, defines the
 manner in which the aspect cross cuts a base model. Since Right side is a model in itself, it completely reflects
 the expressiveness and how the cross cutting is defined.

Here the aspect messages can be defined as an alternative to a base message or messages, as interleaved with the base message, accessing in parallel with the base message or any other combination of above discussed alternatives. The composition operator discussed in sec 2.2.2 can be defined as special case but graph transformation allows any combination of these operators to be specified, or needed for new operators to be specified. The composition directive in sec 2.2.1 are subsumed by the graph transformation approach because there is no longer any need to tailor the aspect composition algorithm to add, delete or remove elements -these modifications are rather defined explicitly in the Right side of the transformation.

187 **7** IV.

188 8 CASE STUDY

For the purpose of doing the case study of the expected system to be developed, we assume a system in general 189 which is responsible for processing of transactions raised by user in terms of bank transactions. Every user of 190 bank is supposed to execute a set of queries (may be predefined) for completion of desired tasks. We assume a 191 system for study in which each user is required to first authenticate him/herself for executing other transactions. 192 After authentication use is provided with a GUI by using which rest all requests can be processed. Some of 193 the simplest form of query is deposit and withdraw of amount and to get a balance or mini statement from 194 the bank. In all of this type of queries a proper integrity among user interface window and ATM machine is 195 mandatory i.e. any transaction which affect the balance in the account must be effective at all place and do 196 the final status change at some common location. These type of queries are expected to be executed form ATM 197 machine, from online based banking system, mobile based banking system or from a window in a bank's office 198 where a bank officer is supposed to execute desired on verification of credentials from user. Important among 199 all these alternatives of query execution is that they must do final status change at common location which is 200 accessed by all means of query execution and all the time latest updated value must be available at that location 201 i.e. SERVER. Data integrity is clearly an important issue to be maintained in design of this type of systems. 202 Any user who is permitted to use his account by a number of means is dependent on one central location i.e. 203 SERVER for latest updated values. The design of this system is done by using the twophase commit protocol 204 for maintaining serializability among the transactions to keep the commonly used values updated at all the time. 205 The stress here is on the application implementation of protocol not on its practical details and is embedded 206 in the working of CONTROLLER. Following we are showing the embedding of protocol in the CONTROLLER 207 (core) functionality and how the protocol is implemented via aspects. This implementation is easily readable 208 and hence any desired changes in integrity are easily implementable. The design is done in UML by keeping the 209 dynamic nature of the design. 210

Importantly two situations demands the close look upon the updated data i.e. first when a user is accessing 211 the account by bank window and at the same time accessing via the mobile banking services and second when 212 transaction through ATM is under process and at the same time mobile banking transaction is executing. Both 213 of the situations demand the very proper execution of two phase commit protocol. Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 shows the 214 base sequence execution of transaction models corresponding to the execution of query's from WINDOW and 215 MOBILE at a time and from ATM and MOBILE at a time. In second discussed scene the execution of query 216 217 and updation in final value may be delayed for some time because updation done through ATM may take some 218 time for final updation in the system. In fig. 6 we are introducing a new syntax (all) used for processing the 219 number of transactions together finally at the common server execution. The intermediate results may get stored 220 in CONTROLLER and are finally updated to the SERVER.

Here the two phase commit protocol is not modeled as part of the core functionality. Rather it is modeled separately for easy modification if needed. In Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 every time the trigger of transaction is initialized by initializing both the server as well as client by CONTROLLER. Then first of all data (initial) is updated at sever and first GUI is provided to the client. In steps proceeding further the ID and PWD is submitted from USER to the SEVER and on receiving the ACK (POSITIVE) further transactions are processed.

Two phase commit protocol is modeled and shown in Fig. ??. It is build by considering the aspectual view 226 of transaction and keeping them in sequence in an eRBML. Aspects are used to define a general pattern of 227 communication to be used by Interaction among the two is given in the form of message role so that it can be 228 229 instantiated whenever required with any specific message names. Important implication of Fig. ?? is that it commit any of the transaction only if both the USER as well as COMMIT SEVER agrees on the transaction. 230 This all is modified and is shown in Fig. ?? Representing Fig. ?? and Fig. ??0 shows the left side and Right 231 side of graph transformation for refined aspect discussed in Fig. 6. Important to note here is that the Left 232 side says that we have to apply the aspect at the points at which prepare for commit will appear and the same 233 should preceded by Initialize message. The enable is true for both of first and second scene. Here it is possible 234 to process the step by step manner or to execute a separate algorithm for execution of transactions. Right side 235 of graph is shown in Fig. ?? 0. In this fig other messages are included to take into consideration all or any kind 236 of transaction which not be used in general by all user but is expected to execute in some special case only. 237 The messages are supposed to be executed only if the reply from the two phase commit protocol is true. Two 238 phase commit protocol is able to reply true or false depending on the execution of transactions. The base and 239 aspect model are composed in such a way that match for all other messages is done only after point of successful 240 commitment. The use of existing method discussed in [11] and [8] are not able to specify the conditions. The 241 242 method presented by [8] may allow the weaving in the way which we want to describe. In method discussed in 243 [11] it is needed to specify a list of composition directives that give the instructions to composition algorithm where to place messages matching with other messages. Hence the messages Fig. ?? : Updated Two Phase 244 Commit Protocol discussed in [11] and [8] are not appropriate for presenting the directives in easy way and are 245 time consuming and error-prone too. In comparison to these two methods the graph transformation is an easy 246 graphical method to specify the directives. In the method suggested in this paper it is very easy to place any 247 additional messages anywhere in the Right side of the graph transformation rule. Along with is also possible to 248 specify a different composition way to simplify modifying the Right side of the Rule. 249

250 9 V. CONCLUSION AND RELATED WORK

All of the existing approaches used to identify, compose and represent aspect at various level of software 251 development faces a number of limitations especially the problem of scalability. The approach discussed in 252 this paper for representing aspect at any level of software development using the UML methodology based on 253 role modeling language. Various level of hierarchy are used to structure aspects and their possible instances. The 254 255 problem of scalability is sorted out in this method since graph transformation allow the matching at any level of development and it automatically compose aspects along with the problem of expressiveness is also sorted 256 out as use of graphical method in terms of graph transformation expresses all implementations. The validity 257 of approach is reflected through its use on bank's transactions. The approach discussed in this method is more 258 close to syntactic implementations a lot of modifications can be done in terms of syntax related issues so that 259 immediate implementations in programming language can be done. The modification to resolve the conflict 260 among the aspects can also be done. The matching process discussed in this paper is also open to be modified. 261 The modification in terms of forward and backward movements on matching at any level of transformation can 262 $1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4$ be done.



Figure 1: Fig. 3

USER		HOST		STATUS	
Transaction Fw	'd	Save Stat	us		
Failed Ack					
Shut Down					
2011					
December					
18					
Enable		Store Initial Status			
Store Initial Sta	atus				
Send Id & Pwd					
[Note: © 2011 Global J	ournals Inc. (US)] Figure 2:				
SCREEN	USER		CONTR OLLER	SERVER STATUS	
Enable			Store Initi	al Status	
Store Initial Status	5				
alt Activate for next U	Send Id & Pwd Acknowledge with Acceptance Request for Transaction Reply with Latest Value Intermediate Request Update the final status after transaction Jser	on			

[Note: manner. The only drawback of this approach is limited number of operators for performing all desired tasks. III.]

Figure 3:

transformation eRBML, the	w.r.t	USER		CONTROLL	ER
		Send data/ack			
		ref	other		
2011		USER	CONTROLLER		STATUS
December		alt	Send data/ack	Update Statu	IS
20		Failure ack			
		Shut Down			
		ref	other		

	SCREEN	USER	CON SER VER OLLER	
	Enable		Initialize	
2011		Initialize	2	
December		Update	Initial Status Send Id & Pwd (Mobile Bank)	
22	Acknowledge with Accepta	nce		
Global	Request for Transaction Reply against Transaction Latest Value Updated Credential Verify (Ba			
Journal	-			
of Com-				
puter				
Science				
and				
Tech-				
nology				
Volume				
XI Issue				
XXII				
Version I				
	© 2011 Global Journals Inc	e. (US)		

Figure 5:

 $^{^1 \}odot$ 2011 Global Journals Inc. (US) Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology Volume XI Issue XXII Version I 19 2011 December

 $^{^{2}}$ © 2011 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $^{{}^3 \}odot 2011$ Global Journals Inc. (US) Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology Volume XI Issue XXII Version I 21 2011 December transformation. At the time of defining the graph location. Transfer of amount among the inter bank accounts processed in this way cause delayed

 $^{^4 \}odot$ 2011 Global Journals Inc. (US) Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology Volume XI Issue XXII Version I 24

- [Kim ()] A Metamodeling Approach to Sepcifying Patterns, D-K. Kim . 2004. Colorado State University (PhD
 Thesis)
- [Kim et al. ()] A Role-Based Metamodeling Approah to Sepecifying Design Patterns, Dae-Kyoo Kim , Robert
 France , Sudipto Ghosh , Eunjee Song . 2003. 2003. Dallas, Texas.
- ²⁶⁷ [France et al. ()] 'A UML-Based Pattern Sepcification Technique'. R France , /D-K Kim , S Ghosh , Song . *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 2004. 30 (3) p. .
- [Ray et al. (2004)] 'An Aspect-Oriented Approach to Design Modeling'. R , France I Ray , G Georg , S Ghosh .
 IEEE Proceedings Software August 2004. 151 (4) p. .
- [Whittle et al. ()] 'Composing Aspect Models with Graph Transformations'. Whittle , Jon , Araujo , Joao ,
 Moreira , Ana . *EA 06*, (Shanghai, China) 2006.
- [Clarke and Walker ()] Composition Patterns : An Approach to Designing Reusable Aspects, S Clarke , R J
 Walker . 2001. 2001. Toronto, Canada: IEEE CS Press. p. .
- [Rozenberg ()] 'Handbook of Graph Grammers and Computing by Graph Transformation'. G Rozenberg .
 Foundations." World Scientific 1997. 1.
- 277 [Araujo and Whittle ()] Modeling and Computing Scenario-Based Requirements with Aspects, J Araujo , J 278 Whittle , DK , Kim . 2004. 2004. Kyoto, Japan: IEEE CS Press.
- [Rashid et al. (2003)] 'Modularisation and Composition of Aspectual Requirements'. A Rashid , A Moreira , J
 Araujo . AOSD 2003. 2003. March 2003. ACM Press. p. .
- [Whittle and Araujo ()] 'Scenario Modeling with Aspects'. J Whittle , J Araujo . IEEE Proceedings Software
 2004. 151 (4) p. .
- [Georg and France (2002)] 'UML Aspect Specification using Role Modles'. G Georg , R France . Lecture Notes
 in Computer Science September 2002. Springer. 2425 p. .
- [UML version 2.0 Available from the Object Management Group ()] UML version 2.0 Available from the Object
 Management Group, http://www.omg.org 2005.
- [Ray et al. ()] 'Using UML to Visualize Role-based Access Control Constraints'. I Ray , N Li , R B France , D-K.
 Kim . SACMAT 2004. p. .
- 289 [Goedicke et al. ()] 'ViewPoint-Oriented Software Development : Tool Support for Integrating Mutiple Prespec-
- tive by Distributed Graph Transformation'. M Goedicke , B Enders , T Meyer , G Taentzer . TACAS 2000.
 p. .